

Dr. Jeffrey S. Blank Superintendent Allegany County Public Schools P. O. Box 1724 Cumberland, MD 21502

Dear Dr. Blank:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services (DEI/SES) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]. The MSDE, DEI/SES uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each Local Education Agency (LEA) in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2022 determinations, Allegany County has achieved the determination status of **Meets Requirements**.

Attached please find supporting documents:

- FFY 2022 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a local determination status on page two – please note that fiscal and complaints/due process hearing data continues to be used
- FFY 2022 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a graduated results-driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year);
- FFY 2022 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21); and
- DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision and Support (provides the framework used by the DEI/SES to guide the level of monitoring and corresponding level of performance support provided to LEAs).

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the DEI/SES Strategic Plan: Moving Maryland Forward provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), Allegany County has been assigned the **Universal** tier for the delivery of the DEI/SES technical assistance model for results. Please see the DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision for more information.

Dr. Jeffrey S. Blank June 18, 2024 Page 2

> Each LEA is strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) and Family Support Center to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DEI/SES will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

> Thank you for your hard work and commitment to excellence, equity, and efficiency. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of Allegany County to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Antoine L. Hickman, Ed.D.

Actor 2. Hickory

Assistant State Superintendent

Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services

Attachments

Dr. Carey M. Wright, State Superintendent of Schools c: Dr. Deann Collins, Deputy State Superintendent Debra Metheny, Director of Special Education MSDE DEI/SES Branch/Section Chiefs



Carey M. Wright, Ed.D. State Superintendent of Schools

Allegany County

	CDD/ADD In dia at		FEV	0000 (CEV-0	022)	Action Bouring	Donnels	Doculto
	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance	ce Indicator				Action Required	Previous Result	
			State Target	Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2021	FFY 2020
1	Students with IEPs graduating v Annual 618 Data (lag data indic COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR	ator)	74.35%	60.34%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	68.12%	83.33
2	Students with IEPs dropping ou rate based on lag data for 2019 COMAR 13A.08.01.07		13.00%	8.62%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	4.35%	1.98%
3A	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the	Participation – Reading – 4th Grade	95.00%	99.17%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	98.26%	-
	LEA. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 8th Grade	95.00%	96.61%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	90.77%	-
		Participation – Reading – High School	95.00%	97.30%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	98.00%	-
		Participation – Math – 4th Grade	95.00%	98.33%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	98.26%	-
		Participation – Math – 8th Grade	95.00%	96.61%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	89.23%	-
		Participation – Math – High School	95.00%	97.30%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	95.74%	-
3B	with IEPs against grade level academic achievement	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	11.00%	17.12%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	15.60%	6.06
	standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	9.00%	11.54%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.96%	7.50
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – High School	17.50%	11.54%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	9.09%	1.96
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 4th Grade	14.00%	13.64%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	14.68%	5.05
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 8th Grade	2.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	4.00%	2.50
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – High School	10.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	0.009
3C	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against alternate academic achievement	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - Reading - 4th Grade	42.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	20.00
	standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - Reading - 8th Grade	52.50%	20.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – High School	55.50%	20.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	25.00%	33.33

		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 4th Grade	27.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	25.00%	0.00%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 8th Grade	35.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – High School	55.50%	10.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	12.50%	33.33%
3D	Gap in Proficiency Rates COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 4th Grade	16.50%	31.38%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	34.49%	18.08%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade	23.50%	38.55%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	41.62%	15.61%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School	47.50%	39.64%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	40.60%	58.88%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade	13.50%	21.88%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	19.87%	15.03%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	6.21%	14.94%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	13.66%	1.97%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School	34.00%	27.58%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	21.98%	35.17%
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days nondisabled COMAR 13A.08.03.03	s): Disabled vs.	2.00	0.06	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.06	-
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≥ 80% of the day (MD	71.25%	85.27%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	85.37%	84.74%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≤ 40% of the day (MD	11.50%	8.95%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	8.39%	7.17%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE day and residential facilities and facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR	home and hospital	6.00%	4.19%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	3.36%	4.23%
6 A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Service childhood setting the majority of COMAR 13A.05.01.10		63.00%	85.71%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	77.55%	71.05%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separat COMAR 13A.05.01.10	e School or Class	19.00%	2.68%	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	4.08%	3.51%
6C	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home		0.32%	3.57%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	5.10%	5.26%
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Social Emotional - Exits with substantial growth	71.00%	78.72%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	64.10%	66.67%
	COMPLET TOP. 10.01.00	SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations	54.00%	36.73%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	22.50%	35.29%
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth	73.50%	72.92%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	70.00%	59.38%
	OOMAN 19A.13.01.09	SS2- Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations	52.00%	32.65%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	27.50%	32.35%
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	SS1 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits with substantial growth	72.50%	65.96%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	75.00%	66.67%
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS2 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits within age	60.50%	32.65%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is	20.00%	44.12%

8A	Parent Survey - Preschool Age		85.50%	85.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	88.00%	-
8A Response Rate	Parent Survey- Preschool Respo	onse Rate	18.00%	28.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	18.00%	35.00%
8B	Parent Survey - School Age		73.00%	81.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	74.00%	76.00%
8B Response Rate	Parent Survey - School Age Res	ponse Rate	9.00%	10.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	9.00%	9.00%
14	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were:	Higher Education	25.50%	12.07%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	-	-
	COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a) (ii)	Higher Education or Employed	58.00%	41.38%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	49.28%	51.02%
		Higher Ed, Training, or Employed	58.00%	44.83%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	49.28%	51.02%
4B	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-	Discrepancy - Black/African American	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
	21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - Two or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - White	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
9	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate	Disproportionate Representation - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
	identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.47
		Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American	2.00	0.94	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.90	0.84
		Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - White	2.00	1.23	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.27	1.45
		Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races	2.00	0.81	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.81	0.73
		Disproportionate Representation - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.97	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.97	0.53
10	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification.	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Black/African American	2.00	1.52	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.92	2.15
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00

Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - White	2.00	0.96	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.71	0.89
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.76	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.79	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Black/African American	2.00	0.53	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.67	0.58
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - White	2.00	1.46	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.50	2.03
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.73	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.68	0.54
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	1.42	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.19	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Black/African American	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	4.63
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - White	2.00	0.71	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.49	0.37
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - 2 or more races	2.00	2.40	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.77	2.29

Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	0.80	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.71	0.47
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - White	2.00	1.50	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.75	1.80
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	0.61	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.62	0.73
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.99	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	1.21	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.67	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - White	2.00	1.23	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.30	1.30
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	0.77	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.97	1.02
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00

		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American	2.00	1.01	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White	2.00	1.18	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.07	1.16
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races	2.00	0.83	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.04	0.98
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
11	Percentage of students with writt evaluate who were evaluated wit COMAR 13A.05.01.06		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
12	Percentage of eligible children exhave an IEP in effect by the child COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.13.01.09	l's 3rd birthday.	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and secondary transition requirement COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) CO (a)(ii)	ts.	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Correction of Noncompliance	Correction of Noncompliance MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Timely and Valid Data	Timely and Valid Data MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Complaints Filed	Number of Complaints Filed MSDE/DEISES		0.00	1.00	No	No further action is required.	0.00	1.00
Number of Complaints Identified with Violations	Number of Complaints Identified MSDE/DEISES	with Violations	0.00	1.00	No	Please work with Dispute Resolution staff to ensure any identified noncompliance is corrected as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from notification of findings.	0.00	-
Number of Due Process Hearings Filed	Number of Due Process Hearing MSDE	s Filed	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	1.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations	Number of Due Process Hearing MSDE	s Identified with Violations	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified	Fiscal Accountability: Number of MSDE	Findings Identified	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	1.00
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading	Percentage of Students Taking to Reading MSDE	he Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	1.10%	No	Target not met. An Improvement plan is required.	1.40%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math	Percentage of Students Taking to Math MSDE	he Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	1.14%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.50%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science	Percentage of Students Taking t Science MSDE	he Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	1.11%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.40%	-

Number of SWD Restraints	Number of SWD Restraints MSDE	0.00	30.00	No	No further action is required.	20.00	-
Number of SWD Seclusions	Number of SWD Seclusions MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	37.00	-
Significant Disproportionality	Number of Categories Identified for Significant Disproportionality 34 C.F.R. § 300.646	0.00	0.00	Yes	No action is required.	0.00	-



Dr. Mark T. Bedell Superintendent Anne Arundel County Public Schools 2644 Riva Road Annapolis, MD 21401

Dear Dr. Bedell:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services (DEI/SES) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]. The MSDE, DEI/SES uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each Local Education Agency (LEA) in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2022 determinations, Anne Arundel County has achieved the determination status of **Meets Requirements**.

Attached please find supporting documents:

- FFY 2022 Determination Overview (identifies the Part C Indicators used to assign a local
 determination on page 1 please note that fiscal and complaints/due process hearing data
 continues to be used);
- FFY 2022 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a local determination status on page two – please note that fiscal and complaints/due process hearing data continues to be used
- FFY 2022 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a graduated results-driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year);
- FFY 2022 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21); and
- DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision and Support (provides the framework used by the DEI/SES to guide the level of monitoring and corresponding level of performance support provided to LEAs).

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the *DEI/SES Strategic Plan: Moving Maryland Forward* provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), Anne Arundel County has been assigned the **Universal** tier for the delivery of the DEI/SES technical assistance model for results. Please see the *DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision* for more information.

Each LEA is strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) and Family Support Center to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DEI/SES will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to excellence, equity, and efficiency. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of Anne Arundel County to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Antoine Hickman, Ed.D.

Siton J. Hickory

Assistant State Superintendent

Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services

Attachments

c: Dr. Carey M. Wright, State Superintendent of Schools Dr. Deann Collins, Deputy State Superintendent Soyna McElroy, Co-Director of Special Education Diane McGowan, Director Specially Designed Instruction and Compliance

MSDE DEI/SES Branch/Section Chiefs



Carey M. Wright, Ed.D. State Superintendent of Schools

Anne Arundel County

	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY 2	2022 (SFY 20	023)	Action Required	Previous Result	
- 1	Results Indicator 🔃 Compliand	e Indicator	State Target	Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2021	FFY 2020
1	Students with IEPs graduating v Annual 618 Data (lag data indic COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR	ator)	74.35%	74.96%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	79.04%	76.09%
2	Students with IEPs dropping ou rate based on lag data for 2019 COMAR 13A.08.01.07		13.00%	15.03%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	11.98%	2.49%
3A	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the	Participation – Reading – 4th Grade	95.00%	99.73%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	98.21%	-
	LEA. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 8th Grade	95.00%	98.23%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	93.58%	-
		Participation – Reading – High School	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	94.26%	-
		Participation – Math – 4th Grade	95.00%	99.47%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	98.36%	-
		Participation – Math – 8th Grade	95.00%	97.04%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	93.58%	-
		Participation – Math – High School	95.00%	99.09%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	80.17%	-
3B	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards.	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	11.00%	16.03%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	14.78%	5.02%
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	9.00%	10.46%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	8.06%	5.33%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – High School	17.50%	16.75%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	16.49%	15.03
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 4th Grade	14.00%	10.75%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	8.29%	5.54%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 8th Grade	2.50%	3.82%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	3.15%	1.60%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – High School	10.50%	5.76%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	5.81%	8.10%
3C	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against alternate academic achievement	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	42.50%	4.48%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	7.02%	7.599
	standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	52.50%	15.09%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	11.94%	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – High School	55.50%	26.47%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	20.78%	52.00

		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 4th Grade	27.50%	25.37%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	10.53%	2.56%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 8th Grade	35.50%	5.66%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	4.55%	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – High School	55.50%	24.24%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	17.88%	56.00%
3D	Gap in Proficiency Rates COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 4th Grade	16.50%	37.37%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	37.04%	19.77%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade	23.50%	41.64%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	38.70%	31.88%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School	47.50%	42.15%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	42.51%	52.80%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade	13.50%	22.12%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	21.40%	14.74%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	6.21%	19.07%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	15.98%	6.63%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School	34.00%	32.93%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	31.59%	45.06%
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days nondisabled COMAR 13A.08.03.03	s): Disabled vs.	2.00	0.41	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.41	-
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≥ 80% of the day (MD	71.25%	76.13%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	74.63%	72.73%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≤ 40% of the day (MD	11.50%	8.38%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	8.64%	9.74%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE day and residential facilities and facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 2	home and hospital	6.00%	7.43%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	7.83%	8.33%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services childhood setting the majority of COMAR 13A.05.01.10		63.00%	50.90%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	47.62%	54.89%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separat COMAR 13A.05.01.10	e School or Class	19.00%	29.35%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	29.73%	29.35%
6C	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home		0.32%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.30%	0.27%
7 A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Social Emotional - Exits with substantial growth	71.00%	73.68%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	58.82%
	GONIAN 15A. 15.01.09	SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations	54.00%	66.67%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	81.82%	44.74%
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth	73.50%	70.59%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	100.00%	65.62%
	OUNIAN 13A.13.01.09	SS2– Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations	52.00%	66.67%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	81.82%	44.74%
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	SS1 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits with substantial growth	72.50%	78.95%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	87.50%	75.76%
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS2 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits within age	60.50%	71.43%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	81.82%	57.89%

8A	Parent Survey - Preschool Age		85.50%	70.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	78.00%	74.00%
8A Response Rate	Parent Survey- Preschool Respo	onse Rate	18.00%	18.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	25.00%	24.00%
8B	Parent Survey - School Age		73.00%	65.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	68.00%	69.00%
8B Response Rate	Parent Survey - School Age Res	sponse Rate	9.00%	11.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	13.00%	18.00%
14	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were:	Higher Education	25.50%	21.10%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	23.46%	20.57%
	COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a) (ii)	Higher Education or Employed	58.00%	64.49%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	67.20%	57.78%
		Higher Ed, Training, or Employed	58.00%	65.69%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	69.58%	57.96%
4B	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Discrepancy - Black/African American	2.00	2.10	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	-	-
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	2.32	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - Two or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - White	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
9	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.81	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.84	1.03
		Disproportionate Representation - Asian	2.00	0.62	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.58	0.56
		Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American	2.00	1.38	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.35	1.40
		Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.71	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.50	0.64
		Disproportionate Representation - White	2.00	0.94	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.97	0.96
		Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races	2.00	0.94	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.92	0.90
		Disproportionate Representation - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.85	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.83	0.81
10	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	COWAR 13A.06.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Asian	2.00	0.79	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.75	0.92
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Black/African American	2.00	2.32	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.08	2.39
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00

Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - White	2.00	0.55	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.72	0.64
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.54	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.40	0.33
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	1.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.85	0.80
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.96	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Asian	2.00	0.28	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.25	0.24
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Black/African American	2.00	1.40	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.37	1.54
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - White	2.00	0.80	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.82	0.78
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.72	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.70	0.68
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	1.27	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.28	1.23
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Black/African American	2.00	1.92	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	2.04	2.34
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - White	2.00	0.89	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.94	0.82
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - 2 or more races	2.00	1.21	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.03	1.27

Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.53	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.44	0.34
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	1.39
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.55	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.62	0.59
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	0.82	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.77	0.71
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - White	2.00	1.43	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.47	1.55
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	1.20	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.02	0.90
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.71	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.75	0.78
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.39	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.35	0.30
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	1.61	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.62	1.67
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - White	2.00	0.92	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.92	0.88
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	1.07	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.22	1.32
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.73	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.66	0.63
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian	2.00	1.48	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.27	1.18

		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American	2.00	1.52	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.43	1.40
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White	2.00	0.73	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.84	0.90
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races	2.00	1.12	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.23	1.08
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.83	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.72	0.70
11	Percentage of students with writt evaluate who were evaluated wit COMAR 13A.05.01.06		100.00%	94.86%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	93.49%	94.72%
12	Percentage of eligible children e have an IEP in effect by the child COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.13.01.09	d's 3rd birthday.	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
13	secondary transition requiremen	AR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)		99.92%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Correction of Noncompliance	Correction of Noncompliance MSDE			100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	0.00%
Timely and Valid Data	Timely and Valid Data MSDE			100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Complaints Filed	Number of Complaints Filed MSDE/DEISES		0.00	17.00	No	No further action is required.	16.00	10.00
Number of Complaints Identified with Violations	Number of Complaints Identified MSDE/DEISES	with Violations	0.00	11.00	No	Please work with Dispute Resolution staff to ensure any identified noncompliance is corrected as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from notification of findings.	9.00	5.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Filed	Number of Due Process Hearing MSDE	s Filed	0.00	28.00	No	No further action is required.	24.00	14.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations	Number of Due Process Hearing MSDE	s Identified with Violations	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified	Fiscal Accountability: Number of MSDE	Findings Identified	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	1.00	0.00
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading	Percentage of Students Taking t Reading MSDE			0.99%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.30%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math	Percentage of Students Taking t Math MSDE			0.99%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.30%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science	Percentage of Students Taking t Science MSDE	he Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	0.80%	Yes	Target Met No further action is required.	1.60%	-

Number of SWD Restraints	Number of SWD Restraints MSDE	0.00	850.00	No	No further action is required.	483.00	-
Number of SWD Seclusions	Number of SWD Seclusions MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Significant Disproportionality	Number of Categories Identified for Significant Disproportionality 34 C.F.R. § 300.646	0.00	2.00	No	A Comprehensive Coordinated Early Intervening Services (CCEIS) Plan is required.	0.00	-



Dr. Myriam A. Yarbrough Superintendent Baltimore County Public Schools 6901 Charles Street Towson, MD 21204

Dear Dr. Yarbrough

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services (DEI/SES) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]. The MSDE, DEI/SES uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each Local Education Agency (LEA) in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2022 determinations, Baltimore County has achieved the determination status of **Needs Intervention**.

Attached please find supporting documents:

- FFY 2022 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a local determination status on page two – please note that fiscal and complaints/due process hearing data continues to be used
- FFY 2022 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a graduated results-driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year);
- FFY 2022 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21); and
- DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision and Support (provides the framework used by the DEI/SES to guide the level of monitoring and corresponding level of performance support provided to LEAs).

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the *DEI/SES Strategic Plan: Moving Maryland Forward* provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), Baltimore County has been assigned the **Focused** tier for the delivery of the DEI/SES technical assistance model for results. Please see the *DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision* for more information.

Each LEA is strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) and Family Support Center to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DEI/SES will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to excellence, equity, and efficiency. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of Baltimore County to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Antoine Hickman, Ed.D.

Actor J. Hickory

Assistant State Superintendent

Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services

Attachments

c: Dr. Carey Wright, State Superintendent of Schools Dr. Deann Collins, Deputy State Superintendent Alison Myers, Executive Director Department of Special Education Conya Bailey, Director of Special Education MSDE DEI/SES Branch/Section Chiefs



Carey M. Wright, Ed.D. State Superintendent of Schools

Baltimore County

		Part B Annual SF	P Performa	nce Report					
	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance	co Indicator	FFY:	2022 (SFY 2	023)	Action Required	Previous	s Results	
_	Results Indicator Complian	ce muicator	State Target	Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2021	FFY 2020	
1	Students with IEPs graduating Annual 618 Data (lag data indic COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR	ator)	74.35%	69.10%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	81.54%	72.48%	
2	Students with IEPs dropping our rate based on lag data for 2019 COMAR 13A.08.01.07		13.00%	21.64%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	9.93%	4.61%	
3A	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the	Participation – Reading – 4th Grade	95.00%	98.80%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	97.41%	-	
	LEA. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 8th Grade	95.00%	93.41%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	92.12%	-	
		Participation – Reading – High School	95.00%	98.36%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	79.03%	-	
		Participation – Math – 4th Grade	95.00%	99.04%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	97.50%	-	
		Participation – Math – 8th Grade	95.00%	92.43%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	90.63%	-	
		Participation – Math – High School	95.00%	96.96%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	92.90%	-	
3B	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards.	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	11.00%	13.20%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	12.31%	5.93%	
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	9.00%	4.32%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	4.16%	3.36%	
			Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – High School	17.50%	12.38%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	14.29%	6.39%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 4th Grade	14.00%	8.99%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	7.70%	5.76%	
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 8th Grade	2.50%	1.26%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.03%	0.19%	
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – High School	10.50%	2.26%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.78%	1.48%	
3C	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against alternate academic achievement	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	42.50%	10.75%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	10.58%	17.95%	
	standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	52.50%	11.21%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	9.60%	-	
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – High School	55.50%	23.17%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	18.69%	37.74%	

		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 4th Grade	27.50%	32.26%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	28.85%	7.79%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 8th Grade	35.50%	7.48%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.40%	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – High School	55.50%	30.86%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	23.81%	38.89%
3D	Gap in Proficiency Rates COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 4th Grade	16.50%	30.87%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	30.91%	22.14%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade	23.50%	30.54%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	27.57%	30.06%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School	47.50%	38.64%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	41.23%	49.40%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade	13.50%	20.21%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	18.92%	17.00%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	6.21%	6.51%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	6.20%	5.33%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School	34.00%	26.06%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	26.38%	25.37%
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days nondisabled COMAR 13A.08.03.03	s): Disabled vs.	2.00	0.59	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.59	-
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≥ 80% of the day (MD	71.25%	66.47%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	66.75%	64.90%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≤ 40% of the day (MD	11.50%	11.13%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	8.99%	10.50%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE day and residential facilities and facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR	home and hospital	6.00%	7.10%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	7.56%	6.71%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Service childhood setting the majority of COMAR 13A.05.01.10		63.00%	68.46%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	69.58%	61.09%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separat COMAR 13A.05.01.10	e School or Class	19.00%	17.25%	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	18.18%	13.33%
6C	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home		0.32%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00%	0.00%
7 A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Social Emotional - Exits with substantial growth	71.00%	71.76%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	64.99%	64.53%
	GONIAN 15A. 15.01.09	SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations	54.00%	42.51%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	41.28%	46.52%
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth	73.50%	69.75%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	64.21%	62.57%
	33mm (CO. 10.01.00	SS2– Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations	52.00%	40.10%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	40.96%	46.09%
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	SS1 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits with substantial growth	72.50%	56.32%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	46.64%	52.38%
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS2 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits within age expectations	60.50%	45.09%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	46.24%	53.48%

8A	Parent Survey - Preschool Age		85.50%	68.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	69.00%	82.00%
8A Response Rate	Parent Survey- Preschool Response	onse Rate	18.00%	20.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	25.00%	21.00%
8B	Parent Survey - School Age		73.00%	60.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	61.00%	66.00%
8B Response Rate	Parent Survey - School Age Res	sponse Rate	9.00%	13.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	10.00%	10.00%
14	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were:	Higher Education	25.50%	23.24%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	27.79%	22.11%
	COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a) (ii)	Higher Education or Employed	58.00%	52.01%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	61.63%	56.32%
		Higher Ed, Training, or Employed	58.00%	52.01%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	62.33%	56.42%
4B	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Discrepancy - Black/African American	2.00	3.23	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	-	-
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	2.09	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - Two or more races	2.00	3.16	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - White	2.00	3.80	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	-	-
9	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate	Disproportionate Representation - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.90	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.00	0.89
	identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Asian	2.00	0.57	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.58	0.55
		Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American	2.00	1.32	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.26	1.30
		Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.75	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.80	0.60
		Disproportionate Representation - White	2.00	1.02	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.02	0.96
		Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races	2.00	1.04	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.06	1.10
		Disproportionate Representation - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.68	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.71	0.74
10	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification.	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Asian	2.00	0.64	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.70	0.70
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Black/African American	2.00	2.27	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.35	2.18

Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - White	2.00	0.55	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.55	0.57
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.63	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.64	0.50
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.69	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.60	0.73
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	1.16	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.57	1.31
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Asian	2.00	0.27	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.27	0.26
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Black/African American	2.00	1.46	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.42	1.53
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.69	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - White	2.00	0.89	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.89	0.84
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	1.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.99	0.95
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.87	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.91	0.90
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Black/African American	2.00	1.77	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.81	1.85
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - White	2.00	1.01	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.91	0.86

Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - 2 or more races	2.00	1.46	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.58	1.57
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.31	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.37	0.37
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	1.05	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.33	1.34
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.58	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.71	0.67
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	0.67	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.67	0.66
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - White	2.00	1.88	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.83	1.66
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	1.10	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.99	1.27
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.73	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.73	0.83
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.87	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.77	0.73
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.20	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.23	0.22
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	1.57	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.55	1.59
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - White	2.00	1.05	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.03	0.94
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	1.19	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.20	1.28
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.46	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.46	0.51

		Disproportionate Representation - Autism	2.00	0.47	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		- American Indian/Alaska Native						
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian	2.00	1.31	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.30	1.22
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American	2.00	1.28	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.18	1.15
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	1.34	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.75	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White	2.00	0.92	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.96	0.97
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races	2.00	0.94	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.13	1.09
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.57	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.55	0.62
11	Percentage of students with writ evaluate who were evaluated wit COMAR 13A.05.01.06		100.00%	91.78%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	99.66%	94.37%
12	Percentage of eligible children e have an IEP in effect by the child COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.13.01.09	d's 3rd birthday.	100.00%	99.10%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	95.65%	81.82%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 an secondary transition requiremen COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) Co (a)(ii)	ts.	100.00%	98.83%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Correction of Noncompliance	Correction of Noncompliance MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	0.00%
Timely and Valid Data	Timely and Valid Data MSDE		100.00%	0.00%	No	Untimely submission of SSIS data. An Improvement Plan is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Complaints Filed	Number of Complaints Filed MSDE/DEISES		0.00	56.00	No	No further action is required.	27.00	18.00
Number of Complaints Identified with Violations	Number of Complaints Identified MSDE/DEISES	with Violations	0.00	38.00	No	Please work with Dispute Resolution staff to ensure any identified noncompliance is corrected as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from notification of findings.	18.00	15.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Filed	Number of Due Process Hearing MSDE	s Filed	0.00	81.00	No	No further action is required.	81.00	51.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations	Number of Due Process Hearing MSDE	s Identified with Violations	0.00	1.00	No	Please work with Dispute Resolution staff to ensure any identified noncompliance is corrected as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from notification of findings.	0.00	0.00
Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified	Fiscal Accountability: Number of MSDE	Findings Identified	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	1.00
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading	Percentage of Students Taking t Reading MSDE	he Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	1.25%	No	Target not met. An Improvement plan is required.	1.30%	-

Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math MSDE	1.00%	1.25%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.30%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science MSDE	1.00%	1.31%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.50%	-
Number of SWD Restraints	Number of SWD Restraints MSDE	0.00	2264.00	No	No further action is required.	764.00	-
Number of SWD Seclusions	Number of SWD Seclusions MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	137.00	-
Significant Disproportionality	Number of Categories Identified for Significant Disproportionality 34 C.F.R. § 300.646	0.00	1.00	No	A Comprehensive Coordinated Early Intervening Services (CCEIS) Plan is required.	1.00	-



Dr. Andraé Townsel Superintendent Calvert County Public Schools 1305 Dares Beach Road Prince Frederick, MD 20678

Dear Dr. Townsel:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services (DEI/SES) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]. The MSDE, DEI/SES uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each Local Education Agency (LEA) in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2022 determinations, Calvert County has achieved the determination status of **Meets Requirements**.

Attached please find supporting documents:

- FFY 2022 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a local
 determination status on page two please note that fiscal and complaints/due process hearing data
 continues to be used;
- FFY 2022 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a graduated results-driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year);
- FFY 2022 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21); and
- DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision and Support (provides the framework used by the DEI/SES to guide the level of monitoring and corresponding level of performance support provided to LEAs).

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the *DEI/SES Strategic Plan: Moving Maryland Forward* provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), Calvert County has been assigned the **Universal** tier for the delivery of the DEI/SES technical assistance model for results. Please see the *DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision* for more information.

Dr. Andraé Townsel June 18, 2024 Page 2

> Each LEA is strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) and Family Support Center to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DEI/SES will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to excellence, equity, and efficiency. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of Calvert County to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Antoine Hickman, Ed.D.

Siton J. Hickory

Assistant State Superintendent

Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services

Attachments

c: Dr. Carey Wright, State Superintendent of Schools

Dr. Deann Collins, Deputy State Superintendent

Dr. Kendy Anderson, Director of Special Education

MSDE DEI/SES Branch/Section Chiefs



Calvert County

	SPP/APR Indicators	landi astan	FFY 2	2022 (SFY 20	023)	Action	Previous	s Results
•	Results Indicator Compliance	Indicator	State Target	Local Results	Target Met	Required	FFY 2021	FFY 2020
1	Students with IEPs graduating with a Data (lag data indicator) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.		74.35%	81.82%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	78.05%	85.37%
2	Students with IEPs dropping out (ES on lag data for 2019-2020) COMAR 13A.08.01.07	SA Option 2 leaver rate based	13.00%	7.95%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	6.10%	1.24%
3A	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 4th Grade	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	99.20%	-
	COWAR 15A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 8th Grade	95.00%	98.84%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	98.45%	-
		Participation – Reading – High School	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
		Participation – Math – 4th Grade	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
		Participation – Math – 8th Grade	95.00%	97.67%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	96.12%	-
		Participation – Math – High School	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
3B	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	11.00%	18.35%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	13.79%	4.63%
	CONIAN TOA.US.TT.US	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	9.00%	12.50%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	5.13%	3.30%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – High School	17.50%	13.46%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	13.85%	12.16%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 4th Grade	14.00%	19.27%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	12.82%	1.87%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 8th Grade	2.50%	2.53%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	2.63%	2.20%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – High School	10.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.79%	9.72%
3C	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against alternate academic achievement standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	42.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	25.00%

	_							
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	52.50%	20.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	20.00%	100.00%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – High School	55.50%	40.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	29.41%	30.00%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 4th Grade	27.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	25.00%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 8th Grade	35.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	20.00%	100.00%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – High School	55.50%	20.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	29.41%	40.00%
3D	Gap in Proficiency Rates COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 4th Grade	16.50%	44.22%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	38.45%	22.94%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade	23.50%	54.54%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	50.31%	35.75%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School	47.50%	53.15%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	52.73%	67.84%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade	13.50%	32.44%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	28.71%	23.57%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	6.21%	24.05%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	22.00%	3.77%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School	34.00%	45.37%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	42.59%	53.60%
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): D COMAR 13A.08.03.03	disabled vs. nondisabled	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	-
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≥ LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	80% of the day (MD SSIS	71.25%	83.09%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	78.04%	73.20%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≤ 4 LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	40% of the day (MD SSIS	11.50%	7.43%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	7.64%	8.10%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is se residential facilities and home and ho COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.	ospital facilities	6.00%	3.41%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	3.62%	4.54%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services in the majority of the day COMAR 13A.05.01.10	regular early childhood setting	63.00%	23.31%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	25.11%	41.82%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate Sc COMAR 13A.05.01.10	chool or Class	19.00%	27.12%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	25.55%	22.27%
6C	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home		0.32%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.44%	0.00%

7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Social Emotional - Exits with substantial growth	71.00%	86.96%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	74.36%	81.97%
	GOWAR 13A.13.01.09	SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations	54.00%	52.99%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	56.07%	67.37%
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth	73.50%	87.25%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	82.56%	84.29%
	GOWAK 13A.13.91.09	SS2– Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations	52.00%	52.14%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	57.94%	66.32%
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs. COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits with substantial growth	72.50%	76.19%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	81.01%	88.76%
		SS2 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits within age expectations	60.50%	52.99%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	65.42%	75.79%
8A	Parent Survey - Preschool Age		85.50%	87.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	77.00%	89.00%
8A Response Rate	Parent Survey- Preschool Response	Rate	18.00%	13.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	18.00%	15.00%
8B	Parent Survey - School Age		73.00%	69.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	72.00%	82.00%
8B Response Rate	Parent Survey - School Age Respons	se Rate	9.00%	8.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	15.00%	16.00%
14	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07	Higher Education	25.50%	26.14%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	14.63%	22.89%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	Higher Education or Employed	58.00%	67.05%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	68.29%	60.24%
		Higher Ed, Training, or Employed	58.00%	69.32%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	68.29%	60.24%
4B	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Discrepancy - Black/African American	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
	COMAR 13Á.08.01.21	Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - Two or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - White	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
9	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification.	Disproportionate Representation - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Asian	2.00	0.64	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.49	0.53
		Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American	2.00	1.47	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.59	1.58

Disproportionate 2.00 0.00 Target met. No 0.00 0.00 Yes Representation - Native further action is Hawaiian/Pacific Islander required. Disproportionate 2.00 0.84 Yes Target met. No 0.82 0.85 Representation - White further action is required. Disproportionate Target met. No 0.92 2.00 1.04 Yes 0.99 Representation - 2 or more further action is races required. Disproportionate Target met. No further action is 2 00 0.87 Yes 0.87 0.81 Representation -Hispanic/Latino required. Disproportionate 2.00 0.00 Yes Target met. No 0.00 0.00 Representation further action Intellectual Disability required. American Indian/Alaska Native Disproportionate 2.00 0.00 Yes Target met. No 0.00 0.00 Representation further action Intellectual Disability required. Asian Disproportionate 2.00 2.93 No Target not met. 2.92 2.61 Representation -Intellectual Disability -Improvement Black/African American Plan is required. Disproportionate 2.00 0.00 Target met. No 0.00 0.00 Yes Representation further action Intellectual Disability required. Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Disproportionate 2.00 0.66 Yes Target met. No 0.61 0.67 Representation further action Intellectual Disability required. White 2.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 Disproportionate Yes Target met. No Representation further action Intellectual Disability - 2 or required. more races 2.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 Disproportionate Yes Target met. No Representation further action Intellectual Disability required. Hispanic/Latino Target met. No Disproportionate 2.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 Yes Representation - Specific further action Learning Disability required. American Indian/Alaska Native Disproportionate 0.00 2.00 0.00 Target met. No 0.00 Yes Representation - Specific further action Learning Disability - Asian required. Disproportionate 2.00 1.98 Target met. No 2.26 2.28 Yes Representation - Specific Learning Disability further action required. Black/African American 2.00 0.00 Target met. No 0.00 0.00 Disproportionate Yes Representation - Specific further action Learning Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander required. Disproportionate 2.00 0.71 Target met. No 0.68 0.65 Yes Representation - Specific further action Learning Disability - White required. Target met. No Disproportionate 2.00 0.72 Yes 0.68 0.73 Representation - Specific further action Learning Disability - 2 or required. more races Disproportionate 2.00 1.21 Yes Target met. No 1.05 1.05 Representation - Specific Learning Disability further action required. Hispanic/Latino

10

Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification.

COMAR 13A.08.01.21

Disproportionate Representation - Emotional	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action	0.00	0.00
Disturbance - American Indian/Alaska Native				required.		
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Black/African American	2.00	2.66	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	3.16	3.06
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - White	2.00	0.46	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.46	0.49
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - 2 or more races	2.00	2.01	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.05	1.54
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	1.09	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.04	0.96
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - White	2.00	1.15	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.14	1.23
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	0.79	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.85	0.90
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.85	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.90	0.79
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	1.25	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.31	1.49
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00

		Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - White	2.00	0.90	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.88	0.90
		Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	1.49	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.29	1.18
		Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.60	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.73	0.52
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
			2.00	1.45	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American	2.00	1.87	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.85	1.81
	Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00	
	Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White	2.00	0.69	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.71	0.65	
	Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races	2.00	0.85	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.92	0.92	
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	1.02	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.04	1.32
11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days. COMAR 13A.05.01.06		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	99.19%	99.36%
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday. COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements. COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Correction of Noncompliance	Correction of Noncompliance MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Timely and Valid Data	Timely and Valid Data MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Complaints Filed	Number of Complaints Filed MSDE/DEISES		0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	1.00	1.00
Number of Complaints Identified with Violations	Number of Complaints Identified with Violations MSDE/DEISES		0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	1.00	1.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Filed	Number of Due Process Hearings Filed MSDE		0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	2.00	0.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations	Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations MSDE		0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified	Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified MSDE		0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00

Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading MSDE	1.00%	0.56%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.70%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math MSDE	1.00%	0.56%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.70%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science MSDE	1.00%	0.53%	Yes	Target Met No further action is required.	0.90%	-
Number of SWD Restraints	Number of SWD Restraints MSDE	0.00	11.00	No	No further action is required.	11.00	-
Number of SWD Seclusions	Number of SWD Seclusions MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Significant Disproportionality	Number of Categories Identified for Significant Disproportionality 34 C.F.R. § 300.646	0.00	2.00	No	A Comprehensive Coordinated Early Intervening Services (CCEIS) Plan is required.	3.00	-



Dr. Derek L. Simmons Superintendent Caroline County Public Schools 204 Franklin Street Denton, MD 21629

Dear Dr. Simmons:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services (DEI/SES) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]. The MSDE, DEI/SES uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each Local Education Agency (LEA) in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2022 determinations, Caroline County has achieved the determination status of Meets Requirements.

Attached please find supporting documents:

- FFY 2022 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a local determination status on page two please note that fiscal and complaints/due process hearing data continues to be used;
- FFY 2022 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a graduated results-driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year);
- FFY 2022 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21); and
- DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision and Support (provides the framework used by the DEI/SES to guide the level of monitoring and corresponding level of performance support provided to LEAs).

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the DEI/SES Strategic Plan: Moving Maryland Forward provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), Caroline County has been assigned the Universal tier for the delivery of the DEI/SES technical assistance model for results. Please see the DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision for more information.

Each LEA is strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) and Family Support Center to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DEI/SES will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to excellence, equity, and efficiency. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of Caroline County to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Antoine Hickman, Ed.D.

Actor J. Hickor

Assistant State Superintendent

Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services

Attachments

Dr. Carey Wright, State Superintendent of Schools Dr. Deann Collins, Deputy State Superintendent Erica McDonald, Coordinator of Special Education MSDE DEI/SES Branch/Section Chiefs



Carey M. Wright, Ed.D. State Superintendent of Schools

Caroline County

		Part B Annual SPP Perform	ance Repor					
	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance Indicator				023)	Action Required	Previous	Results
	Results illuicator Compilance	mulcator	State Target	Local Results	Target Met	Required	FFY 2021	FFY 2020
1	Students with IEPs graduating with a Data (lag data indicator) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A		74.35%	61.76%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	71.43%	75.76°
2	Students with IEPs dropping out (ES on lag data for 2019-2020) COMAR 13A.08.01.07			23.53%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	14.29%	0.57%
3A	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 4th Grade	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
		Participation – Reading – 8th Grade	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
		Participation – Reading – High School	95.00%	92.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	92.59%	-
		Participation – Math – 4th Grade	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
		Participation – Math – 8th Grade	95.00%	96.67%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	97.14%	-
		Participation – Math – High School	95.00%	92.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	100.00%	-
3B	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	11.00%	2.33%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	5.71%	6.67
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	9.00%	3.85%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	4.00
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – High School	17.50%	11.11%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	14.29
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 4th Grade	14.00%	4.65%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	0.009

		Proficiency Grade Level	2.50%	4.00%	Yes	Target met. No	6.06%	0.00%
		Standards – Math – 8th Grade	2.50%	4.00%	res	further action is required.	6.06%	0.00%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – High School	10.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	12.50%
3C	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against alternate academic achievement standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	42.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	0.00%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	52.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – High School	55.50%	20.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	50.00%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 4th Grade	27.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	0.00%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 8th Grade	35.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – High School	55.50%	20.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	50.00%
3D	Gap in Proficiency Rates COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 4th Grade	16.50%	41.28%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	38.96%	11.59%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade	23.50%	36.00%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	34.68%	17.22%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School	47.50%	34.89%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	46.06%	52.47%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade	13.50%	21.30%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	20.98%	11.27%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	6.21%	17.41%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	10.49%	1.33%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School	34.00%	20.90%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	21.20%	38.67%
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): D COMAR 13A.08.03.03	isabled vs. nondisabled	2.00	0.20	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.20	-
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≥ 1 LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	80% of the day (MD SSIS	71.25%	77.82%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	80.15%	81.48%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≤ 4 LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	40% of the day (MD SSIS	11.50%	11.50%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	10.21%	9.55%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is se residential facilities and home and ho COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.	spital facilities	6.00%	1.03%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.93%	1.17%

6 A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services in regular early childhood setting the majority of the day COMAR 13A.05.01.10 Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class COMAR 13A.05.01.10		63.00%	75.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	82.14%	79.55%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate Sc COMAR 13A.05.01.10	hool or Class	19.00%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00%	2.27%
6C	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home		0.32%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00%	0.00%
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Social Emotional - Exits with substantial growth	71.00%	50.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	92.86%	69.57%
		SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations	54.00%	50.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	77.78%	60.00%
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth	73.50%	62.50%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	92.31%	86.96%
		SS2– Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations	52.00%	62.50%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	77.78%	60.00%
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs. COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits with substantial growth	72.50%	37.50%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	88.89%	68.18%
		SS2 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits within age expectations	60.50%	25.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	72.22%	56.67%
8A	Parent Survey - Preschool Age		85.50%	87.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	79.00%	80.00%
8A Response Rate	Parent Survey- Preschool Response	Rate	18.00%	75.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	64.00%	54.00%
8B	Parent Survey - School Age		73.00%	82.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	84.00%	82.00%
8B Response Rate	Parent Survey - School Age Respons	se Rate	9.00%	17.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	11.00%	23.00%
14	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in	Higher Education	25.50%	-	NA		-	-
	effect at the time they left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	Higher Education or Employed	58.00%	64.71%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	53.57%	48.48%
		Higher Ed, Training, or Employed	58.00%	67.65%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	53.57%	54.55%
4B	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Discrepancy - Black/African American	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - Two or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-

9	Disproportionate representation (≥	Disproportionate	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No	0.00	0.00
	2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification.	Representation - American Indian/Alaska Native				further action is required.		
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American	2.00	1.39	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.38	1.22
		Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - White	2.00	1.19	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.19	1.28
		Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races	2.00	1.06	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.04	1.12
		Disproportionate Representation - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.49	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.49	0.43
10	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Black/African American	2.00	2.63	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.78	1.49
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - White	2.00	1.04	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.47	2.18
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Black/African American	2.00	1.73	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.83	1.45
		Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - White	2.00	1.16	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.99	1.12

Disproportionate	2.00	0.54	Yes	Target met. No	0.59	0.59
Representation - Specific Learning Disability - 2 or more races				further action required.		
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.60	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.71	0.75
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Black/African American	2.00	1.75	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.52	1.47
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - White	2.00	1.14	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.19	1.34
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - 2 or more races	2.00	1.76	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	0.51	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.88	0.97
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - White	2.00	1.39	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.78	1.69
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	1.49	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.94	0.99
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.72	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.36	0.32
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00

		Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	1.45	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.99	0.78
		Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - White	2.00	1.27	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.18	1.42
		Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	1.46	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	2.09	2.06
		Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.26	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.38	0.25
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American	2.00	0.74	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.62	1.42
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White	2.00	1.14	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.67	0.77
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races	2.00	1.32	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.61	1.64
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.67	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.69	0.72
11	Percentage of students with written p who were evaluated within 60 calenda COMAR 13A.05.01.06		100.00%	97.07%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	100.00%	100.00%
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthda COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COM 13A.13.01.09	y.	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above secondary transition requirements. COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Correction of Noncompliance	Correction of Noncompliance MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Timely and Valid Data	Timely and Valid Data MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Complaints Filed	Number of Complaints Filed MSDE/DEISES		0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Number of Complaints Identified with Violations	Number of Complaints Identified with MSDE/DEISES	umber of Complaints Identified with Violations SDE/DEISES		0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Number of Due Process Hearings Filed	Number of Due Process Hearings File MSDE	ed	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	1.00

Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations	Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified	Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading MSDE	1.00%	0.89%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.70%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math MSDE	1.00%	0.89%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.70%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science MSDE	1.00%	0.96%	Yes	Target Met No further action is required.	0.90%	-
Number of SWD Restraints	Number of SWD Restraints MSDE	0.00	23.00	No	No further action is required.	15.00	-
Number of SWD Seclusions	Number of SWD Seclusions MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Significant Disproportionality	Number of Categories Identified for Significant Disproportionality 34 C.F.R. § 300.646	0.00	2.00	No	A Comprehensive Coordinated Early Intervening Services (CCEIS) Plan is required.	1.00	-



Ms. Cynthia McCabe Superintendent Carroll County Public Schools 125 North Court Street Westminster, MD 21157

Dear Ms. McCabe:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services (DEI/SES) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]. The MSDE, DEI/SES uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each Local Education Agency (LEA) in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2022 determinations, Carroll County has achieved the determination status of Meets Requirements.

Attached please find supporting documents:

- FFY 2022 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a local determination status on page two – please note that fiscal and complaints/due process hearing data continues to be used
- FFY 2022 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a graduated results-driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year);
- FFY 2022 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21); and
- DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision and Support (provides the framework used by the DEI/SES to guide the level of monitoring and corresponding level of performance support provided to LEAs).

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the *DEI/SES Strategic Plan: Moving Maryland Forward* provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), Carroll County has been assigned the **Universal** tier for the delivery of the DEI/SES technical assistance model for results. Please see the *DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision* for more information.

Ms. Cynthia McCabe June 18, 2024 Page 2

> Each LEA is strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) and Family Support Center to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DEI/SES will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

> Thank you for your hard work and commitment to excellence, equity, and efficiency. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of Carroll County to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Antoine Hickman, Ed.D.

Actor J. Hickory

Assistant State Superintendent

Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services

Attachments

c: Dr. Carey Wright, State Superintendent of Schools Dr. Deann Collins, Deputy State Superintendent Chris Wittle, Director of Special Education MSDE DEI/SES Branch/Section Chiefs



Carroll County

		Part B Annual SP	P Performa	nce Report				
	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator	co Indicator	FFY	2022 (SFY 2	023)	Action Required	Previous	Results
_	Results Indicator Complian	ce muicator	State Target	Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2021	FFY 2020
1	Students with IEPs graduating Annual 618 Data (lag data indic COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR	cator)	74.35%	68.88%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	73.94%	81.48%
2	Students with IEPs dropping our ate based on lag data for 2019 COMAR 13A.08.01.07		13.00%	18.37%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	9.09%	2.03%
3A	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the	Participation – Reading – 4th Grade	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
	LEA. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 8th Grade	95.00%	98.59%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	98.26%	-
		Participation – Reading – High School	95.00%	92.95%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	100.00%	-
		Participation – Math – 4th Grade	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	99.60%	-
		Participation – Math – 8th Grade	95.00%	98.10%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	97.39%	-
		Participation – Math – High School	95.00%	88.99%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	100.00%	-
3В	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards.	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	11.00%	16.73%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	22.31%	6.03%
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	9.00%	7.73%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	4.37%	4.90%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – High School	17.50%	12.10%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	13.82%	17.74%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 4th Grade	14.00%	15.87%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	20.33%	9.48%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 8th Grade	2.50%	5.24%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	2.94%	3.91%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – High School	10.50%	3.90%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.49%	13.39%
3C	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against alternate academic achievement standards.	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	42.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	6.67%
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	52.50%	43.75%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	30.00%	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – High School	55.50%	66.67%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	64.00%	77.27%

		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 4th Grade	27.50%	27.27%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	0.00%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 8th Grade	35.50%	6.25%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	10.00%	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – High School	55.50%	65.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	32.00%	63.64%
3D	Gap in Proficiency Rates COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 4th Grade	16.50%	49.60%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	43.29%	29.54%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade	23.50%	55.01%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	43.67%	30.88%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School	47.50%	36.39%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	35.41%	61.63%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade	13.50%	39.61%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	30.53%	31.34%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	6.21%	25.41%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	21.18%	15.67%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School	34.00%	52.43%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	56.57%	59.59%
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days nondisabled COMAR 13A.08.03.03	s): Disabled vs.	2.00	0.55	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.55	-
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≥ 80% of the day (MD	71.25%	79.71%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	77.91%	75.27%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≤ 40% of the day (MD	11.50%	7.25%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	8.01%	10.33%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE day and residential facilities and facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 2	home and hospital	6.00%	2.67%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	3.18%	3.47%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services childhood setting the majority of COMAR 13A.05.01.10		63.00%	36.22%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	38.07%	26.63%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separat COMAR 13A.05.01.10	e School or Class	19.00%	24.02%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	26.90%	33.17%
6C	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home		0.32%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.51%	0.00%
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Social Emotional - Exits with substantial growth	71.00%	88.19%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	67.90%	64.06%
	COMAR 15A.15.01.09	SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations	54.00%	46.45%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	41.67%	32.88%
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth	73.50%	89.12%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	75.31%	72.06%
	CONIAN 13A.13.01.09	SS2– Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations	52.00%	50.32%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	36.90%	41.10%
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	SS1 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits with substantial growth	72.50%	86.86%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	71.25%	77.61%
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS2 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits within age expectations	60.50%	57.42%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	51.19%	41.10%

8A	Parent Survey - Preschool Age		85.50%	80.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	78.00%	91.00%
8A Response Rate	Parent Survey- Preschool Respo	onse Rate	18.00%	54.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	77.00%	24.00%
8B	Parent Survey - School Age		73.00%	74.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	76.00%	79.00%
8B Response Rate	Parent Survey - School Age Res	ponse Rate	9.00%	10.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	18.00%	10.00%
14	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were:	Higher Education	25.50%	20.81%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	25.45%	25.31%
	COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a) (ii)	Higher Education or Employed	58.00%	61.42%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	68.48%	64.20%
		Higher Ed, Training, or Employed	58.00%	66.50%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	74.55%	65.43%
4B	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-	Discrepancy - Black/African American	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
	21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - Two or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - White	2.00	3.77	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	-	-
9	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate.	Disproportionate Representation - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	1.89	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	2.00	1.70
	result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Asian	2.00	0.58	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.61	0.60
		Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American	2.00	1.13	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.15	1.27
		Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.99	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.76	0.91
		Disproportionate Representation - White	2.00	0.92	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.91	0.91
		Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races	2.00	1.29	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.34	1.28
		Disproportionate Representation - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	1.11	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.10	1.05
10	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	GOMAN 15A.00.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Asian	2.00	1.88	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.62	1.29
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Black/African American	2.00	1.06	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.45	1.82
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00

Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability -	2.00	0.86	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.97	0.91
White Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	1.78	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.04	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.62	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.61	0.81
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Asian	2.00	0.24	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.29	0.26
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Black/African American	2.00	1.21	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.22	1.72
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - White	2.00	0.86	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.79	0.76
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	1.22	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.34	1.10
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	1.44	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.59	1.50
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Black/African American	2.00	1.36	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.22	1.35
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - White	2.00	1.20	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.24	1.06
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - 2 or more races	2.00	1.67	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.58	1.26

Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.45	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.43	0.76
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.69	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.68	0.56
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	0.78	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.79	0.73
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - White	2.00	1.04	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.99	1.07
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	1.06	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.17	1.19
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	1.14	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.20	1.10
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.47	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.55	0.46
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	1.53	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.59	1.22
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - White	2.00	0.78	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.81	0.88
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	1.35	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.45	1.58
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	1.23	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.04	1.03
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian	2.00	1.41	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.03	1.10

		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American	2.00	1.27	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.41	1.19
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White	2.00	0.80	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.87	0.99
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races	2.00	1.49	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.37	1.32
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.86	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.85	0.67
11	Percentage of students with writt evaluate who were evaluated wit COMAR 13A.05.01.06		100.00%	99.46%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	99.62%	99.00%
12	Percentage of eligible children en have an IEP in effect by the child COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.13.01.09	l's 3rd birthday.	100.00%	90.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	75.00%	100.00%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and secondary transition requirement COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) CC (a)(ii)	ts.	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	99.25%	99.81%
Correction of Noncompliance	Correction of Noncompliance MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Timely and Valid Data	Timely and Valid Data MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Complaints Filed	Number of Complaints Filed MSDE/DEISES		0.00	5.00	No	No further action is required.	2.00	0.00
Number of Complaints Identified with Violations	Number of Complaints Identified MSDE/DEISES	with Violations	0.00	2.00	No	Please work with Dispute Resolution staff to ensure any identified noncompliance is corrected as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from notification of findings.	1.00	-
Number of Due Process Hearings Filed	Number of Due Process Hearing MSDE	s Filed	0.00	7.00	No	No further action is required.	7.00	7.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations	Number of Due Process Hearing MSDE	s Identified with Violations	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified	Fiscal Accountability: Number of MSDE	Findings Identified	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading	Percentage of Students Taking to Reading MSDE	he Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	0.69%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.80%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math	Percentage of Students Taking to Math MSDE	he Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	0.72%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.90%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science	Percentage of Students Taking t Science MSDE	he Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	0.79%	Yes	Target Met No further action is required.	1.10%	-

Number of SWD Restraints	Number of SWD Restraints MSDE	0.00	192.00	No	No further action is required.	298.00	-
Number of SWD Seclusions	Number of SWD Seclusions MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	190.00	-
Significant Disproportionality	Number of Categories Identified for Significant Disproportionality 34 C.F.R. § 300.646	0.00	0.00	Yes	No action is required.	0.00	-



Dr. Jeffrey A. Lawson Superintendent Cecil County Public Schools 201 Booth Street Elkton, MD 21921

Dear Dr. Lawson:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services (DEI/SES) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]. The MSDE, DEI/SES uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each Local Education Agency (LEA) in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2022 determinations, Cecil County has achieved the determination status of **Meets Requirements**.

Attached please find supporting documents:

- FFY 2022 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a local determination status on page two please note that fiscal and complaints/due process hearing data continues to be used;
- FFY 2022 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a graduated results-driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year);
- FFY 2022 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21); and
- DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision and Support (provides the framework used by the DEI/SES to guide the level of monitoring and corresponding level of performance support provided to LEAs).

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the *DEI/SES Strategic Plan: Moving Maryland Forward* provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), Cecil County has been assigned the **Universal** tier for the delivery of the DEI/SES technical assistance model for results. Please see the *DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision* for more information.

Dr. Jeffrey A. Lawson June 18, 2024 Page 2

> Each LEA is strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) and Family Support Center to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DEI/SES will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

> Thank you for your hard work and commitment to excellence, equity, and efficiency. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of Cecil County to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Antoine Hickman, Ed.D.

Assistant State Superintendent

Actor I. Hickory

Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services

Attachments

c: Dr. Carey Wright, State Superintendent of Schools Dr. Deann Collins, Deputy State Superintendent Rebecca Miller, Director for Special Education MSDE DEI/SES Branch/Section Chiefs



Carey M. Wright, Ed.D. State Superintendent of Schools

Cecil County

	SPP/APR Indicators	Indicator	FFY 2022 (SFY 2023)			Action Required	Previous Result	
	Results Indicator Compliance	muicator	State Target	Local Results	Target Met	Required	FFY 2021	FFY 2020
1	Students with IEPs graduating with a Data (lag data indicator) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A		74.35%	82.99%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	83.05%	80.62
2	Students with IEPs dropping out (ES on lag data for 2019-2020) COMAR 13A.08.01.07	COMAR 13A.08.01.07 State Assessment: Participation Participation – Reading –		7.48%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	8.47%	2.359
3A	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 4th Grade	95.00%	98.93%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	97.25%	-
	COMAN TOA.03.11.03	Participation – Reading – 8th Grade	95.00%	96.86%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	96.83%	-
		Participation – Reading – High School	95.00%	95.17%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	94.30%	-
		Participation – Math – 4th Grade	95.00%	99.47%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	97.25%	-
		Participation – Math – 8th Grade	95.00%	96.30%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	95.77%	-
		Participation – Math – High School	95.00%	94.16%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	93.48%	-
3В	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	11.00%	6.56%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	7.74%	4.32
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	9.00%	11.18%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	7.51%	1.59
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – High School	17.50%	16.54%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	16.91%	4.90
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 4th Grade	14.00%	3.26%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	5.36%	2.15
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 8th Grade	2.50%	1.80%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	3.51%	2.46

		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – High School	10.50%	0.81%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.86%	2.80%
3C	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against alternate academic achievement standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	42.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	0.00%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	52.50%	6.67%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	20.00%	16.67%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – High School	55.50%	40.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	38.46%	66.67%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 4th Grade	27.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	11.11%	0.00%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 8th Grade	35.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	0.00%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – High School	55.50%	40.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	23.08%	100.00%
3D	Gap in Proficiency Rates COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 4th Grade	16.50%	41.07%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	35.45%	13.85%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade	23.50%	40.06%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	34.78%	25.38%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School	47.50%	36.35%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	35.91%	65.77%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade	13.50%	26.22%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	19.48%	15.90%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	6.21%	18.26%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	14.06%	3.20%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School	34.00%	29.08%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	28.20%	41.28%
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): [COMAR 13A.08.03.03	Disabled vs. nondisabled	2.00	0.07	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.07	1.46
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≥ LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	80% of the day (MD SSIS	71.25%	88.91%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	88.49%	88.35%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≤ LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	40% of the day (MD SSIS	11.50%	4.63%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	4.67%	5.06%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is some residential facilities and home and home COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.	ospital facilities	6.00%	2.53%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	2.85%	2.88%
6 A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services in the majority of the day COMAR 13A.05.01.10	regular early childhood setting	63.00%	80.82%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	77.78%	71.51%

6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate Sc COMAR 13A.05.01.10	rhool or Class	19.00%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00%	0.00%
6C	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home		0.32%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.48%	1.12%
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Social Emotional - Exits with substantial growth	71.00%	65.79%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	62.50%	72.22%
		SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations	54.00%	43.53%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	47.76%	56.34%
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth	73.50%	77.63%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	79.63%	83.08%
	COMPART TO A TO CO T. CO	SS2– Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations	52.00%	49.41%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	55.22%	57.75%
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs. COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits with substantial growth	72.50%	67.12%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	75.86%	75.47%
		SS2 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits within age expectations	60.50%	48.24%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	56.72%	61.97%
8A	Parent Survey - Preschool Age		85.50%	83.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	88.00%	64.00%
8A Response Rate	Parent Survey- Preschool Response	Rate	18.00%	16.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	12.00%	10.00%
8B	Parent Survey - School Age		73.00%	69.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	68.00%	66.00%
8B Response Rate	Parent Survey - School Age Respons	se Rate	9.00%	5.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	6.00%	7.00%
14	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	Higher Education	25.50%	14.77%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	-	13.08%
		Higher Education or Employed	58.00%	57.72%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	70.34%	61.54%
		Higher Ed, Training, or Employed	58.00%	59.06%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	71.19%	61.54%
4B	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Discrepancy - Black/African American	2.00	0.97	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-

Discrepancy - Two or more races	0.00 0.40 1.19 1.46
9 Disproportionate representation (2 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21 Disproportionate Representation - American indian/Alaska Native Disproportionate Representation - American indian/Alaska Native Disproportionate Representation - Asian Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American Disproportionate Representation - Native Representation - White Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races Disproportionate Representation - 2 or 0 0.00 Yes Target met. No further action required. Disproportionate Representation - 2 or 0 0.00 Yes Target met. No further action required.	0.40 1.19 1.46 1.02
Representation - American Representation American Americ	0.40 1.19 1.46 1.02
Disproportionate Representation - Asian Representation - Asian Representation - Asian Representation - Asian Representation - Black/African American	1.19 1.46 1.02
Representation - Black/African American Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawalian/Pacific Islander Disproportionate Representation - White Disproportionate Representation - White Disproportionate Representation - White Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races Disproportionate Representation - Hispanic/Latino Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races Disproportionate Representation - Hispanic/Latino Disproportionate Representation - Representation - Hispanic/Latino Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Intellectual Disability - Representation - Representation - Representation - Representation - Representation - Intellectual Disability - Representation - Representation - Representation - Representation - Representation - Intellectual Disability - Representation - Rep	1.46
Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Disproportionate Representation - White Disproportionate Representation - White Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races Disproportionate Representation - Hispanic/Latino Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.00 0.86 Yes Target met. No further action is required. Disproportionate Representation - Hispanic/Latino Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Intellec	1.02
Representation - White Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races	
Representation - 2 or more races Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races Disproportionate Representation - Hispanic/Latino Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.00 0.86 Yes Target met. No further action is required.	
To Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21 Representation - Hispanic/Latino Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Intellectua	0.91
2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21 Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native Representation - Intellectual Disability - Intellectual Disab	0.85
Representation - further action Intellectual Disability - required.	0.00
	0.00
Disproportionate 2.00 2.01 No Target not met. 1.56 Representation - Intellectual Disability - Black/African American Improvement Plan is required.	2.14
Disproportionate 2.00 0.00 Yes Target met. No further action required. Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.00
Disproportionate 2.00 0.66 Yes Target met. No further action required.	0.74
Disproportionate 2.00 0.61 Yes Target met. No further action required.	0.75
Disproportionate 2.00 1.30 Yes Target met. No further action required.	0.71
Disproportionate 2.00 0.00 Yes Target met. No further action required. American Indian/Alaska Native	0.00
Disproportionate 2.00 0.00 Yes Target met. No further action required.	
Disproportionate 2.00 1.14 Yes Target met. No further action required. Black/African American Disproportionate 2.00 1.14 Yes Target met. No further action required.	0.00

Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - White	2.00	1.03	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.02	0.97
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.82	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.91	0.83
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.97	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.10	1.02
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Black/African American	2.00	0.72	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.91	1.25
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - White	2.00	0.91	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.09	0.97
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - 2 or more races	2.00	2.45	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.28	2.40
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	0.89	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.90	0.77
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - White	2.00	1.46	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.30	1.32
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	0.71	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.80	0.80
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.56	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.69	0.84

		Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	1.21	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.20	1.29
		Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - White	2.00	1.24	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.15	1.11
		Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	0.53	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.71	0.83
		Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.80	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.78	0.66
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American	2.00	1.47	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.24	1.25
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White	2.00	0.69	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.75	0.79
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races	2.00	1.39	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.53	1.40
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	1.20	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.08	1.09
11	Percentage of students with written pa who were evaluated within 60 calenda COMAR 13A.05.01.06		100.00%	99.27%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	99.41%	96.18%
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthda COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COM 13A.13.01.09	y.	100.00%	96.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	100.00%	100.00%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and abosecondary transition requirements. COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Correction of Noncompliance	Correction of Noncompliance MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Timely and Valid Data	Timely and Valid Data MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%

Number of Complaints Filed	Number of Complaints Filed MSDE/DEISES	0.00	2.00	No	No further action is required.	1.00	1.00
Number of Complaints Identified with Violations	Number of Complaints Identified with Violations MSDE/DEISES	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	1.00	-
Number of Due Process Hearings Filed	Number of Due Process Hearings Filed MSDE	0.00	1.00	No	No further action is required.	1.00	0.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations	Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified	Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading MSDE	1.00%	0.65%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.80%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math MSDE	1.00%	0.66%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.80%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science MSDE	1.00%	1.01%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.30%	-
Number of SWD Restraints	Number of SWD Restraints MSDE	0.00	88.00	No	No further action is required.	47.00	-
Number of SWD Seclusions	Number of SWD Seclusions MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	49.00	-
Significant Disproportionality	Number of Categories Identified for Significant Disproportionality 34 C.F.R. § 300.646	0.00	2.00	No	A Comprehensive Coordinated Early Intervening Services (CCEIS) Plan is required.	1.00	-



Dr. Maria V. Navarro Superintendent Charles County Public Schools P.O. Box 2770 LaPlata, MD 20646

Dear Dr. Navarro:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services (DEI/SES) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]. The MSDE, DEI/SES uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each Local Education Agency (LEA) in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2022 determinations, Charles County has achieved the determination status of **Meets Requirements**.

Attached please find supporting documents:

- FFY 2022 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a local
 determination status on page two please note that fiscal and complaints/due process hearing data
 continues to be used;
- FFY 2022 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a graduated results-driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year);
- FFY 2022 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21); and
- DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision and Support (provides the framework used by the DEI/SES to guide the level of monitoring and corresponding level of performance support provided to LEAs).

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the *DEI/SES Strategic Plan: Moving Maryland Forward* provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), Charles County has been assigned the **Universal** tier for the delivery of the DEI/SES technical assistance model for results. Please see the *DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision* for more information.

Dr. Maria V. Navarro June 18, 2024 Page 2

> Each LEA is strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) and Family Support Center to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DEI/SES will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

> Thank you for your hard work and commitment to excellence, equity, and efficiency. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of Charles County to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Antoine Hickman, Ed.D.

Action I. Hickory

Assistant State Superintendent

Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services

Attachments

c: Dr. Carey Wright, State Superintendent of Schools

Dr. Deann Collins, Deputy State Superintendent

Dr. Tia McKinnon, Director of Special Education

MSDE DEI/SES Branch/Section Chiefs



Carey M. Wright, Ed.D. State Superintendent of Schools

Charles County

	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance	ce Indicator	FFY 2	2022 (SFY 2	023) 	Action Required	Previous	s Results
			State Target	Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2021	FFY 2020
1	Students with IEPs graduating of Annual 618 Data (lag data indic COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR	ator)	74.35%	78.22%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	84.02%	85.57%
2	Students with IEPs dropping ou rate based on lag data for 2019 COMAR 13A.08.01.07		13.00%	8.42%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	5.67%	1.18%
3A	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 4th Grade	95.00%	99.51%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	99.49%	-
	LEA.	Participation – Reading – 8th Grade	95.00%	99.07%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	97.74%	-
		Participation – Reading – High School	95.00%	98.73%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	97.58%	-
		Participation – Math – 4th Grade	95.00%	99.51%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	99.49%	-
		Participation – Math – 8th Grade	95.00%	98.11%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	95.48%	-
		Participation – Math – High School	95.00%	96.49%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	91.84%	-
3B	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards.	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	11.00%	3.63%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	5.41%	2.419
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	9.00%	6.47%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	4.55%	2.319
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – High School	17.50%	8.33%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	15.11%	9.27
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 4th Grade	14.00%	3.11%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.16%	2.42
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 8th Grade	2.50%	3.57%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.04%	0.009
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – High School	10.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	4.35%	3.92%
3C	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against alternate academic achievement standards.	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - Reading - 4th Grade	42.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	29.41
	standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - Reading - 8th Grade	52.50%	16.67%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	5.56%	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – High School	55.50%	4.35%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	4.55%	-

		Alternate Academic	27.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An	9.09%	23.53%
		Achievement Standards – Math – 4th Grade				Improvement Plan is required.		
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 8th Grade	35.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – High School	55.50%	4.35%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	4.55%	-
3D	Gap in Proficiency Rates COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 4th Grade	16.50%	36.68%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	33.40%	15.13%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade	23.50%	34.12%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	33.34%	20.15%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School	47.50%	38.46%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	31.88%	49.41%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade	13.50%	20.11%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	17.35%	0.00%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	6.21%	12.94%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	12.99%	3.07%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School	34.00%	30.57%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	26.69%	30.80%
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days nondisabled COMAR 13A.08.03.03	s): Disabled vs.	2.00	0.29	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.29	-
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≥ 80% of the day (MD	71.25%	62.47%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	64.25%	60.72%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≤ 40% of the day (MD	11.50%	16.25%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	17.09%	18.84%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE day and residential facilities and facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR	home and hospital	6.00%	1.91%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.87%	2.32%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Service childhood setting the majority of COMAR 13A.05.01.10		63.00%	76.97%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	79.72%	84.38%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separat COMAR 13A.05.01.10	e School or Class	19.00%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00%	0.31%
6C	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home		0.32%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00%	0.00%
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Social Emotional - Exits with substantial growth	71.00%	72.15%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	77.78%	76.00%
	COMAN 134.13.01.09	SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations	54.00%	32.18%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	37.68%	33.77%
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth	73.50%	78.48%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	73.85%	76.71%
	GONIAN 10A. 10.01.09	SS2– Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations	52.00%	39.08%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	42.03%	36.36%
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	SS1 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits with substantial growth	72.50%	66.67%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	72.13%	79.17%
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS2 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits within age expectations	60.50%	48.28%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	36.23%	46.75%

	Parent Survey - Preschool Age		85.50%	73.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	47.00%	77.00%
8A Response Rate	Parent Survey- Preschool Respo	nse Rate	18.00%	9.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	7.00%	11.00%
8B	Parent Survey - School Age		73.00%	54.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	75.00%	67.00%
8B Response Rate	Parent Survey - School Age Res	ponse Rate	9.00%	6.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	6.00%	8.00%
14	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were:	Higher Education	25.50%	23.65%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	23.71%	28.08%
	COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a) (ii)	Higher Education or Employed	58.00%	55.17%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	60.31%	54.19%
		Higher Ed, Training, or Employed	58.00%	58.13%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	61.34%	55.67%
4B	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-	Discrepancy - Black/African American	2.00	1.42	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
	21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - Two or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - White	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
9	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate	Disproportionate Representation - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.51	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.97	1.42
	identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Asian	2.00	0.62	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.63	0.58
		Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American	2.00	1.28	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.22	1.26
		Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - White	2.00	1.01	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.03	1.01
		Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races	2.00	0.79	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.84	0.81
		Disproportionate Representation - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.73	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.73	0.68
10	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	COMPLY TON. 00.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.28	1.15
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Black/African American	2.00	1.19	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.07	1.04
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00

Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - White	2.00	1.29	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.37	1.33
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.45	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.74	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.86	0.95
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Asian	2.00	0.21	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Black/African American	2.00	1.59	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.54	1.59
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - White	2.00	0.69	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.62	0.61
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.86	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.98	0.96
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.80	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.92	0.89
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Black/African American	2.00	1.27	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.13	1.29
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - White	2.00	1.63	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.73	1.44
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - 2 or more races	2.00	0.71	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.59	0.61

Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.27	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.33	0.29
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.62	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.58	0.54
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	0.85	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.90	0.91
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - White	2.00	1.68	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.50	1.54
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	1.03	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.06	1.03
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.69	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.68	0.54
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.27
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	1.28	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.19	1.23
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - White	2.00	1.19	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.34	1.24
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	0.91	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.02	0.90
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.54	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.41	0.41
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian	2.00	1.26	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.42	1.17

		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American	2.00	1.43	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.42	1.55
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White	2.00	0.77	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.83	0.70
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races	2.00	0.66	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.73	0.79
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.77	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.60	0.63
11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days. COMAR 13A.05.01.06		100.00%	99.21%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	98.35%	98.53%
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday. COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09		100.00%	97.10%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	95.89%	100.00%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements. COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3) (a)(ii)		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Correction of Noncompliance	Correction of Noncompliance MSDE		100.00%	0.00%	No	Untimely complaint correction. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	100.00%
Timely and Valid Data	Timely and Valid Data MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Complaints Filed	Number of Complaints Filed MSDE/DEISES		0.00	10.00	No	No further action is required.	12.00	8.00
Number of Complaints Identified with Violations	Number of Complaints Identified with Violations MSDE/DEISES		0.00	8.00	No	Please work with Dispute Resolution staff to ensure any identified noncompliance is corrected as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from notification of findings.	10.00	6.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Filed	Number of Due Process Hearings Filed MSDE		0.00	2.00	No	No further action is required.	2.00	1.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations	Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations MSDE		0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified	Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified MSDE		0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading MSDE		1.00%	0.70%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.80%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math MSDE		1.00%	0.75%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.80%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science MSDE		1.00%	0.79%	Yes	Target Met No further action is required.	1.00%	-

Number of SWD Restraints	Number of SWD Restraints MSDE		114.00	No	No further action is required.	197.00	-
Number of SWD Seclusions	Number of SWD Seclusions MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	232.00	-
Significant Disproportionality	Number of Categories Identified for Significant Disproportionality 34 C.F.R. § 300.646	0.00	0.00	Yes	No action is required.	0.00	-



Dr. Jymil Thompson Interim Superintendent Dorchester County Public Schools 700 Glasgow Street Cambridge, MD 21613

Dear Dr. Thompson:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services (DEI/SES) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]. The MSDE, DEI/SES uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each Local Education Agency (LEA) in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2022 determinations, Dorchester County has achieved the determination status of Meets Requirements.

Attached please find supporting documents:

- FFY 2022 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a local determination status on page two please note that fiscal and complaints/due process hearing data continues to be used;
- FFY 2022 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a graduated results-driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year);
- FFY 2022 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21); and
- DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision and Support (provides the framework used by the DEI/SES to guide the level of monitoring and corresponding level of performance support provided to LEAs).

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the *DEI/SES Strategic Plan: Moving Maryland Forward* provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), Dorchester County has been assigned the **Universal** tier for the delivery of the DEI/SES technical assistance model for results. Please see the *DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision* for more information.

Dr. Jymil Thompson June 18, 2024 Page 2

> Each LEA is strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) and Family Support Center to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DEI/SES will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to excellence, equity, and efficiency. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of Dorchester County to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Antoine Hickman, Ed.D.

Actor 2. Hickory

Assistant State Superintendent

Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services

Attachments

c: Dr. Carey Wright, State Superintendent of Schools Dr. Deann Collins, Deputy State Superintendent Kim Waller, Supervisor of Special Education MSDE DEI/SES Branch/Section Chief





Dorchester County

		Part B Annual SF	P Performa	nce Report				
_	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator ■ Complian	an Indicator	FFY:	2022 (SFY 20	023)	Action Required	Previous	s Results
	Results Indicator Complian	oc mulcator	State Target	Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2021	FFY 2020
1	Students with IEPs graduating Annual 618 Data (lag data indic COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR	ator)	74.35%	66.67%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	73.33%	67.74%
2	Students with IEPs dropping our rate based on lag data for 2019 COMAR 13A.08.01.07		13.00%	15.38%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	10.00%	5.77%
3 A	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA.	Participation – Reading – 4th Grade	95.00%	93.75%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	100.00%	-
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 8th Grade	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	90.00%	-
		Participation – Reading – High School	95.00%	95.65%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	93.75%	-
		Participation – Math – 4th Grade	95.00%	93.75%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	100.00%	-
		Participation – Math – 8th Grade	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	93.33%	-
		Participation – Math – High School	95.00%	92.86%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	87.50%	-
3B	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards.	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	11.00%	6.90%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	3.85%	12.12%
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	9.00%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	0.00%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – High School	17.50%	13.33%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	9.09%	12.00%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 4th Grade	14.00%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	9.68%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 8th Grade	2.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	0.00%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – High School	10.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	4.00%
3C	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against alternate academic achievement	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	42.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	-
	standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	52.50%	33.33%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	50.00%	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – High School	55.50%	42.86%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	75.00%

		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 4th Grade	27.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 8th Grade	35.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – High School	55.50%	57.14%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00%	100.00%
3D	Gap in Proficiency Rates COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 4th Grade	16.50%	27.60%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	26.82%	6.79%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade	23.50%	28.57%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	31.10%	15.64%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School	47.50%	30.81%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	33.89%	42.55%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade	13.50%	15.70%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	15.58%	-1.61%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	6.21%	11.82%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	8.55%	4.14%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School	34.00%	11.35%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	13.29%	33.07%
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days nondisabled COMAR 13A.08.03.03	s): Disabled vs.	2.00	0.05	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.05	-
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≥ 80% of the day (MD	71.25%	79.95%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	80.98%	79.46%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≤ 40% of the day (MD	11.50%	6.78%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	5.61%	5.45%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE day and residential facilities and facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR	home and hospital	6.00%	2.98%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	3.41%	1.98%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Service childhood setting the majority of COMAR 13A.05.01.10		63.00%	86.49%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	93.55%	51.85%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separat COMAR 13A.05.01.10	e School or Class	19.00%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00%	0.00%
6C	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home		0.32%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00%	0.00%
7 A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Social Emotional - Exits with substantial growth	71.00%	70.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	71.43%	70.00%
	GOMP # (107 & 10.0 1.00	SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations	54.00%	46.67%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	70.00%	60.00%
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth	73.50%	76.92%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	73.33%	50.00%
		SS2– Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations	52.00%	40.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	70.00%	46.67%
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	SS1 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits with substantial growth	72.50%	76.92%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	75.00%	55.56%
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS2 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits within age expectations	60.50%	40.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	70.00%	53.33%
8A	Parent Survey - Preschool Age		85.50%	_	NA		-	-

8A Response Rate	Parent Survey- Preschool Respo	onse Rate	18.00%	29.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	18.00%	17.00%
8B	Parent Survey - School Age		73.00%	76.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	65.00%	60.00%
8B Response Rate	Parent Survey - School Age Res	sponse Rate	9.00%	15.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	6.00%	25.00%
14	Percent of youth who are no	Higher Education	25.50%	-	NA		-	-
	longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)	Higher Education or Employed	58.00%	41.03%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	63.33%	38.71%
	(ii)	Higher Ed, Training, or Employed	58.00%	41.03%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	63.33%	41.94%
4B	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-	Discrepancy - Black/African American	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
	21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - Two or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - White	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
9	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate	Disproportionate Representation - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
	identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American	2.00	1.01	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.03	1.03
		Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - White	2.00	1.10	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.06	1.06
		Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races	2.00	0.80	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.94	1.02
		Disproportionate Representation - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.91	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.84	0.84
10	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	COMAR 13A.06.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Black/African American	2.00	3.01	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	3.15	2.81
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - White	2.00	0.39	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.45	0.50

Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Black/African American	2.00	0.88	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.98	0.87
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - White	2.00	1.47	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.30	1.23
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	1.31
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.99	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.78	0.75
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Black/African American	2.00	0.78	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.58	0.57
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - White	2.00	0.81	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.40	1.37
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00

Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - American	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Indian/Alaska Native Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Impairments - Asian Disproportionate Representation -	2.00	0.88	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.99	0.95
Speech or Language Impairments - Black/African American				·		
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - White	2.00	1.48	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.14	0.99
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.05	1.61
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.63	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.76	0.94
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	0.78	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.96	1.35
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - White	2.00	1.28	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.13	1.04
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	1.65	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.42	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American	2.00	0.97	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.73	0.72

		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White	2.00	0.93	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.21	1.28
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races	2.00	0.71	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.75	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	1.43	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.50	1.61
11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days. COMAR 13A.05.01.06		100.00%	98.48%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	100.00%	100.00%
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday. COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements. COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3) (a)(ii)		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Correction of Noncompliance	Correction of Noncompliance MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Timely and Valid Data	Timely and Valid Data MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Complaints Filed	Number of Complaints Filed MSDE/DEISES		0.00	1.00	No	No further action is required.	1.00	0.00
Number of Complaints Identified with Violations	Number of Complaints Identified MSDE/DEISES	with Violations	0.00	1.00	No	Please work with Dispute Resolution staff to ensure any identified noncompliance is corrected as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from notification of findings.	1.00	-
Number of Due Process Hearings Filed	Number of Due Process Hearings MSDE	s Filed	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations	Number of Due Process Hearings MSDE	s Identified with Violations	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified	Fiscal Accountability: Number of MSDE	Findings Identified	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	2.00
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading	Percentage of Students Taking the Reading MSDE	ne Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	1.04%	No	Target not met. An Improvement plan is required.	1.00%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math MSDE		1.00%	1.07%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.00%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science MSDE		1.00%	1.78%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.60%	-
Number of SWD Restraints	Number of SWD Restraints MSDE		0.00	36.00	No	No further action is required.	26.00	-
Number of SWD Seclusions	Number of SWD Seclusions MSDE		0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-

Significant Disproportionality	Number of Categories Identified for Significant Disproportionality 34 C.F.R. § 300.646	0.00	2.00	No	A Comprehensive Coordinated Early Intervening Services (CCEIS) Plan is required.	1.00	-	
-----------------------------------	--	------	------	----	---	------	---	--



Dr. Cheryl L. Dyson Superintendent Frederick County Public Schools 191 South East Street Frederick, MD 21701

Dear Dr. Dyson:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services (DEI/SES) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]. The MSDE, DEI/SES uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each Local Education Agency (LEA) in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2022 determinations, Frederick County has achieved the determination status of **Needs Intervention - Year 1**.

Attached please find supporting documents:

- FFY 2022 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a local determination status on page two please note that fiscal and complaints/due process hearing data continues to be used:
- FFY 2022 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a graduated results-driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year);
- FFY 2022 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21); and
- DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision and Support (provides the framework used by the DEI/SES to guide the level of monitoring and corresponding level of performance support provided to LEAs).

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the *DEI/SES Strategic Plan: Moving Maryland Forward* provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), Frederick County has been assigned the **Targeted** tier for the delivery of the DEI/SES technical assistance model for results. Please see the *DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision* for more information.

Dr. Cheryl L. Dyson June 18, 2024 Page 2

> Each LEA is strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) and Family Support Center to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DEI/SES will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

> Thank you for your hard work and commitment to excellence, equity, and efficiency. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of Frederick County to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Antoine Hickman, Ed.D.

Actor J. Hickory

Assistant State Superintendent

Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services

Attachments

c: Dr. Carey Wright, State Superintendent of Schools Dr. Deann Collins, Deputy State Superintendent Troy Keller, Director of Special Education Katie Buckley, Director of Special Education Instruction MSDE DEI/SES Branch/Section Chiefs



Carey M. Wright, Ed.D. State Superintendent of Schools

Frederick County

		Part B Annual SP	P Performa	nce Report				
SPP/APR Indicators ■ Results Indicator ■ Compliance Indicator		e Indicator	FFY 2	2022 (SFY 2	023)	Action Required	Previous Res	
_	results indicator	e muicatoi	State Local Target Target Results Met			FFY 2021	FFY 2020	
1	Students with IEPs graduating v Annual 618 Data (lag data indic COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR	ator)	74.35%	80.14%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	85.76%	81.29
2	Students with IEPs dropping ou rate based on lag data for 2019 COMAR 13A.08.01.07		13.00%	10.62%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	6.44%	0.239
3A	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the	Participation – Reading – 4th Grade	95.00%	99.27%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	99.15%	-
	LEA. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 8th Grade	95.00%	96.80%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	97.57%	-
		Participation – Reading – High School	95.00%	96.57%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	97.54%	-
		Participation – Math – 4th Grade	95.00%	99.51%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	99.72%	-
		Participation – Math – 8th Grade	95.00%	96.31%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	97.84%	-
		Participation – Math – High School	95.00%	96.30%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	98.01%	-
3B	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards.	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	11.00%	16.05%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	17.76%	9.77
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	9.00%	15.77%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	8.44%	6.27
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – High School	17.50%	25.09%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	25.83%	26.34
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 4th Grade	14.00%	14.47%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	13.00%	9.88
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 8th Grade	2.50%	6.80%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	4.67%	3.56
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – High School	10.50%	8.37%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	7.23%	27.41
3C	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against alternate academic achievement	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - Reading - 4th Grade	42.50%	11.11%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	6.45%	30.77
	standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	52.50%	15.79%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	21.43%	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – High School	55.50%	37.14%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	28.26%	31.25

		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 4th Grade	27.50%	28.57%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	19.35%	3.85%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 8th Grade	35.50%	5.26%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.38%	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – High School	55.50%	25.71%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	21.74%	56.25%
3D	Gap in Proficiency Rates COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 4th Grade	16.50%	42.50%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	38.63%	22.85%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade	23.50%	39.95%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	40.26%	31.96%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School	47.50%	38.33%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	38.33%	54.92%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade	13.50%	30.28%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	24.29%	22.07%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	6.21%	24.51%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	20.84%	9.12%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School	34.00%	36.04%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	36.47%	49.15%
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days nondisabled COMAR 13A.08.03.03	s): Disabled vs.	2.00	0.29	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.29	-
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≥ 80% of the day (MD	71.25%	84.39%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	83.40%	82.20%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≤ 40% of the day (MD	11.50%	7.49%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	6.94%	7.05%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE day and residential facilities and facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR	home and hospital	6.00%	3.90%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	4.12%	4.77%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Service childhood setting the majority of COMAR 13A.05.01.10		63.00%	66.33%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	57.30%	72.20%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separation COMAR 13A.05.01.10	te School or Class	19.00%	1.62%	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.57%	1.94%
6C	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home		0.32%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.67%	0.43%
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Social Emotional - Exits with substantial growth	71.00%	78.65%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	82.94%	85.97%
	GOWAN 15A. 15.01.05	SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations	54.00%	60.94%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	60.76%	61.00%
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth	73.50%	82.35%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	85.97%	84.75%
	COMMIT 10A. 10.01.03	SS2– Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations	52.00%	55.36%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	61.18%	58.92%
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	SS1 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits with substantial growth	72.50%	82.51%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	82.94%	83.50%
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS2 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits within age expectations	60.50%	64.38%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	66.67%	66.80%
8A	Parent Survey - Preschool Age		85.50%	88.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is	75.00%	78.00%

8A Response Rate	Parent Survey- Preschool Response	onse Rate	18.00%	15.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	15.00%	16.00%
8B	Parent Survey - School Age		73.00%	78.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	74.00%	80.00%
8B Response Rate	Parent Survey - School Age Res	sponse Rate	9.00%	8.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	9.00%	8.00%
14	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time	Higher Education	25.50%	29.08%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	32.88%	24.15%
	they left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)	Higher Education or Employed	58.00%	72.88%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	71.53%	60.37%
	(ii)	Higher Ed, Training, or Employed	58.00%	75.16%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	71.86%	60.68%
4B	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-	Discrepancy - Black/African American	2.00	1.70	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
	21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - Two or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - White	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
9	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate	Disproportionate Representation - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	1.04	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.27	1.33
	identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Asian	2.00	0.53	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.50	0.48
		Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American	2.00	1.25	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.25	1.27
		Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.97	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.09	0.70
		Disproportionate Representation - White	2.00	1.09	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.08	1.07
		Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races	2.00	0.96	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.98	0.99
		Disproportionate Representation - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.91	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.91	0.90
10	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification.	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.62	0.78
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Black/African American	2.00	1.16	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.30	1.63
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - White	2.00	0.97	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.82	0.69

Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.45	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	1.46	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.62	1.59
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	1.76
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Asian	2.00	0.20	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.19	0.16
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Black/African American	2.00	1.24	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.28	1.33
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - White	2.00	0.93	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.88	0.88
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.92	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.94	0.92
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	1.35	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.39	1.34
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Asian	2.00	0.32	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Black/African American	2.00	1.46	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.73	1.73
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - White	2.00	1.24	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.22	1.12
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - 2 or more races	2.00	1.23	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.07	1.15
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.62	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.54	0.60

Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.67	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.66	0.54
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	0.86	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.87	0.83
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - White	2.00	1.32	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.24	1.33
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	0.96	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.08	1.16
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.86	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.87	0.80
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.33	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.37	0.32
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	1.40	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.28	1.37
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - White	2.00	1.29	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.33	1.37
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	0.88	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.90	0.82
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.69	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.68	0.60
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian	2.00	1.45	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.24	1.50
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American	2.00	1.46	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.41	1.25

	_							
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White	2.00	0.85	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.90	0.87
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races	2.00	0.95	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.83	0.99
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.77	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.84	0.85
11	Percentage of students with writte evaluate who were evaluated with COMAR 13A.05.01.06		100.00%	97.93%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	99.56%	100.00%
12	Percentage of eligible children ex have an IEP in effect by the child COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.13.01.09	's 3rd birthday.	100.00%	73.13%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	80.56%	100.00%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and secondary transition requirement COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) CC (a)(ii)	s.	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Correction of Noncompliance	Correction of Noncompliance MSDE		100.00%	0.00%	No	Untimely complaint correction. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	100.00%
Timely and Valid Data	Timely and Valid Data MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Complaints Filed	Number of Complaints Filed MSDE/DEISES		0.00	6.00	No	No further action is required.	6.00	3.00
Number of Complaints Identified with Violations	Number of Complaints Identified MSDE/DEISES	with Violations	0.00	3.00	No	Please work with Dispute Resolution staff to ensure any identified noncompliance is corrected as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from notification of findings.	2.00	1.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Filed	Number of Due Process Hearings MSDE	s Filed	0.00	16.00	No	No further action is required.	19.00	13.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations	Number of Due Process Hearings MSDE	s Identified with Violations	0.00	1.00	No	Please work with Dispute Resolution staff to ensure any identified noncompliance is corrected as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from notification of findings.	0.00	0.00
Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified	Fiscal Accountability: Number of MSDE	Findings Identified	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	1.00
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading	Percentage of Students Taking the Reading MSDE	ne Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	0.96%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.00%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math	Percentage of Students Taking the Math MSDE	ne Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	0.97%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.00%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science	Percentage of Students Taking the Science MSDE	ne Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	1.13%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.30%	-
Number of SWD Restraints	Number of SWD Restraints MSDE		0.00	36.00	No	No further action is required.	188.00	-

Number of SWD Seclusions	Number of SWD Seclusions MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	25.00	-
Significant Disproportionality	Number of Categories Identified for Significant Disproportionality 34 C.F.R. § 300.646	0.00	1.00	No	A Comprehensive Coordinated Early Intervening Services (CCEIS) Plan is required.	1.00	-



Dr. Brenda McCartney Interim Superintendent Garrett County Public Schools 770 Dennett Road Oakland, MD 21550

Dear Dr. McCartney:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services (DEI/SES) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]. The MSDE, DEI/SES uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each Local Education Agency (LEA) in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2022 determinations, Garrett County has achieved the determination status of **Meets Requirements**.

Attached please find supporting documents:

- FFY 2022 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a local
 determination status on page two please note that fiscal and complaints/due process hearing data
 continues to be used;
- FFY 2022 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a graduated results-driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year);
- FFY 2022 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21); and
- DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision and Support (provides the framework used by the DEI/SES to guide the level of monitoring and corresponding level of performance support provided to LEAs).

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the *DEI/SES Strategic Plan: Moving Maryland Forward* provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), Garrett County has been assigned the **Universal** tier for the delivery of the DEI/SES technical assistance model for results. Please see the *DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision* for more information.

Dr. Brenda McCartney June 18, 2024 Page 2

> Each LEA is strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) and Family Support Center to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DEI/SES will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to excellence, equity, and efficiency. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of Garrett County to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Antoine Hickman, Ed.D.

Assistant State Superintendent

Siton J. Hickory

Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services

Attachments

c: Dr. Carey Wright, State Superintendent of Schools

Dr. Deann Collins, Deputy State Superintendent

Dr. Chelsie Manges, Director of Special Education

MSDE DEI/SES Branch/Section Chiefs



Garrett County

		Part B Annual SPP Performan	ice Report					
	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance I	ndicator	FFY:	2022 (SFY 20	023)	Action Required	Previous	Result
	results indicator	nuicatoi	State Target	Local Results	Target Met	rtequireu	FFY 2021	FFY 2020
1	Students with IEPs graduating with a policy Data (lag data indicator) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.0		74.35%	69.57%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	65.00%	58.82
2	Students with IEPs dropping out (ESS on lag data for 2019-2020) COMAR 13A.08.01.07			17.39%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	15.00%	4.49
3A	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 4th Grade	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
		Participation – Reading – 8th Grade	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	95.83%	-
		Participation – Reading – High School	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
		Participation – Math – 4th Grade	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
		Participation – Math – 8th Grade	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	95.83%	-
		Participation – Math – High School	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
3B	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	11.00%	12.50%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	8.33%	0.00
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	9.00%	6.25%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	0.00
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – High School	17.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	8.33
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 4th Grade	14.00%	3.12%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	8.33%	0.00

		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 8th Grade	2.50%	6.25%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	4.55%	4.00%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – High School	10.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	0.00%
3C	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against alternate academic achievement standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	42.50%	50.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00%	66.67%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	52.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	100.00%	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – High School	55.50%	-	NA		33.33%	60.00%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 4th Grade	27.50%	50.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	33.33%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 8th Grade	35.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – High School	55.50%	-	NA		0.00%	40.00%
3D	Gap in Proficiency Rates COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 4th Grade	16.50%	32.14%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	30.43%	14.08%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade	23.50%	45.56%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	42.97%	23.77%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School	47.50%	38.63%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	37.34%	50.87%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade	13.50%	27.91%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	14.32%	10.80%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	6.21%	25.60%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	22.83%	11.70%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School	34.00%	32.78%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	25.00%	37.99%
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Dis COMAR 13A.08.03.03	sabled vs. nondisabled	2.00	-	NA		0.00	-
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≥ 8 A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	0% of the day (MD SSIS LRE	71.25%	86.07%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	81.48%	79.73%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≤ 4 C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	0% of the day (MD SSIS LRE	11.50%	6.43%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	8.89%	11.15%

		dents aged 6-21 whose LRE is separate public/private day and dential facilities and home and hospital facilities						
5C		pital facilities	6.00%	0.36%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.74%	1.69%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services in rethe majority of the day COMAR 13A.05.01.10	egular early childhood setting	63.00%	80.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	78.43%	61.29%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class COMAR 13A.05.01.10		19.00%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00%	0.00%
6C	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home		0.32%	2.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	5.88%	6.45%
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09 SS1 – Social Emotional - Exits with substantial growth		71.00%	82.61%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	70.00%	100.00%
		SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations	54.00%	32.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	58.82%	94.12%
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth	73.50%	76.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	81.25%	92.31%
		SS2– Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations	52.00%	32.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	58.82%	88.24%
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs. COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits with substantial growth	72.50%	73.91%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	75.00%	91.67%
		SS2 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits within age expectations	60.50%	32.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	47.06%	94.12%
8A	Parent Survey - Preschool Age		85.50%	67.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	88.00%	-
8A Response Rate	Parent Survey- Preschool Response F	Rate	18.00%	132.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	52.00%	15.00%
8B	Parent Survey - School Age		73.00%	89.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	76.00%	86.00%
8B Response Rate	Parent Survey - School Age Response	Rate	9.00%	12.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	12.00%	23.00%
14	Percent of youth who are no longer	Higher Education	25.50%	-	NA		-	-
	effect at the time they left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	dary school, had IEPs in the time they left school, cCOMAR 13A.08.01.07 Higher Education or Employed		52.17%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	55.00%	-
	Higher Ed, Training, or Employed		58.00%	52.17%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	60.00%	-
4B	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Discrepancy - Black/African American	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-

	Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
	Discrepancy - Two or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
	Discrepancy - White	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - White	2.00	1.83	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.92	1.00
	Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.87	1.07
	Disproportionate Representation - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.40	1.00
Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Black/African American	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - White	2.00	0.42	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.46	0.59
	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - American Indian/Alaska	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21 Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification.	Disproportionate representation (2 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21 Disproportionate Representation - Asian Disproportionate Representation - Asian Disproportionate Representation - Asian Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Disproportionate Representation - White Disproportionate Representation - Vinite Representation - White Disproportionate Representation - Vinite Representa	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.00 in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Hispanic/Latino	Hispanic/Latino	Hispaniot Leibno	HispanioLalino

Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific	2.00	0.00	Yes	required. Target met. No further	0.00	0.00
Learning Disability - Black/African American				action required.		
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - White	2.00	0.69	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.74	0.80
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Black/African American	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - White	2.00	0.63	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.53	0.66
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - White	2.00	1.41	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.58	0.62

	Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - White	2.00	0.53	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.43	0.45
	Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White	2.00	0.29	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.39	0.44
	Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Percentage of students with written pa were evaluated within 60 calendar day COMAR 13A.05.01.06		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	98.39%
Percentage of eligible children exiting IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COM 13A.13.01.09	/.	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%

13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements. COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Correction of Noncompliance	Correction of Noncompliance MSDE	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Timely and Valid Data	Timely and Valid Data MSDE	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Complaints Filed	Number of Complaints Filed MSDE/DEISES	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Number of Complaints Identified with Violations	Number of Complaints Identified with Violations MSDE/DEISES	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Number of Due Process Hearings Filed	Number of Due Process Hearings Filed MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations	Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified	Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading MSDE	1.00%	0.80%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.90%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math MSDE	1.00%	0.83%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.90%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science MSDE	1.00%	0.54%	Yes	Target Met No further action is required.	1.10%	-
Number of SWD Restraints	Number of SWD Restraints MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	-	-
Number of SWD Seclusions	Number of SWD Seclusions MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Significant Disproportionality	Number of Categories Identified for Significant Disproportionality 34 C.F.R. § 300.646	0.00	0.00	Yes	No action is required.	0.00	-



Dr. Sean W. Bulson Superintendent Harford County Public Schools 102 South Hickory Avenue Bel Air, MD 21014-3731

Dear Dr. Bulson:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services (DEI/SES) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]. The MSDE, DEI/SES uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each Local Education Agency (LEA) in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2022 determinations, Harford County has achieved the determination status of **Needs Assistance - Year 5**.

Attached please find supporting documents:

- FFY 2022 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a local determination status on page two please note that fiscal and complaints/due process hearing data continues to be used;
- FFY 2022 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a graduated results-driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year);
- FFY 2022 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21); and
- DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision and Support (provides the framework used by the DEI/SES to guide the level of monitoring and corresponding level of performance support provided to LEAs).

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the *DEI/SES Strategic Plan: Moving Maryland Forward* provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), Harford County has been assigned the **Focused** tier for the delivery of the DEI/SES technical assistance model for results. Please see the *DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision* for more information.

Dr. Sean W. Bulson June 18, 2024 Page 2

> Each LEA is strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) and Family Support Center to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DEI/SES will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

> Thank you for your hard work and commitment to excellence, equity, and efficiency. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of Harford County to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Antoine Hickman, Ed.D.

Actor J. Hickor

Assistant State Superintendent

Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services

Attachments

c: Dr. Carey Wright, State Superintendent of Schools Dr. Deann Collins, Deputy State Superintendent Colleen Sasdelli, Director of Special Education MSDE DEI/SES Branch/Section Chiefs



Carey M. Wright, Ed.D. State Superintendent of Schools

Harford County

	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance Indicator			2022 (SFY 2	023)	Action Required	Previous	s Results
	Results Indicator Compliance	e Indicator	State Target	Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2021	FFY 2020
1	Students with IEPs graduating of Annual 618 Data (lag data indic COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR	ator)	74.35%	67.51%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	69.31%	71.17
2	Students with IEPs dropping ou rate based on lag data for 2019 COMAR 13A.08.01.07		13.00%	20.50%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	13.45%	3.69%
3A	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the	Participation – Reading – 4th Grade	95.00%	99.30%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	99.75%	-
	LEA. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 8th Grade	95.00%	98.54%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	97.41%	-
		Participation – Reading – High School	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	97.77%	-
		Participation – Math – 4th Grade	95.00%	99.29%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	99.75%	-
		Participation – Math – 95.00% 97.81% Yes Target met. No furthe action is required.	Target met. No further action is required.	95.99%	-			
		Participation – Math – High School	95.00%	98.36%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	96.47%	-
3B	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards.	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	11.00%	15.87%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	10.67%	5.85
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	9.00%	7.10%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	5.36%	3.64
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – High School	17.50%	15.14%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	17.04%	11.94
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 4th Grade	14.00%	10.10%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	7.73%	5.04
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 8th Grade	2.50%	1.92%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.36%	1.55
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – High School	10.50%	0.91%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.85%	11.63
3C	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against alternate academic achievement standards.	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	42.50%	7.69%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	22.73
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	52.50%	26.32%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	32.50%	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – High School	55.50%	28.57%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	40.00%	50.00

		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 4th Grade	27.50%	19.23%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	19.23%	18.18%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 8th Grade	35.50%	15.79%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	17.50%	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – High School	55.50%	38.10%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	20.51%	55.88%
3D	Gap in Proficiency Rates COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 4th Grade	16.50%	43.15%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	44.53%	24.83%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade	23.50%	43.82%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	38.44%	31.71%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School	47.50%	47.53%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	46.82%	55.83%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade	13.50%	28.31%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	27.20%	16.58%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	6.21%	15.12%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	12.97%	1.72%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School	34.00%	32.97%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	30.01%	54.46%
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days nondisabled COMAR 13A.08.03.03	s): Disabled vs.	2.00	0.25	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.25	-
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≥ 80% of the day (MD	71.25%	85.94%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	84.33%	84.01%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≤ 40% of the day (MD	11.50%	3.89%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	3.98%	3.78%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE day and residential facilities and facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR	home and hospital	6.00%	6.24%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	6.05%	7.02%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Service childhood setting the majority of COMAR 13A.05.01.10		63.00%	68.57%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	67.68%	53.10%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separat COMAR 13A.05.01.10	te School or Class	19.00%	8.45%	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	10.20%	7.71%
6C	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home		0.32%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00%	0.21%
7 A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Social Emotional - Exits with substantial growth	71.00%	74.23%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	77.38%	83.12%
	GONIAN 15A. 15.01.09	SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations	54.00%	42.86%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	44.33%	50.52%
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth	73.50%	79.62%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	82.08%	83.65%
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS2– Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations	52.00%	47.62%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	46.31%	48.97%
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet	SS1 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits with substantial growth	72.50%	69.74%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	75.66%	84.78%
	appropriate behavior to meet their needs. COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS2 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits within age	60.50%	51.85%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is	56.16%	62.37%

8A	Parent Survey - Preschool Age		85.50%	83.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	65.00%	79.00%
8A Response Rate	Parent Survey- Preschool Respo	onse Rate	18.00%	24.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	14.00%	24.00%
8B	Parent Survey - School Age		73.00%	71.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	71.00%	73.00%
8B Response Rate	Parent Survey - School Age Res	ponse Rate	9.00%	8.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	7.00%	11.00%
14	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were:	Higher Education	25.50%	21.56%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	25.35%	24.28%
	COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a) (ii)	Higher Education or Employed	58.00%	70.94%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	70.83%	63.04%
		Higher Ed, Training, or Employed	58.00%	72.50%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	72.57%	63.41%
4B	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-	Discrepancy - Black/African American	2.00	0.96	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
	21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - Two or more races	2.00	1.18	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - White	2.00	1.80	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
9	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.68	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.94	0.79
		Disproportionate Representation - Asian	2.00	0.49	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.44	0.43
		Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American	2.00	1.34	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.29	1.36
		Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	1.11	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.86	0.89
		Disproportionate Representation - White	2.00	0.85	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.89	0.85
		Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races	2.00	1.08	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.09	1.02
		Disproportionate Representation - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.98	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.97	1.05
10	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	COMAIN 15A.00.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Asian	2.00	1.07	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Black/African American	2.00	2.01	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.07	2.07
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00

5						
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - White	2.00	0.72	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.61	0.52
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.54	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.79	1.16
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.72	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.08	1.30
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Asian	2.00	0.22	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.19	0.15
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Black/African American	2.00	1.39	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.37	1.50
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - White	2.00	0.85	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.91	0.86
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.93	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.80	0.79
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	1.15	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.11	1.11
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Black/African American	2.00	1.77	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.58	1.82
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - White	2.00	0.72	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.78	0.69
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - 2 or more races	2.00	1.47	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.51	1.35

Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.70	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.71	0.77
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.54	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.73	0.74
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	0.97	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.91	0.90
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - White	2.00	1.13	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.08	1.05
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	0.94	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.17	1.05
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.96	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.94	1.14
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.21	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.14	0.15
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	1.55	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.46	1.58
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	3.09	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - White	2.00	0.76	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.83	0.77
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	1.22	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.19	1.19
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.92	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.85	0.93
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian	2.00	1.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.09	0.86

		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American	2.00	1.42	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.34	1.23
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White	2.00	0.78	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.81	0.88
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races	2.00	1.02	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.93	1.12
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.99	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.05	0.90
11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days. COMAR 13A.05.01.06		100.00%	99.36%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	99.41%	99.04%
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday. COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	96.15%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements. COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3) (a)(ii)		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Correction of Noncompliance	Correction of Noncompliance MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Timely and Valid Data	Timely and Valid Data MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Complaints Filed	Number of Complaints Filed MSDE/DEISES		0.00	10.00	No	No further action is required.	3.00	1.00
Number of Complaints Identified with Violations	Number of Complaints Identified with Violations MSDE/DEISES		0.00	3.00	No	Please work with Dispute Resolution staff to ensure any identified noncompliance is corrected as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from notification of findings.	0.00	-
Number of Due Process Hearings Filed	Number of Due Process Hearings Filed MSDE		0.00	3.00	No	No further action is required.	8.00	4.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations	Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations MSDE		0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified	Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified MSDE		0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading MSDE		1.00%	1.05%	No	Target not met. An Improvement plan is required.	1.10%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math MSDE		1.00%	1.05%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.20%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science MSDE		1.00%	1.39%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.80%	-

Number of SWD Restraints	Number of SWD Restraints MSDE	0.00	123.00	No	No further action is required.	133.00	-
Number of SWD Seclusions	Number of SWD Seclusions MSDE		0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	332.00	-
Significant Disproportionality	Number of Categories Identified for Significant Disproportionality 34 C.F.R. § 300.646	0.00	4.00	No	A Comprehensive Coordinated Early Intervening Services (CCEIS) Plan is required.	2.00	-



Mr. William Barnes Acting Superintendent Howard County Public Schools 10910 Clarksville Pike Ellicott City, MD 21042

Dear Mr. Barnes:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services (DEI/SES) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]. The MSDE, DEI/SES uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each Local Education Agency (LEA) in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2022 determinations, Howard County has achieved the determination status of **Needs Assistance - Year 4**.

Attached please find supporting documents:

- FFY 2022 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a local determination status on page two please note that fiscal and complaints/due process hearing data continues to be used:
- FFY 2022 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a graduated results-driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year);
- FFY 2022 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21); and
- DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision and Support (provides the framework used by the DEI/SES to guide the level of monitoring and corresponding level of performance support provided to LEAs).

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the *DEI/SES Strategic Plan: Moving Maryland Forward* provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), Howard County has been assigned the **Focused** tier for the delivery of the DEI/SES technical assistance model for results. Please see the *DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision* for more information.

Mr. William Barnes June 18, 2024 Page 2

> Each LEA is strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) and Family Support Center to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DEI/SES will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

> Thank you for your hard work and commitment to excellence, equity, and efficiency. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of Howard County to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Antoine Hickman, Ed.D.

Stone J. Hickory

Assistant State Superintendent

Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services

Attachments

c: Dr. Carey Wright, State Superintendent of Schools

Dr. Deann Collins, Deputy State Superintendent

Dr. Terri Savage, Executive Director of Special Education

MSDE DEI/SES Branch/Section Chiefs



Carey M. Wright, Ed.D. State Superintendent of Schools

Howard County

	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance	no Indicator	FFY 2	2022 (SFY 2	023)	Action Required	Previous Resu	
_	Results indicator Compilari	se muicator	State Target	Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2021	FF) 202
1	Students with IEPs graduating Annual 618 Data (lag data indic COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR	cator)	74.35%	82.82%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	81.19%	81.99
2	Students with IEPs dropping our rate based on lag data for 2019 COMAR 13A.08.01.07		13.00%	5.07%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	5.07%	0.50
3A	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the	Participation – Reading – 4th Grade	95.00%	99.61%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	97.80%	-
	LEA. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 8th Grade	95.00%	97.08%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	94.82%	-
		Participation – Reading – High School	95.00%	93.59%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	96.88%	-
		Participation – Math – 4th Grade	95.00%	99.42%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	97.80%	-
		Participation – Math – 8th Grade	95.00%	96.83%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	94.37%	-
		Participation – Math – High School	95.00%	91.29%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	93.88%	-
3B	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards.	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	11.00%	18.28%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	16.38%	12.3
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	9.00%	15.62%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	10.56%	8.94
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – High School	17.50%	26.16%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	25.00%	24.1
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 4th Grade	14.00%	13.82%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	15.96%	11.4
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 8th Grade	2.50%	6.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	6.98%	2.90
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – High School	10.50%	8.05%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	5.63%	16.24
3C	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against alternate academic achievement standards.	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - Reading - 4th Grade	42.50%	6.25%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	4.88%	19.5
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	52.50%	10.64%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	16.39%	-

		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – High School	55.50%	38.46%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	22.58%	48.48%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 4th Grade	27.50%	30.61%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	13.95%	2.17%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 8th Grade	35.50%	4.26%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	3.28%	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – High School	55.50%	38.46%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	25.40%	63.64%
3D	Gap in Proficiency Rates COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 4th Grade	16.50%	43.36%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	40.42%	55.83%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade	23.50%	44.33%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	47.49%	36.60%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School	47.50%	43.89%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	45.88%	53.48%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade	13.50%	35.39%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	28.36%	30.52%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	6.21%	32.77%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	28.99%	12.65%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School	34.00%	49.09%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	49.92%	58.54%
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days nondisabled COMAR 13A.08.03.03	s): Disabled vs.	2.00	0.33	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.33	-
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≥ 80% of the day (MD	71.25%	74.19%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	75.08%	74.65%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≤ 40% of the day (MD	11.50%	2.36%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	2.98%	2.67%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE day and residential facilities and facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR	home and hospital	6.00%	6.38%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	6.20%	6.89%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Service childhood setting the majority of COMAR 13A.05.01.10		63.00%	89.81%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	88.10%	80.00%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separat COMAR 13A.05.01.10	e School or Class	19.00%	0.41%	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.33%	0.18%
6C	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home		0.32%	0.14%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.33%	0.00%
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills	SS1 – Social Emotional - Exits with substantial growth	71.00%	67.66%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	70.23%	65.62%
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations	54.00%	43.58%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	47.41%	53.47%
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth	73.50%	72.51%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	73.99%	75.86%
	COMP. 43. TOP. 10.01.00	SS2– Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations	52.00%	44.26%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	46.30%	53.88%
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of	SS1 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits with	72.50%	71.18%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is	69.48%	79.23%

		SS2 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits within age expectations	60.50%	54.39%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	55.93%	62.86%
8A	Parent Survey - Preschool Age		85.50%	88.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	91.00%	87.00%
8A Response Rate	Parent Survey- Preschool Respo	onse Rate	18.00%	5.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	17.00%	10.00%
8B	Parent Survey - School Age		73.00%	70.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	77.00%	75.00%
8B Response Rate	Parent Survey - School Age Res	ponse Rate	9.00%	10.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	7.00%	9.00%
14	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time	Higher Education	25.50%	45.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	45.24%	46.84%
	they left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)	Higher Education or Employed	58.00%	69.44%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	75.60%	71.84%
	(ii)	Higher Ed, Training, or Employed	58.00%	71.67%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	78.27%	71.84%
4B	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Discrepancy - Black/African American	2.00	2.09	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	-	-
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - Two or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - White	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
9	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate	Disproportionate Representation - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	1.14	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.76	1.10
	identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Asian	2.00	0.47	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.49	0.44
		Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American	2.00	1.63	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.60	1.67
		Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.82	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.98	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - White	2.00	1.01	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.01	0.98
		Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races	2.00	1.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.97	0.95
		Disproportionate Representation - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	1.06	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.06	1.15
10	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	OOM/ (10/1.00.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Asian	2.00	0.55	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.49	0.52
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Black/African American	2.00	1.79	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.79	1.81
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00

Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - White	2.00	0.76	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.74	0.75
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.66	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.93	0.73
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	1.44	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.48	1.51
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	1.76	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Asian	2.00	0.21	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.19	0.18
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Black/African American	2.00	1.71	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.74	1.93
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - White	2.00	1.04	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.04	0.92
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.98	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.04	1.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	1.49	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.50	1.58
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Asian	2.00	0.09	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.10	0.13
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Black/African American	2.00	1.99	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.79	1.88
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - White	2.00	1.41	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.42	1.36
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - 2 or more races	2.00	1.66	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.81	1.69

Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.58	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.65	0.61
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.44	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.50	0.40
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	1.23	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.15	1.27
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - White	2.00	1.16	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.18	1.19
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	1.33	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.11	0.88
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	1.21	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.29	1.42
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.24	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.22	0.23
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	2.12	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.32	2.25
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - White	2.00	1.04	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.06	1.02
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	0.94	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.83	0.88
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	1.01	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.87	0.94
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian	2.00	0.87	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.79	0.73

		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American	2.00	1.54	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.55	1.54
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White	2.00	0.85	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.93	0.98
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races	2.00	1.04	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.03	1.08
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.71	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.68	0.67
11	Percentage of students with writt evaluate who were evaluated wit COMAR 13A.05.01.06		100.00%	85.16%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	87.85%	98.82%
12	Percentage of eligible children e have an IEP in effect by the child COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.13.01.09	d's 3rd birthday.	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and secondary transition requirement COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) Company (a)(ii)	ts.	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Correction of Noncompliance	Correction of Noncompliance MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	0.00%
Timely and Valid Data	Timely and Valid Data MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	0.00%
Number of Complaints Filed	Number of Complaints Filed MSDE/DEISES		0.00	14.00	No	No further action is required.	8.00	5.00
Number of Complaints Identified with Violations	Number of Complaints Identified MSDE/DEISES	with Violations	0.00	7.00	No	Please work with Dispute Resolution staff to ensure any identified noncompliance is corrected as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from notification of findings.	3.00	5.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Filed	Number of Due Process Hearing MSDE	s Filed	0.00	29.00	No	No further action is required.	21.00	13.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations	Number of Due Process Hearing MSDE	s Identified with Violations	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	1.00
Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified	Fiscal Accountability: Number of MSDE	Findings Identified	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	1.00	0.00
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading	Percentage of Students Taking t Reading MSDE	he Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	1.06%	No	Target not met. An Improvement plan is required.	1.10%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math	Percentage of Students Taking t Math MSDE	he Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	1.07%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.20%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science	Percentage of Students Taking t Science MSDE	he Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	1.06%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.20%	-

Number of SWD Restraints	Number of SWD Restraints MSDE	0.00	480.00	No	No further action is required.	347.00	-
Number of SWD Seclusions	Number of SWD Seclusions MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	104.00	-
Significant Disproportionality	Number of Categories Identified for Significant Disproportionality 34 C.F.R. § 300.646	0.00	1.00	No	A Comprehensive Coordinated Early Intervening Services (CCEIS) Plan is required.	1.00	-



Dr. Karen M. Couch Superintendent Kent County Public Schools 5608 Boundary Avenue Rock Hall, MD 21661

Dear Dr. Couch:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services (DEI/SES) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]. The MSDE, DEI/SES uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each Local Education Agency (LEA) in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2022 determinations, Kent County has achieved the determination status of **Meets Requirements**.

Attached please find supporting documents:

- FFY 2022 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a local
 determination status on page two please note that fiscal and complaints/due process hearing data
 continues to be used;
- FFY 2022 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a graduated results-driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year);
- FFY 2022 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21); and
- DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision and Support (provides the framework used by the DEI/SES to guide the level of monitoring and corresponding level of performance support provided to LEAs).

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the *DEI/SES Strategic Plan: Moving Maryland Forward* provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), Kent County has been assigned the **Universal** tier for the delivery of the DEI/SES technical assistance model for results. Please see the *DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision* for more information.

Dr. Karen M. Couch June 18, 2024 Page 2

> Each LEA is strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) and Family Support Center to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DEI/SES will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

> Thank you for your hard work and commitment to excellence, equity, and efficiency. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of Kent County to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Antoine Hickman, Ed.D.

Siton J. Hickory

Assistant State Superintendent

Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services

Attachments

c: Dr. Carey Wright State Superintendent of Schools

Dr. Deann Collins Deputy State Superintendent

Dr. Wendy Keen Supervisor of Special Education

MSDE DEI/SES Branch/Section Chiefs



Kent County

	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY:	2022 (SFY 20	023)	Action	Previous	Results
	Results Indicator Compliance	Indicator	State Target	Local Results	Target Met	Required	FFY 2021	FFY 2020
1	Students with IEPs graduating with a Data (lag data indicator) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A		74.35%	73.68%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	88.89%	73.33
2	Students with IEPs dropping out (ES on lag data for 2019-2020) COMAR 13A.08.01.07			0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	5.56%	3.80%
3A	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 4th Grade	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 8th Grade	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	80.00%	-
		Participation – Reading – High School	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
		Participation – Math – 4th Grade	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
		Participation – Math – 8th Grade	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	80.00%	-
		Participation – Math – High School	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
3B	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	11.00%	6.25%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	4.76%	0.00
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	9.00%	11.11%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00%	6.25
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – High School	17.50%	12.50%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	13.33%	5.569
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 4th Grade	14.00%	6.25%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	14.29%	0.00
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 8th Grade	2.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	0.00

		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – High School	10.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	0.00%
3C	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against alternate academic achievement standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	42.50%	-	NA		0.00%	0.00%
	COMAN ISA.OS. 11.03	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	52.50%	-	NA		-	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – High School	55.50%	-	NA		0.00%	100.00%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 4th Grade	27.50%	-	NA		0.00%	0.00%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 8th Grade	35.50%	-	NA		-	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – High School	55.50%	-	NA		0.00%	100.00%
3D	Gap in Proficiency Rates COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 4th Grade	16.50%	40.67%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	40.54%	20.75%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade	23.50%	27.22%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	27.12%	22.17%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School	47.50%	34.83%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	33.87%	54.12%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade	13.50%	13.45%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	9.65%	9.43%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	6.21%	5.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	6.67%	2.17%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School	34.00%	21.90%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	23.00%	28.12%
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): D COMAR 13A.08.03.03	isabled vs. nondisabled	2.00	0.25	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.25	-
5 A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≥ 6 LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	80% of the day (MD SSIS	71.25%	87.83%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	86.45%	83.06%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≤ 4 LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	40% of the day (MD SSIS	11.50%	7.39%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	9.96%	12.50%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is se residential facilities and home and ho COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.0	spital facilities	6.00%	2.17%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	2.39%	1.61%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services in r the majority of the day COMAR 13A.05.01.10	regular early childhood setting	63.00%	73.91%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	88.24%	73.33%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate Sc COMAR 13A.05.01.10	chool or Class	19.00%	4.35%	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00%	0.00%
6C	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home		0.32%	8.70%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	5.88%	0.00%
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Social Emotional - Exits with substantial growth	71.00%	87.50%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%

		SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations	54.00%	63.64%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	66.67%	50.00%
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth	73.50%	40.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	100.00%	100.00%
		SS2– Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations	52.00%	20.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	50.00%	25.00%
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs. COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits with substantial growth	72.50%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
		SS2 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits within age expectations	60.50%	63.64%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	83.33%	50.00%
8A	Parent Survey - Preschool Age		85.50%	-	NA		-	89.00%
8A Response Rate	Parent Survey- Preschool Response	Rate	18.00%	38.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	24.00%	46.00%
8B	Parent Survey - School Age		73.00%	78.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	76.00%	77.00%
8B Response Rate	Parent Survey - School Age Respons	e Rate	9.00%	11.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	13.00%	25.00%
14	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07	Higher Education	25.50%	26.32%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
	COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	Higher Education or Employed	58.00%	52.63%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	77.78%	-
		Higher Ed, Training, or Employed	58.00%	52.63%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	83.33%	-
4B	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Discrepancy - Black/African American	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - Two or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - White	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
9	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification.	Disproportionate Representation - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American	2.00	1.66	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	2.09	2.12
		Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - White	2.00	0.77	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.63	0.63

	Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races	2.00	1.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.90	0.73
	Disproportionate Representation - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.66	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.74	0.80
Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Black/African American	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - White	2.00	0.85	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.81	0.61
	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Black/African American	2.00	2.18	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.65	2.86
	Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - White	2.00	0.55	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.44	0.46
	Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	1.28	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.35	0.95
	Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.71	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.70	0.65
	Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00

Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Black/African American	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - White	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.74
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.23	0.95
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - White	2.00	2.25	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.01	1.18
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.23	1.27
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	2.03	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.60	2.38
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - White	2.00	0.70	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.66	0.71
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00

		Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American	2.00	1.13	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.42	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White	2.00	1.06	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.11	2.21
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
11	Percentage of students with written p who were evaluated within 60 calenda COMAR 13A.05.01.06		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthda COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COM 13A.13.01.09	iy.	100.00%	-	NA		100.00%	-
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and about secondary transition requirements. COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	97.67%
Correction of Noncompliance	Correction of Noncompliance MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Timely and Valid Data	Timely and Valid Data MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	0.00%
Number of Complaints Filed	Number of Complaints Filed MSDE/DEISES		0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Number of Complaints Identified with Violations	Number of Complaints Identified with MSDE/DEISES	Violations	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	3.00	-
Number of Due Process Hearings Filed	Number of Due Process Hearings File MSDE	ed	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations	Number of Due Process Hearings Ide MSDE	entified with Violations	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified	Fiscal Accountability: Number of Find MSDE	lings Identified	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	1.00	0.00
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading	Percentage of Students Taking the A MSDE	Iternate Assessment: Reading	1.00%	0.56%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.50%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math	Percentage of Students Taking the A MSDE	Iternate Assessment: Math	1.00%	0.57%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.50%	-

Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science MSDE	1.00%	0.40%	Yes	Target Met No further action is required.	1.20%	-
Number of SWD Restraints	Number of SWD Restraints MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Number of SWD Seclusions	Number of SWD Seclusions MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Significant Disproportionality	Number of Categories Identified for Significant Disproportionality 34 C.F.R. § 300.646	0.00	1.00	No	A Comprehensive Coordinated Early Intervening Services (CCEIS) Plan is required.	3.00	-



Dr. Monique T. Felder Interim Superintendent Montgomery County Public Schools 850 Hungerford Drive Rockville, MD 20850

Dear Dr. Felder:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services (DEI/SES) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]. The MSDE, DEI/SES uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each Local Education Agency (LEA) in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2022 determinations, Montgomery County has achieved the determination status of **Needs Assistance - Year 4**.

Attached please find supporting documents:

- FFY 2022 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a local determination status on page two - please note that fiscal and complaints/due process hearing data continues to be used;
- FFY 2022 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a graduated results-driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year);
- FFY 2022 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21); and
- DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision and Support (provides the framework used by the DEI/SES to guide the level of monitoring and corresponding level of performance support provided to LEAs).

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the *DEI/SES Strategic Plan: Moving Maryland Forward* provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), Montgomery County has been assigned the **Focused** tier for the delivery of the DEI/SES technical assistance model for results. Please see the *DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision* for more information.

Dr. Monique T. Felder June 18, 2024 Page 2

> Each LEA is strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) and Family Support Center to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DEI/SES will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to excellence, equity, and efficiency. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of Montgomery County to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Antoine Hickman, Ed.D.

Siton J. Hickory

Assistant State Superintendent

Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services

Attachments

c: Dr. Carey Wright, State Superintendent of Schools

Dr. Deann Collins, Deputy State Superintendent

Kia Middleton-Murphy, Acting Director of Special Education

Diana K. Wyles, Associate Superintendent MSDE DEI/SES Branch/Section Chiefs



Carey M. Wright, Ed.D. State Superintendent of Schools

Montgomery County

		Part B Annual SF	P Performa	nce Report				
	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance	no Indicator	FFY 2	2022 (SFY 2	023)	Action Required	Previous	s Results
_	Results Indicator Compliance	e muicator	State Target	Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2021	FFY 2020
1	Students with IEPs graduating of Annual 618 Data (lag data indic COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR	ator)	74.35%	78.62%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	76.55%	79.90
2	Students with IEPs dropping ou rate based on lag data for 2019 COMAR 13A.08.01.07		13.00%	10.16%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	8.29%	1.379
3A	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the	Participation – Reading – 4th Grade	95.00%	99.26%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	98.14%	-
	LEA. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 8th Grade	95.00%	97.07%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	95.47%	-
		Participation – Reading – High School	95.00%	97.46%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	92.87%	-
		Participation – Math – 4th Grade	95.00%	99.26%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	98.51%	-
		Participation – Math – 8th Grade	95.00%	96.94%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	95.28%	-
		Participation – Math – High School	95.00%	91.78%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	89.91%	-
3B	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	11.00%	23.46%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	22.27%	11.03
	standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	9.00%	17.87%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	17.37%	14.03
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – High School	17.50%	33.45%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	37.05%	22.9
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 4th Grade	14.00%	17.16%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	15.78%	13.62
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 8th Grade	2.50%	6.80%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	7.84%	2.22
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – High School	10.50%	15.25%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	15.98%	11.99
3C	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against alternate academic achievement	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - Reading - 4th Grade	42.50%	1.94%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	7.63%	18.9
	standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	52.50%	12.78%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	13.69%	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – High School	55.50%	48.15%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	34.78%	38.98

		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 4th Grade	27.50%	14.65%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	22.22%	8.55%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 8th Grade	35.50%	9.77%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	7.14%	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – High School	55.50%	52.78%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	29.38%	54.24%
3D	Gap in Proficiency Rates COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 4th Grade	16.50%	32.60%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	31.53%	26.11%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade	23.50%	33.95%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	34.37%	31.16%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School	47.50%	32.18%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	33.99%	50.51%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade	13.50%	26.40%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	21.84%	23.67%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	6.21%	15.91%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	13.25%	10.29%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School	34.00%	30.92%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	32.40%	45.55%
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days nondisabled COMAR 13A.08.03.03	s): Disabled vs.	2.00	0.89	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.89	-
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≥ 80% of the day (MD	71.25%	69.46%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	67.29%	67.11%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≤ 40% of the day (MD	11.50%	15.44%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	15.55%	14.56%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE day and residential facilities and facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR	home and hospital	6.00%	4.86%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	5.00%	5.72%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Service childhood setting the majority of COMAR 13A.05.01.10		63.00%	33.43%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	38.74%	26.85%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separat COMAR 13A.05.01.10	e School or Class	19.00%	52.94%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	47.04%	47.91%
6C	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home		0.32%	0.25%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.12%	0.20%
7 A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Social Emotional - Exits with substantial growth	71.00%	74.01%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	78.06%	76.51%
	COMAN 13A. 13.01.09	SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations	54.00%	42.08%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	44.62%	44.48%
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth	73.50%	79.69%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	79.30%	77.57%
	CONIAN TOA. TO.UT.US	SS2– Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations	52.00%	43.44%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	44.00%	42.69%
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	SS1 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits with substantial growth	72.50%	67.47%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	79.11%	75.67%
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS2 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits within age expectations	60.50%	48.30%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	55.75%	54.05%

Rate	0% 13.00% 1% 42.34%
BB Response Parent Survey - School Age Response Rate 9.00% 10.00% Yes Target met. No action is 11.	0% 13.00% 1% 42.34% 4% 63.03%
Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were:	1% 42.34% 4% 63.03%
longer in secondary school, and lteps in effect at the time they left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.08.01.09A(3)(a)	4% 63.03%
they left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.08.01.09A(3)(a) Higher Ed. Training, or Employed Fig. 1.15% Fig. 1.15%	
## Pilipher Ed, Training, or Employed ## S8.00% 71.15% Yes Target met. No further action is required. ### Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity COMAR 13A.08.01.21 ### Discrepancy - Black/African American Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino 2.00 2.07 No Improvement Plan is required.	63.11%
rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity COMAR 13A.08.01.21 Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino Discrepancy - Two or more races Discrepancy - White Discrepa	-
Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino 2.00 2.07 No Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	
more races Discrepancy - White Discrepancy - Whit	-
Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21 Disproportionate Representation - American Indian/Alaska Native Disproportionate Representation - Asian Disproportionate Representation - Asian Disproportionate Representation - Asian Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	-
representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21 Representation - American Indian/Alaska Native Disproportionate Representation - Asian Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	-
identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21 Disproportionate Representation - Asian Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.96
Representation - Black/African American Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Representation - Native	0.52
Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	1.31
Disproportionate 2.00 0.95 Yes Target met No further 0.	0.85
Representation - White 2.00 0.00 Tes Target met. No further action is required.	0.93
Disproportionate 2.00 0.91 Yes Target met. No further action is required.	0.89
Disproportionate 2.00 1.11 Yes Target met. No further action is required. Hispanic/Latino	4 1.16
representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification. Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	0.00
Disproportionate 2.00 0.53 Yes Target met. No further action required.	0.61
Disproportionate 2.00 1.89 Yes Target met. No further action required. Intellectual Disability - Black/African American	1.87
Disproportionate 2.00 0.00 Yes Target met. No further action required. Intellectual Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.00

Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - White	2.00	0.47	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.51	0.51
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.55	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.67	0.70
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	1.33	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.38	1.24
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	1.33	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.52	1.07
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Asian	2.00	0.25	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.26	0.25
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Black/African American	2.00	1.11	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.11	1.13
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	1.21	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.08	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - White	2.00	0.70	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.70	0.70
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.58	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.63	0.61
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	1.93	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.94	1.97
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	3.49	3.12
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Asian	2.00	0.25	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.17	0.17
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Black/African American	2.00	1.87	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.77	1.81
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - White	2.00	1.17	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.25	1.32
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - 2 or more races	2.00	1.56	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.35	1.34

Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.67	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.73	0.68
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.74	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.73	0.71
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	0.96	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.98	1.01
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - White	2.00	1.34	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.15	1.08
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	1.12	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.15	1.12
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.90	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.02	1.06
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.74
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.35	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.32	0.32
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	1.27	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.33	1.48
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - White	2.00	1.50	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.45	1.37
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	1.21	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.16	1.05
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.80	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.82	0.80
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.83	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian	2.00	1.03	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.04	1.02

		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American	2.00	1.50	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.40	1.40
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	1.53	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.73	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White	2.00	1.11	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.22	1.23
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races	2.00	1.05	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.03	1.08
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.60	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.58	0.57
11	Percentage of students with writt evaluate who were evaluated wit COMAR 13A.05.01.06		100.00%	97.01%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	96.99%	98.09%
12	Percentage of eligible children exhave an IEP in effect by the child COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.13.01.09	I's 3rd birthday.	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	98.58%	100.00%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and secondary transition requirement COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) CC (a)(ii)	S.	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	98.28%
Correction of Noncompliance	Correction of Noncompliance MSDE		100.00%	0.00%	No	Untimely complaint correction. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	0.00%
Timely and Valid Data	Timely and Valid Data MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Complaints Filed	Number of Complaints Filed MSDE/DEISES		0.00	19.00	No	No further action is required.	28.00	11.00
Number of Complaints Identified with Violations	Number of Complaints Identified MSDE/DEISES	with Violations	0.00	15.00	No	Please work with Dispute Resolution staff to ensure any identified noncompliance is corrected as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from notification of findings.	20.00	4.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Filed	Number of Due Process Hearing MSDE	s Filed	0.00	119.00	No	No further action is required.	70.00	60.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations	Number of Due Process Hearing MSDE	s Identified with Violations	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	6.00
Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified	Fiscal Accountability: Number of MSDE	Findings Identified	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	3.00
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading	Percentage of Students Taking to Reading MSDE	he Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	1.18%	No	Target not met. An Improvement plan is required.	1.30%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math	Percentage of Students Taking t Math MSDE	he Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	1.19%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.30%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science	Percentage of Students Taking t Science MSDE	he Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	1.03%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.30%	-

Number of SWD Restraints	Number of SWD Restraints MSDE	0.00	320.00	No	No further action is required.	611.00	-
Number of SWD Seclusions	Number of SWD Seclusions MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	249.00	-
Significant Disproportionality	Number of Categories Identified for Significant Disproportionality 34 C.F.R. § 300.646	0.00	4.00	No	A Comprehensive Coordinated Early Intervening Services (CCEIS) Plan is required.	1.00	-



Mr. Millard House II Superintendent Prince George's County Public Schools 14201 School Lane Upper Marlboro, MD 20772

Dear Mr. House II:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services (DEI/SES) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]. The MSDE, DEI/SES uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each Local Education Agency (LEA) in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2022 determinations, Prince George's County has achieved the determination status of **Needs Intervention**.

Attached please find supporting documents:

- FFY 2022 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a local
 determination status on page two please note that fiscal and complaints/due process hearing data
 continues to be used;
- FFY 2022 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a graduated results-driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year);
- FFY 2022 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21); and
- DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision and Support (provides the framework used by the DEI/SES to guide the level of monitoring and corresponding level of performance support provided to LEAs).

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the *DEI/SES Strategic Plan: Moving Maryland Forward* provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), Prince George's County has been assigned the **Focused** tier for the delivery of the DEI/SES technical assistance model for results. Please see the *DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision* for more information.

Mr. Millard House II June 18, 2024 Page 2

> Each LEA is strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) and Family Support Center to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DEI/SES will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

> Thank you for your hard work and commitment to excellence, equity, and efficiency. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of Prince George's County to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Antoine Hickman, Ed.D.

Actor I. Hickory

Assistant State Superintendent

Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services

Attachments

Dr. Carey Wright, State Superintendent of Schools c: Dr. Deann Collins, Deputy State Superintendent Trinell Bowman, Associate Superintendent for Special Education Karen Andrews, Director of Special Education MSDE DEI/SES Branch/Section Chiefs



Prince George's County

		Part B Annual SP						
_	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance	ce Indicator	FFY 2	2022 (SFY 20)23)	Action Required	Previous	Results
_			State Target	Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2021	FFY 2020
1	Students with IEPs graduating Annual 618 Data (lag data indic COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR	ator)	74.35%	74.51%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	72.69%	72.75%
2	Students with IEPs dropping our ate based on lag data for 2019 COMAR 13A.08.01.07		13.00%	15.06%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	16.99%	5.37%
3A	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP	Participation – Reading – 4th Grade	95.00%	99.03%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	98.03%	-
	students in all grades in the LEA. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 8th Grade	95.00%	94.30%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	89.44%	-
		Participation – Reading – High School	95.00%	99.10%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	83.45%	-
		Participation – Math – 4th Grade	95.00%	99.23%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	97.83%	-
		Participation – Math – 8th Grade	95.00%	93.56%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	87.82%	-
		Participation – Math – High School	95.00%	99.05%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	86.72%	-
3B	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards.	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	11.00%	5.85%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	7.10%	2.81%
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	9.00%	7.07%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	7.10%	4.20%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – High School	17.50%	11.21%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	11.67%	8.45%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 4th Grade	14.00%	2.76%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.85%	2.37%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 8th Grade	2.50%	1.39%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.38%	1.33%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – High School	10.50%	1.59%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.46%	2.27%
3C	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against alternate academic achievement standards.	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - Reading - 4th Grade	42.50%	7.23%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.50%	4.11%
	standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - Reading - 8th Grade	52.50%	14.41%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	14.29%	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – High School	55.50%	97.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	21.63%	41.949

		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 4th Grade	27.50%	19.28%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	20.99%	0.00%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 8th Grade	35.50%	8.11%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	6.67%	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – High School	55.50%	44.44%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	26.53%	37.10%
3D	Gap in Proficiency Rates COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 4th Grade	16.50%	30.70%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	25.97%	10.63%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade	23.50%	30.69%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	28.40%	17.02%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School	47.50%	35.20%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	36.27%	40.86%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade	13.50%	10.95%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	7.54%	4.14%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	6.21%	7.59%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	6.26%	2.36%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School	34.00%	15.36%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	14.57%	23.89%
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days nondisabled COMAR 13A.08.03.03	s): Disabled vs.	2.00	0.37	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.37	-
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≥ 80% of the day (MD	71.25%	73.49%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	73.14%	72.97%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≤ 40% of the day (MD	11.50%	15.51%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	14.77%	13.89%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE day and residential facilities and facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR	home and hospital	6.00%	8.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	8.69%	9.20%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Service childhood setting the majority of COMAR 13A.05.01.10		63.00%	51.53%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	57.33%	65.00%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separat COMAR 13A.05.01.10	e School or Class	19.00%	29.11%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	26.71%	19.88%
6C	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home		0.32%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00%	0.00%
7 A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Social Emotional - Exits with substantial growth	71.00%	67.35%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	71.13%	72.77%
	COMAR 15A.15.01.09	SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations	54.00%	35.99%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	39.32%	43.86%
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth	73.50%	78.83%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	79.96%	82.02%
	OOMAN 13A.13.01.09	SS2– Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations	52.00%	39.76%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	39.83%	42.25%
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	SS1 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits with substantial growth	72.50%	61.03%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	65.81%	69.27%
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS2 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits within age expectations	60.50%	44.73%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	46.27%	50.29%

8 A	Parent Survey - Preschool Age		85.50%	84.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	84.00%	84.00%
8A Response Rate	Parent Survey- Preschool Respo	onse Rate	18.00%	21.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	13.00%	25.00%
8B	Parent Survey - School Age		73.00%	61.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	65.00%	67.00%
8B Response Rate	Parent Survey - School Age Res	ponse Rate	9.00%	4.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	5.00%	8.00%
14	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were:	Higher Education	25.50%	22.75%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	23.87%	18.86%
	COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a) (ii)	Higher Education or Employed	58.00%	55.86%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	57.14%	48.04%
		Higher Ed, Training, or Employed	58.00%	57.42%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	58.61%	48.53%
4B	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Discrepancy - Black/African American	2.00	2.06	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	-	-
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.79	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - Two or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - White	2.00	7.38	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	-	-
9	representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a	Disproportionate Representation - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	1.32	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.16	1.16
	result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Asian	2.00	0.62	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.63	0.62
		Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American	2.00	1.46	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.41	1.38
		Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.68	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.63	0.60
		Disproportionate Representation - White	2.00	1.08	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.04	1.17
		Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races	2.00	0.97	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.91	1.02
		Disproportionate Representation - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.69	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.72	0.71
10	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification.	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Asian	2.00	0.61	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.63	0.61
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Black/African American	2.00	1.36	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.40	1.48

Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - White	2.00	0.60	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.70	0.59
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	1.16	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.96	0.94
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.80	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.77	0.74
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.90	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.89	1.33
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Asian	2.00	0.24	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.27	0.27
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Black/African American	2.00	1.12	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.08	1.09
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.91	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.10	0.82
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - White	2.00	0.82	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.76	0.89
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.54	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.56	0.65
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	1.03	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.06	1.02
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.29
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Black/African American	2.00	3.77	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	4.19	3.90
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - White	2.00	1.18	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.25	1.39

Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.84
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.24	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.21	0.20
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	2.17	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.17	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.64	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.78	0.61
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	1.62	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.62	1.42
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - White	2.00	1.77	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.58	2.05
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	1.72	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.54	1.58
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.50	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.51	0.58
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	1.53	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.04	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.29	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.28	0.27
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	2.05	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.03	1.96
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - White	2.00	1.37	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.10	1.22
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	0.80	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.95	0.92
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.46	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.49	0.50

		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	1.23	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.83	1.12
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian	2.00	1.35	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.32	1.33
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American	2.00	1.94	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.91	1.81
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White	2.00	1.24	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.34	1.54
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races	2.00	1.51	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.43	1.54
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.41	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.41	0.42
11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days. COMAR 13A.05.01.06		100.00%	97.13%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	96.85%	98.17%
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday. COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements. COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3) (a)(ii)		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Correction of Noncompliance	Correction of Noncompliance MSDE		100.00%	0.00%	No	Untimely correction of Indicator 11 and complaint. An Improvement Plan is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Timely and Valid Data	Timely and Valid Data MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Complaints Filed	Number of Complaints Filed MSDE/DEISES		0.00	95.00	No	No further action is required.	71.00	37.00
Number of Complaints Identified with Violations	Number of Complaints Identified with Violations MSDE/DEISES		0.00	70.00	No	Please work with Dispute Resolution staff to ensure any identified noncompliance is corrected as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from notification of findings.	46.00	32.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Filed	Number of Due Process Hearings Filed MSDE		0.00	35.00	No	No further action is required.	29.00	18.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations	Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations MSDE		0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified	Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified MSDE		0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading MSDE		1.00%	1.02%	No	Target not met. An Improvement plan is required.	1.20%	-

Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math MSDE	1.00%	1.02%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.20%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science MSDE	1.00%	1.16%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.80%	-
Number of SWD Restraints	Number of SWD Restraints MSDE	0.00	97.00	No	No further action is required.	83.00	-
Number of SWD Seclusions	Number of SWD Seclusions MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Significant Disproportionality	Number of Categories Identified for Significant Disproportionality 34 C.F.R. § 300.646	0.00	4.00	No	A Comprehensive Coordinated Early Intervening Services (CCEIS) Plan is required.	1.00	-



Dr. Patricia Saelens Superintendent Queen Anne's County Board of Educations 202 Chesterfield Avenue Centerville, MD, 21617

Dear Dr. Saelens:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services (DEI/SES) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]. The MSDE, DEI/SES uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each Local Education Agency (LEA) in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2022 determinations, Queen Anne's County has achieved the determination status of Meets Requirements.

Attached please find supporting documents:

- FFY 2022 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a local determination status on page two – please note that fiscal and complaints/due process hearing data continues to be used
- FFY 2022 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a graduated results-driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year);
- FFY 2022 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21); and
- DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision and Support (provides the framework used by the DEI/SES to guide the level of monitoring and corresponding level of performance support provided to LEAs).

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the *DEI/SES Strategic Plan: Moving Maryland Forward* provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), Queen Anne's County has been assigned the **Universal** tier for the delivery of the DEI/SES technical assistance model for results. Please see the *DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision* for more information.

Dr. Patricia Saelens June 18, 2024 Page 2

> Each LEA is strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) and Family Support Center to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DEI/SES will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to excellence, equity, and efficiency. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of Queen Anne's County to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Antoine Hickman, Ed.D.

Actor J. Hickory

Assistant State Superintendent

Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services

Attachments

Dr. Carey Wright, State Superintendent of Schools c: Dr. Deann Collins, Deputy State Superintendent Joeleen Smith, Supervisor of Special Education MSDE DEI/SES, Branch/Section Chiefs



Queen Anne's County

		Part B Annual SP	P Performa	nce Report				
	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance	e Indicator	FFY:	2022 (SFY 20	023)	Action Required	Previous	s Results
	results indicator Compliant	e muicatoi	State Target	Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2021	FFY 2020
1	Students with IEPs graduating v Annual 618 Data (lag data indic COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR	ator)	74.35%	75.86%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	90.62%	82.059
2	Students with IEPs dropping ou rate based on lag data for 2019 COMAR 13A.08.01.07		13.00%	6.90%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	3.12%	0.00%
3A	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the	Participation – Reading – 4th Grade	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	91.53%	-
	LEA. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 8th Grade	95.00%	98.61%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	93.85%	-
		Participation – Reading – High School	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
		Participation – Math – 4th Grade	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	91.53%	-
		Participation – Math – 8th Grade	95.00%	97.22%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	95.38%	-
		Participation – Math – High School	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	95.65%	-
3B	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards.	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	11.00%	25.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	20.37%	1.59%
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	9.00%	18.18%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	10.53%	1.85%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – High School	17.50%	13.79%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	10.34%	9.389
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 4th Grade	14.00%	18.33%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	12.96%	0.00%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 8th Grade	2.50%	15.38%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	5.17%	0.00%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – High School	10.50%	6.67%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	6.45%
3C	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against alternate academic achievement standards.	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - Reading - 4th Grade	42.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	-	0.009
	standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	52.50%	20.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – High School	55.50%	50.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	11.11%	100.00

		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 4th Grade	27.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	-	33.33%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 8th Grade	35.50%	20.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – High School	55.50%	50.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	11.11%	100.00%
3D	Gap in Proficiency Rates COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 4th Grade	16.50%	36.60%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	41.98%	24.42%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade	23.50%	42.15%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	46.12%	30.22%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School	47.50%	34.38%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	37.58%	56.53%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade	13.50%	28.87%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	32.46%	25.50%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	6.21%	11.98%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	21.26%	5.25%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School	34.00%	34.65%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	40.76%	57.85%
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days nondisabled COMAR 13A.08.03.03	:): Disabled vs.	2.00	0.22	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.22	-
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≥ 80% of the day (MD	71.25%	83.85%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	83.95%	85.09%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≤ 40% of the day (MD	11.50%	8.95%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	9.74%	8.25%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE day and residential facilities and facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR	home and hospital	6.00%	1.87%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.65%	2.03%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Service childhood setting the majority of COMAR 13A.05.01.10		63.00%	75.96%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	68.75%	44.44%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separat COMAR 13A.05.01.10	e School or Class	19.00%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	8.33%	25.40%
6C	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home		0.32%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	4.17%	1.59%
7 A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Social Emotional - Exits with substantial growth	71.00%	78.57%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	85.19%	62.07%
	COMAR 134.13.01.09	SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations	54.00%	43.75%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	43.33%	60.00%
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth	73.50%	77.78%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	79.31%	65.00%
	33N/AC 10A.10.01.00	SS2– Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations	52.00%	56.25%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	30.00%	55.56%
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	SS1 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits with substantial growth	72.50%	73.91%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	84.00%	68.97%
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS2 – Behavior to Meet	60.50%	68.75%	Yes	Target met. No further	46.67%	62.22%

8A	Parent Survey - Preschool Age		85.50%	74.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	76.00%	88.00%
8A Response Rate	Parent Survey- Preschool Respo	onse Rate	18.00%	32.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	27.00%	31.00%
8B	Parent Survey - School Age		73.00%	75.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	76.00%	76.00%
8B Response Rate	Parent Survey - School Age Res	sponse Rate	9.00%	18.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	18.00%	24.00%
14	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school,	Higher Education	25.50%	-	NA		-	-
	had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07	Higher Education or Employed	58.00%	68.97%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	75.00%	53.85%
	COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a) (ii)	Higher Ed, Training, or Employed	58.00%	72.41%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	75.00%	58.97%
4B	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-	Discrepancy - Black/African American	2.00	-	NA		-	-
	21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Discrepancy - Two or more races	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Discrepancy - White	2.00	-	NA		-	-
9	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate	Disproportionate Representation - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
	identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Asian	2.00	1.28	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.48	1.45
		Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American	2.00	1.86	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.86	1.92
		Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - White	2.00	0.94	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.95	0.92
		Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races	2.00	1.04	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.83	0.87
		Disproportionate Representation - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.68	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.72	0.70
10	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	COWAR 13A.06.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Black/African American	2.00	2.75	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	3.52	3.10
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - White	2.00	0.88	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.80	0.95

Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Asian	2.00	1.71	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	1.98
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Black/African American	2.00	2.68	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.58	2.71
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - White	2.00	0.67	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.72	0.64
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	1.13	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.94	1.18
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.86	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.87	0.83
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Black/African American	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - White	2.00	1.15	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.91	0.51
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00

Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	3.66
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	1.12	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.01	0.93
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - White	2.00	1.31	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.25	1.38
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	0.74	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.56	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.65	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.65	0.75
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	1.59	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.62	1.35
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - White	2.00	1.29	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.30	1.16
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	1.26	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.01	1.35
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.33	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.35	0.48
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American	2.00	1.92	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	2.64	3.62

		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White	2.00	1.23	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.12	0.74
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
11	Percentage of students with writt evaluate who were evaluated wit COMAR 13A.05.01.06		100.00%	99.50%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	99.45%	100.00%
12	Percentage of eligible children es have an IEP in effect by the child COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.13.01.09	l's 3rd birthday.	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and secondary transition requirement COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) CC (a)(ii)	ts.	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Correction of Noncompliance	Correction of Noncompliance MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Timely and Valid Data	Timely and Valid Data MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Complaints Filed	Number of Complaints Filed MSDE/DEISES		0.00	3.00	No	No further action is required.	1.00	1.00
Number of Complaints Identified with Violations	Number of Complaints Identified with Violations MSDE/DEISES		0.00	2.00	No	Please work with Dispute Resolution staff to ensure any identified noncompliance is corrected as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from notification of findings.	0.00	1.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Filed	Number of Due Process Hearing MSDE	s Filed	0.00	4.00	No	No further action is required.	1.00	1.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations	Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations MSDE		0.00	1.00	No	Please work with Dispute Resolution staff to ensure any identified noncompliance is corrected as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from notification of findings.	0.00	0.00
Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified	Fiscal Accountability: Number of MSDE	Findings Identified	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading	Percentage of Students Taking the Reading MSDE	he Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	0.67%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.60%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math	Percentage of Students Taking the Math MSDE	he Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	0.65%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.60%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science	Percentage of Students Taking to Science MSDE	he Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	1.12%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.20%	-
Number of SWD Restraints	Number of SWD Restraints MSDE		0.00	4.00	No	No further action is required.	0.00	-

Number of SWD Seclusions	Number of SWD Seclusions MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Significant Disproportionality	Number of Categories Identified for Significant Disproportionality 34 C.F.R. § 300.646	0.00	1.00	No	A Comprehensive Coordinated Early Intervening Services (CCEIS) Plan is required.	2.00	-



Dr. James S. Smith Superintendent St. Mary's County Public Schools 23160 Moakley Street Suite 109 Leonardtown, MD 20650

Dear Dr. Smith:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services (DEI/SES) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]. The MSDE, DEI/SES uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each Local Education Agency (LEA) in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2022 determinations, St. Mary's County has achieved the determination status of **Meets Requirements**.

Attached please find supporting documents:

- FFY 2022 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a local
 determination status on page two please note that fiscal and complaints/due process hearing data
 continues to be used;
- FFY 2022 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a graduated results-driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year);
- FFY 2022 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21); and
- DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision and Support (provides the framework used by the DEI/SES to guide the level of monitoring and corresponding level of performance support provided to LEAs).

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the *DEI/SES Strategic Plan: Moving Maryland Forward* provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), St. Mary's County has been assigned the **Universal** tier for the delivery of the DEI/SES technical assistance model for results. Please see the *DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision* for more information.

Dr. James S. Smith June 18, 2024 Page 2

> Each LEA is strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) and Family Support Center to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DEI/SES will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

> Thank you for your hard work and commitment to excellence, equity, and efficiency. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of St. Mary's County to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Antoine Hickman, Ed.D.

Actor J. Hickory

Assistant State Superintendent

Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services

Attachments

c: Dr. Carey Wright, State Superintendent of Schools Dr. Deann Collins, Deputy State Superintendent Audrey Ellis, Director of Special Education MSDE DEI/SES Branch/Section Chiefs



St. Mary's County

_	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Complian	ce Indicator	FFY:	2022 (SFY 2	023)	Action Required	Previous	s Results
_	results indicator Compilari	ce muicator	State Target	Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2021	FFY 2020
1	Students with IEPs graduating Annual 618 Data (lag data indio COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR	cator)	74.35%	70.70%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	74.44%	73.87
2	Students with IEPs dropping or rate based on lag data for 2015 COMAR 13A.08.01.07		13.00%	25.48%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	18.80%	1.859
3A	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the	Participation – Reading – 4th Grade	95.00%	93.90%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	98.66%	-
	LEA. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 8th Grade	95.00%	94.16%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	95.65%	-
		Participation – Reading – High School	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	98.37%	-
		Participation – Math – 4th Grade	95.00%	93.90%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	98.66%	-
		Participation – Math – 8th Grade	95.00%	92.70%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	93.17%	-
		Participation – Math – High School	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	96.40%	-
3B	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards.	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	11.00%	6.43%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	17.65%	2.82
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	9.00%	8.55%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	9.79%	6.67
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – High School	17.50%	25.93%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	25.93%	15.46
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 4th Grade	14.00%	7.14%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	14.71%	7.04
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 8th Grade	2.50%	3.48%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	5.04%	2.04
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – High School	10.50%	7.22%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	7.45%	14.94
3C	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against alternate academic achievement	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	42.50%	7.14%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	9.09%	6.67
	standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	52.50%	33.33%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	27.27%	-

p in Proficiency Rates MAR 13A.05.11.05	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - Reading - High School Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - Math - 4th Grade Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - Math - 8th Grade Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - Math - Bigh Grade Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - Math - High School Gap in Proficiency Rates - Reading - 4th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates - Reading - Bigh Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates - Reading - High School Gap in Proficiency Rates - Math - 4th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates - Math - Bigh Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates - Math - Bigh Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates - Math - Bigh Grade	55.50% 27.50% 35.50% 55.50% 16.50% 47.50% 13.50% 6.21%	60.00% 21.43% 25.00% 60.00% 46.13% 46.78% 35.50%	Yes No No Yes No No No	Target met. No further action is required. Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required. Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required. Target met. No further action is required. Target not met. Improvement Plan is required. Target not met. Improvement Plan is required. Target not met. Improvement Plan is required. Target met. No further action is required.	30.77% 0.00% 9.09% 46.15% 36.96% 39.95%	42.86% 0.00% - 57.14% 23.43% 29.18% 52.90%
	Achievement Standards - Math – 4th Grade Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - Math – 8th Grade Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - Math – High School Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 4th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	35.50% 55.50% 16.50% 23.50% 47.50%	25.00% 60.00% 46.13% 46.78% 35.50%	No Yes No No Yes	Improvement Plan is required. Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required. Target met. No further action is required. Target not met. Improvement Plan is required. Target not met. Improvement Plan is required. Target met. No further action is required.	9.09% 46.15% 36.96% 39.95%	- 57.14% 23.43% 29.18%
	Achievement Standards – Math – 8th Grade Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – High School Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 4th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	55.50% 16.50% 23.50% 47.50%	60.00% 46.13% 46.78% 35.50%	Yes No No Yes	Improvement Plan is required. Target met. No further action is required. Target not met. Improvement Plan is required. Target not met. Improvement Plan is required. Target met. No further action is required.	46.15% 36.96% 39.95% 35.61%	23.43%
	Achievement Standards – Math – High School Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 4th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	16.50% 23.50% 47.50%	46.13% 46.78% 35.50% 28.61%	No No Yes	action is required. Target not met. Improvement Plan is required. Target not met. Improvement Plan is required. Target met. No further action is required.	36.96% 39.95% 35.61%	23.43%
	Rates – Reading – 4th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	23.50% 47.50% 13.50%	46.78% 35.50% 28.61%	No Yes	Improvement Plan is required. Target not met. Improvement Plan is required. Target met. No further action is required.	39.95% 35.61%	29.18%
	Rates – Reading – 8th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	47.50% 13.50%	35.50% 28.61%	Yes	Improvement Plan is required. Target met. No further action is required.	35.61%	
	Rates – Reading – High School Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	13.50%	28.61%		action is required.		52.90%
	Rates – Math – 4th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade			No	Target not met		
	Rates – Math – 8th Grade	6.21%			Improvement Plan is required.	18.49%	18.54%
	Gap in Proficiency		26.04%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	21.06%	8.62%
	Rates – Math – High School	34.00%	31.01%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	31.31%	43.01%
Itiple suspensions (> 10 days disabled MAR 13A.08.03.03	s): Disabled vs.	2.00	0.06	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.06	-
dents aged 6-21 whose LRE IS LRE A) MAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≥ 80% of the day (MD	71.25%	79.91%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	79.39%	77.71%
dents aged 6-21 whose LRE IS LRE C) MAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≤ 40% of the day (MD	11.50%	9.09%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	8.77%	9.82%
dents aged 6-21 whose LRE and residential facilities and lities MAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR	home and hospital	6.00%	1.91%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	2.08%	2.06%
dents aged 3-5 LRE: Service: dhood setting the majority of MAR 13A.05.01.10		63.00%	85.71%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	84.62%	74.42%
dents aged 3-5 LRE: Separat MAR 13A.05.01.10	te School or Class	19.00%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00%	0.00%
dents aged 3-5 LRE: Home		0.32%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00%	0.00%
dents aged 3-5 nonstrate improved positive	SS1 – Social Emotional - Exits with substantial growth	71.00%	79.25%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	60.00%	55.56%
WAK 15A.15.01.09	SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations	54.00%	25.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	24.59%	28.12%
dents aged 3-5 nonstrate acquisition and	SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth	73.50%	81.13%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	60.00%	60.66%
	SS2– Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations	52.00%	32.14%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	31.15%	32.81%
MAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Behavior to Meet	72.50%	79.25%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	63.16%	65.08%
ial- MA	emotional skills RR 13A.13.01.09 Ints aged 3-5 Instrate acquisition and knowledge and skills RR 13A.13.01.09 Ints aged 3-5	growth SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth SS2 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations SS1 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits with	growth SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations 73.50% SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth SS2 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth SS2 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations SS1 – Behavior to Meet 72.50%	growth	growth	growth SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth SS2 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth SS2 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth SS2 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth SS2 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth SS2 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth SS3 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations SS1 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits with No Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required. Target met. No further action is required.	growth SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth SS2 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations SS3 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations SS3 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations SS3 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations SS3 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth SS2 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations SS3 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations SS3 – Social Emotional - Exits with age expectations Target met. No further action is required. SS3 – Social Emotional - Exits with - Improvement Plan is required. SS3 – Social Emotional - Exits with - S3 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required. SS3 – Social Emotional - Exits with - S3 – Social Emotional - Exits with age expectations Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required. SS3 – Social Emotional - Exits with - S4 – Social Emotional - Exits with - S4 – Social Emotional - Exits with age expectations Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required. SS3 – Social Emotional - Exits with - S4 – Social Emotional - Exits with - S5 – Social Emotional - Exits with - S4 – Social Emotional - Exits with - S5 – S

		SS2 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits within age expectations	60.50%	35.71%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	45.90%	43.75%
8A	Parent Survey - Preschool Age	·	85.50%	68.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	78.00%	100.00%
8A Response Rate	Parent Survey- Preschool Respo	onse Rate	18.00%	42.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	31.00%	28.00%
8B	Parent Survey - School Age		73.00%	72.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	68.00%	83.00%
8B Response Rate	Parent Survey - School Age Res	ponse Rate	9.00%	25.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	12.00%	9.00%
14	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were:	Higher Education	25.50%	18.47%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	18.66%	24.32%
	COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a) (ii)	Higher Education or Employed	58.00%	61.78%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	67.16%	56.76%
		Higher Ed, Training, or Employed	58.00%	62.42%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	67.16%	56.76%
4B	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-	Discrepancy - Black/African American	2.00	-	NA		-	-
	21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Discrepancy - Two or more races	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Discrepancy - White	2.00	-	NA		-	-
9	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate	Disproportionate Representation - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	1.09	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
	identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Asian	2.00	0.57	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.53	0.37
		Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American	2.00	1.36	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.41	1.44
		Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - White	2.00	0.91	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.87	0.82
		Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races	2.00	0.98	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.01	1.12
		Disproportionate Representation - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.76	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.82	0.88
10	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification.	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Black/African American	2.00	2.01	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.72	2.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00

Representation - Intellectual Disability - White	2.00	0.67	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.65	0.65
Representation -	2.00					
or more races		0.99	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.24	1.13
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.81	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.07	0.77
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Black/African American	2.00	1.45	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.55	1.52
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - White	2.00	0.92	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.87	0.81
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.82	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.79	1.03
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.87	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.94	0.97
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Black/African American	2.00	2.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.86	1.66
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - White	2.00	0.74	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.77	0.71
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - 2 or more races	2.00	1.25	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.24	1.81

Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.90	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	0.92	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.17	1.10
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - White	2.00	1.11	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.07	1.10
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	1.03	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.99	0.95
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.91	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.57	0.72
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.52	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	1.27	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.35	1.52
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - White	2.00	1.06	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.92	0.87
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	0.99	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.05	1.04
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.56	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.67	0.65
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian	2.00	1.58	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.50	0.90

		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American	2.00	0.97	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.93	1.05
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White	2.00	0.99	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.92	0.85
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races	2.00	1.24	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.09	1.19
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.70	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.23	1.34
11				99.09%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	97.73%	95.85%
12	have an IEP in effect by the child	rcentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who ve an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday. DMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B DMAR 13A.13.01.09		100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
13	secondary transition requirement	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements. COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3) a)(ii)		100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Correction of Noncompliance	Correction of Noncompliance		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00%	0.00%
Timely and Valid Data	Timely and Valid Data MSDE			100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Complaints Filed	Number of Complaints Filed MSDE/DEISES		0.00	3.00	No	No further action is required.	4.00	3.00
Number of Complaints Identified with Violations	Number of Complaints Identified MSDE/DEISES	with Violations	0.00	2.00	No	Please work with Dispute Resolution staff to ensure any identified noncompliance is corrected as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from notification of findings.	2.00	2.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Filed	Number of Due Process Hearing MSDE	s Filed	0.00	5.00	No	No further action is required.	0.00	3.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations	Number of Due Process Hearing MSDE	s Identified with Violations	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified	Fiscal Accountability: Number of MSDE	Findings Identified	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	1.00	0.00
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading MSDE		1.00%	1.03%	No	Target not met. An Improvement plan is required.	1.00%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math	Percentage of Students Taking t Math MSDE			1.04%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.00%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science	Percentage of Students Taking t Science MSDE	he Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	0.98%	Yes	Target Met No further action is required.	1.00%	-

Number of SWD Restraints	Number of SWD Restraints MSDE	0.00	105.00	No	No further action is required.	52.00	-
Number of SWD Seclusions	Number of SWD Seclusions MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Significant Disproportionality	Number of Categories Identified for Significant Disproportionality 34 C.F.R. § 300.646	0.00	1.00	No	A Comprehensive Coordinated Early Intervening Services (CCEIS) Plan is required.	0.00	-



Dr. John B. Gaddis Superintendent Somerset County Public Schools 7982-A Tawes Campus Drive Westover, Maryland 21871

Dear Dr. Gaddis:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services (DEI/SES) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]. The MSDE, DEI/SES uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each Local Education Agency (LEA) in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2022 determinations, Somerset County has achieved the determination status of **Needs Assistance - Year 1**.

Attached please find supporting documents:

- FFY 2022 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a local determination status on page two please note that fiscal and complaints/due process hearing data continues to be used:
- FFY 2022 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a graduated results-driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year);
- FFY 2022 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21); and
- DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision and Support (provides the framework used by the DEI/SES to guide the level of monitoring and corresponding level of performance support provided to LEAs).

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the *DEI/SES Strategic Plan: Moving Maryland Forward* provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), Somerset County has been assigned the **Universal** tier for the delivery of the DEI/SES technical assistance model for results. Please see the *DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision* for more information.

Dr. John B. Gaddis June 18, 2024 Page 2

> Each LEA is strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) and Family Support Center to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DEI/SES will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

> Thank you for your hard work and commitment to excellence, equity, and efficiency. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of Somerset County to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Antoine Hickman, Ed.D.

Actor J. Hickor

Assistant State Superintendent

Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services

Attachments

c: Dr. Carey Wright, State Superintendent of Schools Dr. Deann Collins, Deputy State Superintendent Brandy Brady, Supervisor of Special Education MSDE DEI/SES Branch/Section Chiefs



Carey M. Wright, Ed.D. State Superintendent of Schools

Somerset County

			P Performa					
_	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Complian	ce Indicator	FFY:	2022 (SFY 20	023)	Action Required	Previous	s Results
_			State Target	Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2021	FFY 2020
1	Students with IEPs graduating Annual 618 Data (lag data indic COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR	cator)	74.35%	59.52%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	37.04%	62.96%
2	Students with IEPs dropping or rate based on lag data for 2019 COMAR 13A.08.01.07		13.00%	21.43%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	22.22%	4.83%
3A	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA.	Participation – Reading – 4th Grade	95.00%	94.74%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	93.75%	-
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 8th Grade	95.00%	97.78%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	96.97%	-
		Participation – Reading – High School	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	89.47%	-
		Participation – Math – 4th Grade	95.00%	94.74%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	93.75%	-
		Participation – Math – 8th Grade	95.00%	97.73%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	96.97%	-
		Participation – Math – High School	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	75.00%	-
3B	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	11.00%	9.09%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	7.41%	6.45%
	standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	9.00%	7.89%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	0.00%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – High School	17.50%	7.69%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	7.69%	8.33%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 4th Grade	14.00%	3.03%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	7.41%	0.00%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 8th Grade	2.50%	2.70%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00%	3.45%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – High School	10.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	8.33%
3C	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against alternate academic achievement	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	42.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	33.33%	0.00%
	standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	52.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	25.00%	0.009
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – High School	55.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	25.00%	60.00

		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 4th Grade	27.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	33.33%	0.00%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 8th Grade	35.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	0.00%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – High School	55.50%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	50.00%	20.00%
3D	Gap in Proficiency Rates COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 4th Grade	16.50%	31.39%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	21.64%	12.78%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade	23.50%	18.24%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	23.20%	13.04%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School	47.50%	26.95%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	27.46%	53.64%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade	13.50%	17.92%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	5.51%	12.90%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	6.21%	4.33%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	9.84%	1.85%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School	34.00%	16.39%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	12.73%	29.70%
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days nondisabled COMAR 13A.08.03.03	s): Disabled vs.	2.00	0.44	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.44	-
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≥ 80% of the day (MD	71.25%	81.25%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	76.03%	71.17%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≤ 40% of the day (MD	11.50%	9.11%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	11.60%	13.25%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE day and residential facilities and facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR	home and hospital	6.00%	1.04%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.26%	1.56%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Service childhood setting the majority of COMAR 13A.05.01.10		63.00%	93.33%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	76.67%	53.33%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separat COMAR 13A.05.01.10	e School or Class	19.00%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	6.67%	6.67%
6C	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home		0.32%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00%	6.67%
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Social Emotional - Exits with substantial growth	71.00%	46.15%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	58.33%	90.91%
	00 W/ W 10/ E 10.01.00	SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations	54.00%	35.71%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	50.00%	58.33%
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth	73.50%	46.15%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	75.00%	91.67%
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	SS2– Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations	52.00%	21.43%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	33.33%	58.33%
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	SS1 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits with substantial growth	72.50%	53.85%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	83.33%	90.91%
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS2 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits within age expectations	60.50%	35.71%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	50.00%	58.33%
8A	Parent Survey - Preschool Age		85.50%	_	NA		_	_

8A Response Rate	Parent Survey- Preschool Respo	onse Rate	18.00%	16.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	12.00%	11.00%
8B	Parent Survey - School Age		73.00%	75.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	84.00%	74.00%
8B Response Rate	Parent Survey - School Age Res	ponse Rate	9.00%	10.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	7.00%	8.00%
14	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were:	Higher Education	25.50%	14.29%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	-	-
	COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a) (ii)	Higher Education or Employed	58.00%	42.86%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	38.46%	55.56%
		Higher Ed, Training, or Employed	58.00%	47.62%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	38.46%	55.56%
4B	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-	Discrepancy - Black/African American	2.00	1.99	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
	21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - Two or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - White	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
9	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate	Disproportionate Representation - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
	result of inappropriate dentification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American	2.00	1.14	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.07	1.18
		Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - White	2.00	1.01	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.10	1.11
		Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races	2.00	1.17	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.00	0.80
		Disproportionate Representation - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.62	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.63	0.46
10	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	COWAK 13A.00.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Black/African American	2.00	1.25	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.37	1.09
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - White	2.00	1.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.98	1.31

Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Black/African American	2.00	1.25	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.17	1.29
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - White	2.00	1.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.11	1.01
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	1.28	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.92	1.09
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.38	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.44	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Black/African American	2.00	0.58	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.70	1.78
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - White	2.00	1.26	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.03	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00

Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - American	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Indian/Alaska Native						
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	0.99	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.02	1.19
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - White	2.00	0.86	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.87	0.85
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	1.09	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.48	1.21
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	1.47	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.00	0.81
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	1.99	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.54	1.58
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - White	2.00	0.72	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.90	1.02
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American	2.00	0.78	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.72	0.59

		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White	2.00	2.05	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.69	2.50
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
11	Percentage of students with writte evaluate who were evaluated with COMAR 13A.05.01.06		100.00%	98.61%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	100.00%	97.83%
12	Percentage of eligible children ex have an IEP in effect by the child COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.13.01.09	's 3rd birthday.	100.00%	-	NA		100.00%	-
13	secondary transition requirement	MAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3) (ii)		100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Correction of Noncompliance	Correction of Noncompliance MSDE	DE .		100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Timely and Valid Data	Timely and Valid Data MSDE			100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Complaints Filed	lumber of Complaints Filed ISDE/DEISES		0.00	2.00	No	No further action is required.	1.00	1.00
Number of Complaints Identified with Violations	Number of Complaints Identified MSDE/DEISES	with Violations	0.00	2.00	No	Please work with Dispute Resolution staff to ensure any identified noncompliance is corrected as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from notification of findings.	1.00	-
Number of Due Process Hearings Filed	Number of Due Process Hearings MSDE	s Filed	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	1.00	0.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations	Number of Due Process Hearings MSDE	s Identified with Violations	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified	Fiscal Accountability: Number of MSDE	Findings Identified	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading	Percentage of Students Taking the Reading MSDE	ne Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	1.47%	No	Target not met. An Improvement plan is required.	2.00%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math	Percentage of Students Taking the Math MSDE	ne Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	1.47%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.90%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science	Percentage of Students Taking the Science MSDE			1.53%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.10%	-
Number of SWD Restraints	Number of SWD Restraints MSDE		0.00	8.00	No	No further action is required.	10.00	-
Number of SWD Seclusions	Number of SWD Seclusions MSDE		0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-

Significant Disproportionality	Number of Categories Identified for Significant Disproportionality 34 C.F.R. § 300.646	0.00	2.00	No	A Comprehensive Coordinated Early Intervening Services (CCEIS) Plan is required.	1.00	-	
-----------------------------------	--	------	------	----	---	------	---	--



Dr. Sharon M. Pepukayi Superintendent Talbot County Public Schools P. O. Box 1029 Easton, MD 21601

Dear Dr. Pepukayi:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services (DEI/SES) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]. The MSDE, DEI/SES uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each Local Education Agency (LEA) in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2022 determinations, Talbot County has achieved the determination status of **Needs Assistance - Year 1**.

Attached please find supporting documents:

- FFY 2022 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a local
 determination status on page two please note that fiscal and complaints/due process hearing data
 continues to be used;
- FFY 2022 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a graduated results-driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year);
- FFY 2022 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21); and
- DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision and Support (provides the framework used by the DEI/SES to guide the level of monitoring and corresponding level of performance support provided to LEAs).

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the *DEI/SES Strategic Plan: Moving Maryland Forward* provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), Talbot County has been assigned the **Universal** tier for the delivery of the DEI/SES technical assistance model for results. Please see the *DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision* for more information.

Dr. Sharon M. Pepukayi June 18, 2024 Page 2

> Each LEA is strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) and Family Support Center to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DEI/SES will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

> Thank you for your hard work and commitment to excellence, equity, and efficiency. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of Talbot County to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Antoine Hickman, Ed.D.

Sitom J. Hickman

Assistant State Superintendent

Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services

Attachments

c: Dr. Carey Wright, State Superintendent of Schools Dr. Deann Collins, Deputy State Superintendent Kristin Mentges, Director of Special Education MSDE DEI/SES Branch/Section Chiefs



Carey M. Wright, Ed.D. State Superintendent of Schools

Talbot County

	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance	Indicator	FFY 2	2022 (SFY 20	023)	Action Required	Previous	s Results
•	results indicator	muicatoi	State Target	Local Results	Target Met	Nequireu	FFY 2021	FFY 2020
1	Students with IEPs graduating with a Data (lag data indicator) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A		74.35%	83.33%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	86.96%	100.00%
2	Students with IEPs dropping out (ES on lag data for 2019-2020) COMAR 13A.08.01.07	SA Option 2 leaver rate based	13.00%	6.67%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00%	0.00%
3A	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 4th Grade	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	97.37%	-
	COWAR 15A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 8th Grade	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	97.44%	-
		Participation – Reading – High School	95.00%	97.30%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	97.14%	-
		Participation – Math – 4th Grade	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	97.37%	-
		Participation – Math – 8th Grade	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	94.87%	-
		Participation – Math – High School	95.00%	97.14%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
3B	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	11.00%	6.98%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	5.71%	6.25%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	9.00%	7.14%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	7.89%	0.00%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – High School	17.50%	20.59%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	18.75%	14.29%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 4th Grade	14.00%	2.33%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.86%	5.88%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 8th Grade	2.50%	7.14%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	2.70%	0.00%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – High School	10.50%	6.45%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	6.06%	13.04%

3C	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against alternate academic achievement standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	42.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	100.00%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	52.50%	-	NA		-	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – High School	55.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	50.00%	100.00%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 4th Grade	27.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	50.00%	0.00%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 8th Grade	35.50%	-	NA		-	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – High School	55.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	100.00%	66.67%
3D	Gap in Proficiency Rates COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 4th Grade	16.50%	36.60%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	40.03%	13.83%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade	23.50%	36.40%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	29.64%	30.22%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School	47.50%	33.93%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	37.22%	54.99%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade	13.50%	16.39%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	22.30%	6.62%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	6.21%	11.40%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	11.31%	5.26%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School	34.00%	15.94%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	16.62%	34.25%
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): D COMAR 13A.08.03.03	isabled vs. nondisabled	2.00	0.22	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.22	-
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≥ t LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	30% of the day (MD SSIS	71.25%	83.73%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	82.25%	78.69%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≤ 4 LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	40% of the day (MD SSIS	11.50%	8.35%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	6.74%	6.78%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is se residential facilities and home and ho COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.0	spital facilities	6.00%	1.93%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.80%	2.18%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services in r the majority of the day COMAR 13A.05.01.10	egular early childhood setting	63.00%	86.25%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	84.38%	75.00%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate Sc COMAR 13A.05.01.10	hool or Class	19.00%	1.25%	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00%	6.67%
6C	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home		0.32%	5.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	3.12%	0.00%

7 A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Social Emotional - Exits with substantial growth	71.00%	57.14%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	54.55%	66.67%
		SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations	54.00%	12.50%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	25.00%	33.33%
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth	73.50%	71.43%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	75.00%	66.67%
		SS2– Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations	52.00%	25.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	25.00%	0.00%
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs. COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits with substantial growth	72.50%	57.14%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	77.78%	100.00%
		SS2 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits within age expectations	60.50%	12.50%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	33.33%	33.33%
8 A	Parent Survey - Preschool Age		85.50%	92.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	86.00%	93.00%
8A Response Rate	Parent Survey- Preschool Response	Rate	18.00%	27.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	45.00%	27.00%
8B	Parent Survey - School Age		73.00%	81.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	75.00%	69.00%
8B Response Rate	Parent Survey - School Age Respons	e Rate	9.00%	15.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	13.00%	20.00%
14	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	Higher Education	25.50%	23.33%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	-	-
		Higher Education or Employed	58.00%	73.33%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	56.52%	59.09%
		Higher Ed, Training, or Employed	58.00%	73.33%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	56.52%	59.09%
4B	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Discrepancy - Black/African American	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - Two or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - White	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
9	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification.	Disproportionate Representation - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Asian	2.00	1.19	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.85	1.00

Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American	2.00	1.52	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.64	1.65
Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - White	2.00	1.10	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.97	0.98
Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races	2.00	1.03	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.16	1.06
Disproportionate Representation - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.57	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.64	0.61
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Black/African American	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - White	2.00	0.59	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.14	0.84
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	1.89	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Black/African American	2.00	2.21	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.99	2.09
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - White	2.00	1.16	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.11	0.99
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	1.34	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.51	1.12

Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification.

COMAR 13A.08.01.21

10

Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.21	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.26	0.38
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Black/African American	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - White	2.00	1.14	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.77	2.39
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Asian	2.00	1.37	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	1.33	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.79	2.07
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - White	2.00	0.86	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.60	0.64
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	0.43	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.69	0.81
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	1.13	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.45	1.06
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	1.63	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.48	1.34

		Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
			2.00	1.24	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.26	1.27
		Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	2.24	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.13	1.58
		Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.22	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.26	0.45
			2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian	2.00	5.49	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	5.26	5.57
			2.00	1.29	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.99	0.84
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White	2.00	1.15	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.28	1.09
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.44	0.42
11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days. COMAR 13A.05.01.06		100.00%	97.81%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	97.18%	97.14%
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday. COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements. COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)		100.00%	88.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Correction of Noncompliance	Correction of Noncompliance MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Timely and Valid Data	Timely and Valid Data MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Complaints Filed	Number of Complaints Filed MSDE/DEISES		0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	1.00	1.00
Number of Complaints Identified with Violations	Number of Complaints Identified with Violations MSDE/DEISES		0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	1.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Filed	Number of Due Process Hearings Fil MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	1.00	2.00	

Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations	Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations MSDE		0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified	Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified MSDE		0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	1.00	0.00
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading MSDE	1.00%	0.90%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.60%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math MSDE	1.00%	0.91%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.60%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science MSDE	1.00%	0.87%	Yes	Target Met No further action is required.	1.20%	-
Number of SWD Restraints	Number of SWD Restraints MSDE	0.00	3.00	No	No further action is required.	10.00	-
Number of SWD Seclusions	Number of SWD Seclusions MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	15.00	-
Significant Disproportionality	Number of Categories Identified for Significant Disproportionality 34 C.F.R. § 300.646	0.00	2.00	No	A Comprehensive Coordinated Early Intervening Services (CCEIS) Plan is required.	1.00	-



Dr. David T. Sovine Superintendent Washington County Public Schools 10435 Downsville Pike Hagerstown, MD 21740

Dear Dr. Sovine:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services (DEI/SES) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]. The MSDE, DEI/SES uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each Local Education Agency (LEA) in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2022 determinations, Washington County has achieved the determination status of Meets Requirements.

Attached please find supporting documents:

- FFY 2022 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a local determination status on page two please note that fiscal and complaints/due process hearing data continues to be used;
- FFY 2022 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a graduated results-driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year);
- FFY 2022 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21); and
- DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision and Support (provides the framework used by the DEI/SES to guide the level of monitoring and corresponding level of performance support provided to LEAs).

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the *DEI/SES Strategic Plan: Moving Maryland Forward* provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), Washington County has been assigned the **Universal** tier for the delivery of the DEI/SES technical assistance model for results. Please see the *DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision* for more information.

Dr. David T. Sovine June 18, 2024 Page 2

> Each LEA is strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) and Family Support Center to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DEI/SES will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

> Thank you for your hard work and commitment to excellence, equity, and efficiency. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of Washington County to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Antoine Hickman, Ed.D.

Siton J. Hickory

Assistant State Superintendent

Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services

Attachments

c: Dr. Carey Wright, State Superintendent of Schools

Dr. Deann Collins, Deputy State Superintendent

Dr. Melissa Brunson, Director of Special Education

MSDE DEI/SES Branch/Section Chiefs



Carey M. Wright, Ed.D. State Superintendent of Schools

Washington County

Annual Data on Part B State Performance Plan (SPP) Priority Indicators for the Period July 1, 2022 – June 30, 2023 (FFY 2022)

		Part B Annual SP	i i enoma	nce Report				
	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance	ce Indicator	FFY:	2022 (SFY 2	023)	Action Required	Previous Resul	
_			State Target	Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2021	FFY 2020
1	Students with IEPs graduating Annual 618 Data (lag data indic COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR	ator)	74.35%	74.31%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	67.32%	69.29
2	Students with IEPs dropping our rate based on lag data for 2019 COMAR 13A.08.01.07		13.00%	13.19%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	15.69%	4.199
3A	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the	Participation – Reading – 4th Grade	95.00%	98.60%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	98.91%	-
	LEA. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 8th Grade	95.00%	94.05%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	92.12%	-
		Participation – Reading – High School	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	96.97%	-
		Participation – Math – 4th Grade	95.00%	98.60%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	98.36%	-
		Participation – Math – 8th Grade	95.00%	95.24%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	92.12%	-
		Participation – Math – High School	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	95.79%	-
3B	with IEPs against grade level academic achievement	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	11.00%	12.83%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	13.25%	3.12
	standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	9.00%	14.71%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	12.70%	4.93
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – High School	17.50%	10.89%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	9.62%	9.43
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 4th Grade	14.00%	10.70%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	12.12%	3.70
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 8th Grade	2.50%	4.35%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	5.56%	0.71
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – High School	10.50%	3.61%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.99%	9.01
3C	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against alternate academic achievement standards.	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	42.50%	12.50%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	26.67%	20.00
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	52.50%	31.82%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	26.92%	7.14
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – High School	55.50%	69.57%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	41.67%	66.67

		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 4th Grade	27.50%	41.67%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	60.00%	13.33%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 8th Grade	35.50%	22.73%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	23.08%	7.14%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – High School	55.50%	82.61%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	41.67%	73.33%
3D	Gap in Proficiency Rates COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 4th Grade	16.50%	37.66%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	38.52%	16.88%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade	23.50%	39.65%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	37.64%	23.49%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School	47.50%	47.33%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	48.93%	63.25%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade	13.50%	24.00%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	21.56%	14.01%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	6.21%	19.75%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	20.87%	6.66%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School	34.00%	22.49%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	21.58%	41.43%
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days nondisabled COMAR 13A.08.03.03	s): Disabled vs.	2.00	0.39	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.39	-
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≥ 80% of the day (MD	71.25%	81.06%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	81.52%	80.50%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≤ 40% of the day (MD	11.50%	9.28%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	8.30%	9.75%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE day and residential facilities and facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR	home and hospital	6.00%	4.43%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	4.52%	4.40%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Service childhood setting the majority of COMAR 13A.05.01.10		63.00%	75.62%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	69.23%	69.16%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separat COMAR 13A.05.01.10	e School or Class	19.00%	1.25%	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.92%	0.88%
6C	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home		0.32%	1.25%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.96%	0.88%
7 A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Social Emotional - Exits with substantial growth	71.00%	48.48%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	51.32%	50.72%
	OOM/ (10/1.10.01.00	SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations	54.00%	38.46%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	48.04%	39.33%
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth	73.50%	63.38%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	56.04%	57.32%
	33W W. 10A. 10.01.00	SS2– Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations	52.00%	42.31%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	40.20%	34.83%
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	SS1 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits with substantial growth	72.50%	53.03%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	53.75%	52.56%
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS2 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits within age expectations	60.50%	39.74%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	49.02%	43.82%

8A	Parent Survey - Preschool Age		85.50%	84.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	82.00%	67.00%
8A Response Rate	Parent Survey- Preschool Respo	onse Rate	18.00%	13.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	25.00%	31.00%
8B	Parent Survey - School Age		73.00%	74.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	70.00%	68.00%
8B Response Rate	Parent Survey - School Age Res	ponse Rate	9.00%	6.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	16.00%	18.00%
14	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were:	Higher Education	25.50%	17.33%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	15.69%	11.11%
	COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a) (ii)	Higher Education or Employed	58.00%	57.33%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	62.75%	47.92%
		Higher Ed, Training, or Employed	58.00%	59.33%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	64.71%	48.61%
4B	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Discrepancy - Black/African American	2.00	2.84	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	-	-
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - Two or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - White	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
9	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate	Disproportionate Representation - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
	identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Asian	2.00	0.40	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.48	0.48
		Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American	2.00	1.26	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.25	1.33
		Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - White	2.00	1.04	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.00	0.94
		Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races	2.00	1.05	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.05	1.09
		Disproportionate Representation - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.73	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.79	0.80
10	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	GOWAN 15A.00.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Black/African American	2.00	1.05	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.21	1.34
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00

Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - White	2.00	0.90	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.00	0.89
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	1.28	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.74	0.87
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	1.08	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.10	1.03
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Black/African American	2.00	1.34	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.49	1.72
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - White	2.00	0.89	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.78	0.78
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.91	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.00	0.96
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	1.07	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.16	1.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Black/African American	2.00	1.26	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.90	1.06
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - White	2.00	0.97	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.24	1.09
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - 2 or more races	2.00	1.63	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.59	1.79

Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.60	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.45	0.44
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.45
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	0.89	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.88	1.04
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - White	2.00	1.49	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.27	1.03
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	0.85	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.99	1.16
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.59	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.81	0.89
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.44
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	1.23	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.22	1.17
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - White	2.00	1.22	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.16	1.15
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	1.05	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.09	0.99
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.52	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.55	0.65
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian	2.00	0.89	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.19	0.00

		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American	2.00	1.64	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.74	1.45
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White	2.00	0.89	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.86	0.98
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races	2.00	1.10	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.06	0.90
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.61	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.55	0.72
11	Percentage of students with writt evaluate who were evaluated wit COMAR 13A.05.01.06		100.00%	99.41%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	98.96%	100.00%
12	Percentage of eligible children en have an IEP in effect by the child COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.13.01.09	I's 3rd birthday.	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and secondary transition requirement COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) CC (a)(ii)	ts.	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Correction of Noncompliance	Correction of Noncompliance MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Timely and Valid Data	Timely and Valid Data MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Complaints Filed	Number of Complaints Filed MSDE/DEISES		0.00	2.00	No	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Number of Complaints Identified with Violations	Number of Complaints Identified MSDE/DEISES	with Violations	0.00	1.00	No	Please work with Dispute Resolution staff to ensure any identified noncompliance is corrected as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from notification of findings.	0.00	-
Number of Due Process Hearings Filed	Number of Due Process Hearing MSDE	s Filed	0.00	1.00	No	No further action is required.	0.00	4.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations	Number of Due Process Hearing MSDE	s Identified with Violations	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified	Fiscal Accountability: Number of MSDE	Findings Identified	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading	Percentage of Students Taking to Reading MSDE	he Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	1.36%	No	Target not met. An Improvement plan is required.	1.30%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math	Percentage of Students Taking to Math MSDE	he Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	1.37%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.30%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science	Percentage of Students Taking to Science MSDE	he Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	1.41%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.40%	-

Number of SWD Restraints	Number of SWD Restraints MSDE	0.00	91.00	No	No further action is required.	120.00	-
Number of SWD Seclusions	Number of SWD Seclusions MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	44.00	-
Significant Disproportionality	Number of Categories Identified for Significant Disproportionality 34 C.F.R. § 300.646	0.00	0.00	Yes	No action is required.	0.00	-



Dr. Micah C. Stauffer Superintendent Wicomico County Public Schools P. O. Box 1538 2424 Northgate Drive Salisbury, MD 21802-1538

Dear Dr. Stauffer:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services (DEI/SES) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]. The MSDE, DEI/SES uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each Local Education Agency (LEA) in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2022 determinations, Wicomico County has achieved the determination status of **Meets Requirements**.

Attached please find supporting documents:

- FFY 2022 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a local
 determination status on page two please note that fiscal and complaints/due process hearing data
 continues to be used;
- FFY 2022 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a graduated results-driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year);
- FFY 2022 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21); and
- DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision and Support (provides the framework used by the DEI/SES to guide the level of monitoring and corresponding level of performance support provided to LEAs).

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the *DEI/SES Strategic Plan: Moving Maryland Forward* provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), Wicomico County has been assigned the **Universal** tier for the delivery of the DEI/SES technical assistance model for results. Please see the *DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision* for more information.

Dr. Micah C. Stauffer June 18, 2024 Page 2

> Each LEA is strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) and Family Support Center to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DEI/SES will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

> Thank you for your hard work and commitment to excellence, equity, and efficiency. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of Wicomico County to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Antoine Hickman, Ed.D.

Actor 2. Hickory

Assistant State Superintendent

Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services

Attachments

c: Dr. Carey Wright, State Superintendent of Schools Dr. Deann Collins, Deputy State Superintendent

Kevin Smith, Director of Special Education MSDE DEI/SES Branch/Section Chiefs



Carey M. Wright, Ed.D. State Superintendent of Schools

Wicomico County

Annual Data on Part B State Performance Plan (SPP) Priority Indicators for the Period July 1, 2022 – June 30, 2023 (FFY 2022)

		Part B Annual SP	P Performa	nce Report				
	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance	co Indicator	FFY	2022 (SFY 2	023)	Action Required	Previous	s Results
_	Results Indicator Complian	ce muicator	State Target	Target Results Me			FFY 2021	FFY 2020
1	Students with IEPs graduating Annual 618 Data (lag data indic COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR	ator)	74.35%	52.29%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	62.96%	62.86%
2	Students with IEPs dropping our rate based on lag data for 2019 COMAR 13A.08.01.07		13.00%	35.78%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	18.52%	3.85%
3A	3A State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the	Participation – Reading – 4th Grade	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	99.12%	-
	LEA. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 8th Grade	95.00%	94.35%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	93.48%	-
		Participation – Reading – High School	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	97.44%	-
		Participation – Math – 4th Grade	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	99.12%	-
		Participation – Math – 8th Grade	95.00%	91.94%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	94.20%	-
		Participation – Math – High School	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	96.67%	-
3B	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards.	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	11.00%	6.99%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	6.67%	0.91%
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	9.00%	4.85%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.80%	4.08%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – High School	17.50%	5.56%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	5.00%	0.00%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 4th Grade	14.00%	7.04%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	3.81%	7.21%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 8th Grade	2.50%	6.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.93%	0.00%
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – High School	10.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	0.00%
3C	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against alternate academic achievement	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	42.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	7.69%
	standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	52.50%	21.43%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	13.64%	0.00%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – High School	55.50%	36.36%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	6.25%	53.85%

		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 4th Grade	27.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	0.00%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 8th Grade	35.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	13.64%	0.00%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – High School	55.50%	27.27%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	18.75%	46.15%
3D	Gap in Proficiency Rates COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 4th Grade	16.50%	27.48%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	34.08%	16.18%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade	23.50%	29.04%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	27.89%	22.80%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School	47.50%	35.26%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	35.49%	61.58%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade	13.50%	20.00%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	23.56%	8.84%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	6.21%	8.77%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	10.06%	10.62%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School	34.00%	24.05%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	23.84%	52.78%
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days nondisabled COMAR 13A.08.03.03	s): Disabled vs.	2.00	0.10	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.10	-
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≥ 80% of the day (MD	71.25%	82.98%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	82.27%	80.81%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≤ 40% of the day (MD	11.50%	8.61%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	8.19%	9.97%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE day and residential facilities and facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR	home and hospital	6.00%	0.35%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.68%	0.13%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Service childhood setting the majority of COMAR 13A.05.01.10		63.00%	94.51%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	93.85%	71.43%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate COMAR 13A.05.01.10	e School or Class	19.00%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00%	0.00%
6C	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home		0.32%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00%	0.00%
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Social Emotional - Exits with substantial growth	71.00%	50.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	100.00%	76.19%
	COMM N 10/1.10.01.03	SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations	54.00%	50.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	52.00%
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth	73.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	100.00%	73.68%
	25	SS2– Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations	52.00%	50.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	48.00%
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	SS1 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits with substantial growth	72.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	100.00%	76.19%
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS2 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits within age expectations	60.50%	50.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	60.00%
8A	Parent Survey - Preschool Age		85.50%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	-	79.00%

8A Response								
Rate	Parent Survey- Preschool Respo	onse Rate	18.00%	24.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	15.00%	32.00%
8B	Parent Survey - School Age		73.00%	82.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	72.00%	76.00%
8B Response Rate	Parent Survey - School Age Res	ponse Rate	9.00%	17.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	10.00%	17.00%
14	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were:	Higher Education	25.50%	8.04%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	-	14.68%
	COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a) (ii)	Higher Education or Employed	58.00%	56.25%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	55.56%	50.46%
		Higher Ed, Training, or Employed	58.00%	56.25%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	58.02%	50.46%
4B	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-	Discrepancy - Black/African American	2.00	1.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
	21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - Two or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - White	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
9	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate	Disproportionate Representation - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.84	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.73	0.00
	identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Asian	2.00	0.42	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.38	0.31
		Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American	2.00	1.34	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.30	1.34
		Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - White	2.00	0.91	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.97	0.95
		Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races	2.00	1.02	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.92	0.94
		Disproportionate Representation - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.72	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.73	0.70
10	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	COWAY 13A.00.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Black/African American	2.00	1.53	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.52	1.58
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - White	2.00	0.74	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.76	0.68

Disproportionate						
Representation - Intellectual Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.85	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.70	0.85
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.92	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.01	1.04
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Black/African American	2.00	1.76	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.76	1.86
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - White	2.00	0.78	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.73	0.70
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.68	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.74	0.77
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.75	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.83	0.78
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Black/African American	2.00	1.18	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.31	1.45
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - White	2.00	0.71	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.11	0.84
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - 2 or more races	2.00	1.92	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00

Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	0.93	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.83	0.80
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - White	2.00	1.18	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.51	1.53
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	1.25	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.07	1.05
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.78	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.63	0.67
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	1.37	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.36	1.35
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - White	2.00	1.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.98	1.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	1.41	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.22	1.14
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.37	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.49	0.47
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian	2.00	1.71	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.61	1.68
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American	2.00	0.98	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.90	1.00

		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White	2.00	0.97	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.06	1.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races	2.00	0.93	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.04	1.15
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	1.06	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.98	0.77
11	Percentage of students with writte evaluate who were evaluated with COMAR 13A.05.01.06	en parental consent to nin 60 calendar days.	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
12	have an IEP in effect by the child			100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and secondary transition requirement: COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) CC (a)(ii)	s.	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Correction of Noncompliance	Correction of Noncompliance MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Timely and Valid Data	Timely and Valid Data MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	0.00%
Number of Complaints Filed	Number of Complaints Filed MSDE/DEISES		0.00	3.00	No	No further action is required.	2.00	1.00
Number of Complaints Identified with Violations	Number of Complaints Identified MSDE/DEISES	with Violations	0.00	2.00	No	Please work with Dispute Resolution staff to ensure any identified noncompliance is corrected as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from notification of findings.	0.00	-
Number of Due Process Hearings Filed	Number of Due Process Hearings MSDE	s Filed	0.00	1.00	No	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations	Number of Due Process Hearings MSDE	s Identified with Violations	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified	Fiscal Accountability: Number of MSDE	Findings Identified	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	1.00	0.00
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading	Percentage of Students Taking the Reading MSDE	ne Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	0.86%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.20%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math	Percentage of Students Taking the Math MSDE	ne Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	0.87%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.20%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science	Percentage of Students Taking th Science MSDE	ne Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	1.15%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.90%	-
Number of SWD Restraints	Number of SWD Restraints MSDE		0.00	26.00	No	No further action is required.	23.00	-
Number of SWD Seclusions	Number of SWD Seclusions MSDE		0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-



Mr. Louis Taylor Superintendent Worcester County Public Schools 6270 Worcester Highway Newark, MD 21841

Dear Mr. Taylor:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services (DEI/SES) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]. The MSDE, DEI/SES uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each Local Education Agency (LEA) in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2022 determinations, Worcester County has achieved the determination status of Meets Requirements.

Attached please find supporting documents:

- FFY 2022 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a local determination status on page two – please note that fiscal and complaints/due process hearing data continues to be used
- FFY 2022 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a graduated results-driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year);
- FFY 2022 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21); and
- DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision and Support (provides the framework used by the DEI/SES to guide the level of monitoring and corresponding level of performance support provided to LEAs).

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the *DEI/SES Strategic Plan: Moving Maryland Forward* provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), Worcester County has been assigned the **Universal** tier for the delivery of the DEI/SES technical assistance model for results. Please see the *DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision* for more information.

Mr. Louis Taylor June 18, 2024 Page 2

> Each LEA is strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) and Family Support Center to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DEI/SES will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

> Thank you for your hard work and commitment to excellence, equity, and efficiency. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of Worcester County to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Antoine Hickman, Ed.D.

Action J. Hickory

Assistant State Superintendent

Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services

Attachments

Dr. Carey Wright, State Superintendent of Schools c: Dr. Deann Collins, Deputy State Superintendent Windy Phillips, Supervisor of Special Education MSDE DEI/SES Branch/Section Chiefs





Worcester County

Annual Data on Part B State Performance Plan (SPP) Priority Indicators for the Period July 1, 2022 – June 30, 2023 (FFY 2022)

	SPP/APR Indicators		FFY:	2022 (SFY 20	023)	Action	Previous Resu	
	Results Indicator Compliance	Indicator	State Target	Local Results	Target Met	Required	FFY 2021	FFY 2020
1	Students with IEPs graduating with a Data (lag data indicator) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A		74.35%	79.37%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	82.76%	75.00
2	Students with IEPs dropping out (ES on lag data for 2019-2020) COMAR 13A.08.01.07			9.52%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	3.45%	3.85%
3A	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 4th Grade	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
	COMIAN 15A.00.11.05	Participation – Reading – 8th Grade	95.00%	98.28%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
		Participation – Reading – High School	95.00%	94.74%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	95.74%	-
		Participation – Math – 4th Grade	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
		Participation – Math – 8th Grade	95.00%	98.31%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
		Participation – Math – High School	95.00%	97.30%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	97.78%	-
3B	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	11.00%	34.78%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	19.05%	9.09
	COMINIC TON. U.S. 11. U.S	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	9.00%	23.08%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	14.29%	7.50
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – High School	17.50%	2.78%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.86%	23.91
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 4th Grade	14.00%	34.78%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	11.90%	11.36
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 8th Grade	2.50%	11.32%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	7.14%	4.76
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – High School	10.50%	2.78%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.94%	24.00

3C	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against alternate academic achievement standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	42.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	0.00%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	52.50%	20.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – High School	55.50%	-	NA		10.00%	83.33%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 4th Grade	27.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	0.00%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 8th Grade	35.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – High School	55.50%	-	NA		30.00%	66.67%
3D	Gap in Proficiency Rates COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 4th Grade	16.50%	35.65%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	43.48%	19.55%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade	23.50%	46.61%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	54.81%	27.67%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School	47.50%	47.61%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	46.19%	54.29%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade	13.50%	22.17%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	23.84%	19.74%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	6.21%	40.23%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	39.70%	20.07%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School	34.00%	32.34%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	30.33%	40.25%
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): D COMAR 13A.08.03.03	isabled vs. nondisabled	2.00	-	NA		0.00	-
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≥ 8 LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	30% of the day (MD SSIS	71.25%	86.54%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	84.57%	85.67%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≤ 4 LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	40% of the day (MD SSIS	11.50%	4.38%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	3.86%	2.77%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is se residential facilities and home and ho COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.0	spital facilities	6.00%	4.23%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	3.71%	4.47%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services in r the majority of the day COMAR 13A.05.01.10	egular early childhood setting	63.00%	85.71%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	79.76%	77.22%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate Sc COMAR 13A.05.01.10	hool or Class	19.00%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.19%	1.27%
6C	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home		0.32%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00%	1.27%

7 A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Social Emotional - Exits with substantial growth	71.00%	84.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	73.33%
	COMPART TO A TO SO THE	SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations	54.00%	44.83%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	52.17%	47.06%
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth	73.50%	96.15%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	95.00%	92.86%
	GOMPHY 107.110.01.00	SS2– Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations	52.00%	58.62%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	56.52%	64.71%
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs. COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits with substantial growth	72.50%	84.62%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	83.33%	87.50%
		SS2 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits within age expectations	60.50%	51.72%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	52.17%	52.94%
8A	Parent Survey - Preschool Age		85.50%	92.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	93.00%	85.00%
8A Response Rate	Parent Survey- Preschool Response	Rate	18.00%	34.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	41.00%	52.00%
8B	Parent Survey - School Age		73.00%	90.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	90.00%	86.00%
8B Response Rate	Parent Survey - School Age Respons	e Rate	9.00%	14.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	13.00%	17.00%
14	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07	Higher Education	25.50%	26.98%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	24.14%	-
	COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	Higher Education or Employed	58.00%	71.43%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	74.14%	48.98%
		Higher Ed, Training, or Employed	58.00%	79.37%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	74.14%	48.98%
4B	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Discrepancy - Black/African American	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
	COMAR 13Á.08.01.21	Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - Two or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - White	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
9	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification.	Disproportionate Representation - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.63	0.63
		Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American	2.00	1.36	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.34	1.33
		Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	0.00

Disproportionate 2.00 0.83 Target met. No 0.80 0.83 Yes Representation - White further action is required. Disproportionate 2.00 1.23 Yes Target met. No 1.16 1.11 Representation - 2 or more further action is required. races Disproportionate 0.87 Target met. No 0.95 2.00 Yes 1.00 Representation further action is Hispanic/Latino required. Disproportionate Representation -Target met. No further action 0.00 2 00 0.00 Yes 0.00 Intellectual Disability required. American Indian/Alaska Native Disproportionate 2.00 0.00 Yes Target met. No 0.00 0.00 Representation further action Intellectual Disability required. Asian Disproportionate 2.00 0.00 Target met. No 3.42 3.77 Yes Representation further action Intellectual Disability required. Black/African American Disproportionate 2.00 0.00 Yes Target met. No 0.00 0.00 Representation further action Intellectual Disability required. Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Disproportionate 2.00 0.55 Yes Target met. No 0.40 0.00 Representation -Intellectual Disability further action required. White Disproportionate 2.00 0.00 Yes Target met. No 0.00 0.00 Representation further action Intellectual Disability - 2 or required. more races 2.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 Disproportionate Yes Target met. No Representation further action Intellectual Disability required. Hispanic/Latino Disproportionate 2.00 0.00 Target met. No 0.00 0.00 Yes Representation - Specific further action Learning Disability required. American Indian/Alaska Native Disproportionate 2.00 0.00 Yes Target met. No 0.00 0.00 Representation - Specific further action Learning Disability - Asian required. Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability -Black/African American 2.00 1.70 Yes Target met. No 1.86 1.76 further action required. Disproportionate 2.00 0.00 Target met. No 0.00 0.00 Yes Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Native further action required. Hawaiian/Pacific Islander 2.00 0.73 Target met. No 0.60 0.67 Disproportionate Yes Representation - Specific further action Learning Disability - White required. Disproportionate Representation - Specific 1.31 2.00 1.07 Target met. No 1.11 Yes further action Learning Disability - 2 or required. more races Disproportionate 2.00 0.97 Yes Target met. No 1.04 1.07 Representation - Specific further action Learning Disability required. Hispanic/Latino Disproportionate 2.00 0.00 Yes Target met. No 0.00 0.00 Representation - Emotional further action Disturbance - American required. Indian/Alaska Native

10

Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification.

COMAR 13A.08.01.21

Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Black/African American	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	4.05	3.04
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - White	2.00	0.22	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.48	0.66
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	0.31	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.32	0.60
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - White	2.00	2.30	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.99	1.80
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	0.88	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.56	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.74	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.33	1.04
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	2.15	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.82	1.45
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - White	2.00	0.65	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.65	0.74

		Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	0.99	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.11	1.21
		Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.68	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.03	0.80
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American	2.00	1.08	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.01	0.77
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander		0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White	2.00	0.77	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.83	1.01
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races	2.00	1.64	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.56	1.49
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	1.07	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.90	1.02
11	Percentage of students with written pa who were evaluated within 60 calenda COMAR 13A.05.01.06		100.00%	99.52%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	100.00%	98.94%
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthda COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COM 13A.13.01.09	y.	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and abo secondary transition requirements. COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Correction of Noncompliance	Correction of Noncompliance MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Timely and Valid Data	Timely and Valid Data MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Complaints Filed	Number of Complaints Filed MSDE/DEISES		0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	1.00
Number of Complaints Identified with Violations	Number of Complaints Identified with MSDE/DEISES	Violations	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Number of Due Process Hearings Filed	Number of Due Process Hearings Filed MSDE		0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations	Number of Due Process Hearings Ide MSDE	ntified with Violations	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified	Fiscal Accountability: Number of Find MSDE	ings Identified	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00

Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading MSDE	1.00%	0.75%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.99%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math MSDE	1.00%	0.74%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.99%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science MSDE	1.00%	0.53%	Yes	Target Met No further action is required.	1.14%	-
Number of SWD Restraints	Number of SWD Restraints MSDE	0.00	6.00	No	No further action is required.	75.00	-
Number of SWD Seclusions	Number of SWD Seclusions MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	67.00	-
Significant Disproportionality	Number of Categories Identified for Significant Disproportionality 34 C.F.R. § 300.646	0.00	1.00	No	A Comprehensive Coordinated Early Intervening Services (CCEIS) Plan is required.	1.00	-



Ms. Kimberly Pogue Superintendent Juvenile Services Education Program (JSEP) 217 E. Redwood Street, 22nd floor Baltimore, MD 21202

Dear Ms. Pogue:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services (DEI/SES) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]. The MSDE, DEI/SES uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each Local Education Agency (LEA) in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2022 determinations, Juvenile Services Education Program (JSEP) has achieved the determination status of **Meets Requirements**.

Attached please find supporting documents:

- FFY 2022 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a local determination status on page two – please note that fiscal and complaints/due process hearing data continues to be used
- FFY 2022 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a graduated results-driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year);
- FFY 2022 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21); and
- DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision and Support (provides the framework used by the DEI/SES to guide the level of monitoring and corresponding level of performance support provided to LEAs).

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the *DEI/SES Strategic Plan: Moving Maryland Forward* provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), Juvenile Services Education Program (JSEP) has been assigned the **Universal** tier for the delivery of the DEI/SES technical assistance model for results. Please see the *DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision* for more information.

Ms. Kimberly Pogue June 18, 2024 Page 2

> Each LEA is strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) and Family Support Center to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DEI/SES will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to excellence, equity, and efficiency. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of Juvenile Services Education Program (JSEP) to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Antoine Hickman, Ed.D.

Actor J. Hickory

Assistant State Superintendent

Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services

Attachments

c: Dr. Carey Wright, State Superintendent of Schools Dr. Deann Collins, Deputy State Superintendent Shelby Webb, Special Education Coordinator MSDE DEI/SES Branch/Section Chiefs



Carey M. Wright, Ed.D. State Superintendent of Schools

Juvenile Services Education Program (JSEP) Annual Data on Part B State Performance Plan (SPP) Priority Indicators for the Period July 1, 2022 – June 30, 2023 (FFY 2022)

		Part B Annual SPP Performan	ce Report					
_	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator	ndicator	FFY 2	2022 (SFY 20	023)	Action Required	Previou	s Results
•	Nesults indicator	indicator	State Target	Local Results	Target Met	Required	FFY 2021	FFY 2020
1	Students with IEPs graduating with a r Data (lag data indicator) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.0		74.35%	-	NA		-	-
2	Students with IEPs dropping out (ESS on lag data for 2019-2020) COMAR 13A.08.01.07	A Option 2 leaver rate based	13.00%	-	NA		-	-
3A	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA.	Participation – Reading – 4th Grade	95.00%	-	NA		-	-
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 8th Grade	95.00%	-	NA		-	-
		Participation – Reading – High School	95.00%	-	NA		-	-
		Participation – Math – 4th Grade	95.00%	-	NA		-	-
		Participation – Math – 8th Grade	95.00%	-	NA		-	-
		Participation – Math – High School	95.00%	-	NA		-	-
3B	IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards.	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	11.00%	-	NA		-	-
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	9.00%	-	NA		-	-
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – High School	17.50%	-	NA		-	-
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 4th Grade	14.00%	-	NA		-	-
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 8th Grade	2.50%	-	NA		-	-
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – High School	10.50%	-	NA		-	-
3C	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against alternate academic achievement standards.	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	42.50%	-	NA		-	-
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	52.50%	-	NA		-	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – High School	55.50%	-	NA		-	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 4th Grade	27.50%	-	NA		-	-

		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 8th Grade	35.50%	-	NA		-	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – High School	55.50%	-	NA		-	-
3D	Gap in Proficiency Rates COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 4th Grade	16.50%	-	NA		-	-
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade	23.50%	-	NA		-	-
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School	47.50%	-	NA		-	-
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade	13.50%	-	NA		-	-
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	6.21%	-	NA		-	-
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School	34.00%	-	NA		-	-
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled COMAR 13A.08.03.03		2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	-
5 A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≥ 80% of the day (MD SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10		71.25%	-	NA		-	-
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≤ 40% of the day (MD SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10		11.50%	-	NA		-	-
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is sep residential facilities and home and hos COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.0	pital facilities	6.00%	-	NA		-	-
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services in rethe majority of the day COMAR 13A.05.01.10	gular early childhood setting	63.00%	-	NA		-	-
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate Sch COMAR 13A.05.01.10	ool or Class	19.00%	-	NA		-	-
6C	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home		0.32%	-	NA		-	-
7 A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills	SS1 – Social Emotional - Exits with substantial growth	71.00%	-	NA		-	-
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations	54.00%	-	NA		-	-
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth	73.50%	-	NA		-	-
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS2– Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations	52.00%	-	NA		-	-
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs. COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits with substantial growth	72.50%	-	NA		-	-
		SS2 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits within age expectations	60.50%	-	NA		-	-
8A	Parent Survey - Preschool Age		85.50%	-	NA		-	-
8A Response Rate	Parent Survey- Preschool Response Rate		18.00%	-	NA		-	-
8B	Parent Survey - School Age		73.00%	67.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	80.00%	73.00%

8B Response Rate	Parent Survey - School Age Response	e Rate	9.00%	55.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	54.00%	33.00%
14	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in	Higher Education	25.50%	-	NA		-	-
	effect at the time they left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	Higher Education or Employed	58.00%	-	NA		-	-
		Higher Ed, Training, or Employed	58.00%	-	NA		-	-
4B	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by	Discrepancy - Black/African American	2.00	-	NA		-	-
	race/ethnicity COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Discrepancy - Two or more races	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Discrepancy - White	2.00	-	NA		-	-
9	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification.	Disproportionate Representation - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	-	NA		-	-
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Asian	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - White	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	-	NA		-	-
10	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Asian	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Black/African American	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - White	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Asian	2.00	-	NA		-	-

Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Black/African American	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - White	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Asian	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Black/African American	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - White	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - 2 or more races	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Asian	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - White	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	-	NA	-	-

		Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Asian	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - White	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	-	NA		-	-
11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days. COMAR 13A.05.01.06		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday. COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09		100.00%	-	NA		-	-
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements. COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Correction of Noncompliance	Correction of Noncompliance MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%

Timely and Valid Data	Timely and Valid Data MSDE	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Number of Complaints Filed	Number of Complaints Filed MSDE/DEISES		0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	4.00
Number of Complaints Identified with Violations	Number of Complaints Identified with Violations MSDE/DEISES		0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	1.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Filed	Number of Due Process Hearings Filed MSDE		0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations	Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations MSDE		0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified	Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified MSDE	0.00	-	NA		0.00	0.00
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading MSDE	1.00%	-	NA		-	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math MSDE	1.00%	-	NA		-	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science MSDE	1.00%	-	NA		-	-
Number of SWD Restraints	Number of SWD Restraints MSDE	0.00	-	NA		0.00	-
Number of SWD Seclusions	Number of SWD Seclusions MSDE	0.00	-	NA		0.00	-
Significant Disproportionality	Number of Categories Identified for Significant Disproportionality 34 C.F.R. § 300.646	0.00	-	NA		0.00	-



Dr. Sonja B. Santelises Chief Executive Officer Baltimore City Public Schools 200 East North Avenue Baltimore, MD 21202

Dear Dr. Santelises:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services (DEI/SES) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]. The MSDE, DEI/SES uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each Local Education Agency (LEA) in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2022 determinations, Baltimore City has achieved the determination status of **Needs Assistance - Year 1**.

Attached please find supporting documents:

- FFY 2022 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a local determination status on page two – please note that fiscal and complaints/due process hearing data continues to be used
- FFY 2022 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a graduated results-driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year);
- FFY 2022 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21); and
- DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision and Support (provides the framework used by the DEI/SES to guide the level of monitoring and corresponding level of performance support provided to LEAs).

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the *DEI/SES Strategic Plan: Moving Maryland Forward* provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), Baltimore City has been assigned the **Focused** tier for the delivery of the DEI/SES technical assistance model for results. Please see the *DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision* for more information.

Dr. Sonja Santelises June 18, 2024 Page 2

> Each LEA is strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) and Family Support Center to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DEI/SES will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to excellence, equity, and efficiency. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of Baltimore City to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Antoine Hickman, Ed.D.

Actor J. Hickory

Assistant State Superintendent

Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services

Attachments

Dr. Carey Wright, State Superintendent of Schools c: Dr. Deann Collins, Deputy State Superintendent Denise Mabry, Director of Compliance & Due Process Erika Dorsey-Ball, Director of Operations & Administrative Services MSDE DEI/SES Branch/Section Chiefs



Carey M. Wright, Ed.D. State Superintendent of Schools

Baltimore City

		Part B Annual SF	P Performa	nce Report				
	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance	e Indicator	FFY 2	2022 (SFY 2	023)	Action Required	Previous	s Results
_			State Target	Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2021	FFY 2020
1	Students with IEPs graduating Annual 618 Data (lag data indic COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR	ator)	74.35%	52.02%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	52.58%	54.24
2	Students with IEPs dropping our rate based on lag data for 2019 COMAR 13A.08.01.07		13.00%	37.41%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	32.17%	4.709
3A	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the	Participation – Reading – 4th Grade	95.00%	98.30%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	97.08%	-
	LEA. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 8th Grade	95.00%	95.30%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	92.33%	-
		Participation – Reading – High School	95.00%	98.05%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	86.71%	-
		Participation – Math – 4th Grade	95.00%	98.42%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	96.32%	-
		Participation – Math – 8th Grade	95.00%	94.33%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	90.86%	-
		Participation – Math – High School	95.00%	97.99%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	97.31%	-
3B	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards.	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	11.00%	3.43%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	3.05%	1.73
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	9.00%	4.29%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.05%	0.65
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – High School	17.50%	5.66%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	5.39%	3.27
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 4th Grade	14.00%	1.85%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.24%	1.62
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 8th Grade	2.50%	0.85%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.70%	0.00
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – High School	10.50%	0.70%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.02%	1.98
3C	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against alternate academic achievement	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - Reading - 4th Grade	42.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	4.55%	30.95
	standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - Reading - 8th Grade	52.50%	12.96%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	9.68%	0.00
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – High School	55.50%	39.34%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	10.67%	58.49

		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 4th Grade	27.50%	19.61%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	15.91%	16.22%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 8th Grade	35.50%	7.41%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	12.70%	0.00%
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – High School	55.50%	48.39%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	14.47%	66.04%
3D	Gap in Proficiency Rates COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 4th Grade	16.50%	19.58%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	17.88%	7.74%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade	23.50%	24.25%	No	Target not met. Improvement Plan is required.	19.63%	9.02%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School	47.50%	34.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	36.37%	31.81%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade	13.50%	9.14%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	6.68%	4.93%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	6.21%	5.99%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	5.00%	3.45%
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School	34.00%	12.47%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	10.65%	14.66%
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days nondisabled COMAR 13A.08.03.03	;): Disabled vs.	2.00	0.72	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.72	-
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≥ 80% of the day (MD	71.25%	62.21%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	59.94%	57.51%
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	is ≤ 40% of the day (MD	11.50%	18.69%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	21.06%	22.50%
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE day and residential facilities and facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR	home and hospital	6.00%	5.24%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	5.28%	5.91%
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services childhood setting the majority of COMAR 13A.05.01.10		63.00%	73.01%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	68.27%	55.33%
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separat COMAR 13A.05.01.10	e School or Class	19.00%	14.10%	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	14.64%	11.83%
6C	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home		0.32%	0.91%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.72%	0.63%
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Social Emotional - Exits with substantial growth	71.00%	71.12%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	68.16%	64.23%
	GONIAI 10A.10.01.00	SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations	54.00%	46.10%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	42.71%	36.96%
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills	SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth	73.50%	73.58%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	68.40%	63.14%
	COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS2– Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations	52.00%	45.78%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	41.67%	37.95%
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet	SS1 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits with substantial growth	72.50%	73.77%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	70.98%	64.26%
	their needs. COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS2 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits within age expectations	60.50%	48.70%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	46.18%	41.91%

8 A	Parent Survey - Preschool Age		85.50%	68.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	78.00%	71.00%
8A Response Rate	Parent Survey- Preschool Respo	onse Rate	18.00%	4.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	8.00%	9.00%
8B	Parent Survey - School Age		73.00%	62.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	64.00%	64.00%
8B Response Rate	Parent Survey - School Age Res	sponse Rate	9.00%	2.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	3.00%	9.00%
14	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were:	Higher Education	25.50%	11.99%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	11.03%	12.35%
	COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a) (ii)	Higher Education or Employed	58.00%	56.61%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	54.36%	47.78%
		Higher Ed, Training, or Employed	58.00%	57.75%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	56.15%	47.78%
4B	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity	Discrepancy - Black/African American	2.00	2.58	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	-	-
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - Two or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - White	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
9	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate	Disproportionate Representation - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.75	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.69	-
	identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Asian	2.00	0.36	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.30	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American	2.00	1.77	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.78	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.78	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.90	-
		Disproportionate Representation - White	2.00	0.93	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.98	-
		Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races	2.00	0.69	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.55	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.48	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.45	-
10	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
	33WAX 13A.00.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.37	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Black/African American	2.00	2.58	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.37	2.28

Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - White	2.00	0.47	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.57	0.61
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.36	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.29	0.39
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.43	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.43	0.43
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Black/African American	2.00	1.66	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.63	1.61
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.98	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - White	2.00	0.86	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.94	0.95
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.59	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.46	0.56
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.59	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.56	0.55
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Black/African American	2.00	2.36	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.59	2.56
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - White	2.00	1.09	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.01	1.01

Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - 2 or more races	2.00	0.54	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.48	0.38
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.23	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.19	0.17
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	0.97	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.04	1.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - White	2.00	1.60	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.57	1.53
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	1.47	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.13	1.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.79	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.73	0.77
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	1.51	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.25	0.94
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.21	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	2.82	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.70	2.46
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - White	2.00	0.77	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.82	0.90
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	0.58	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.45	0.41
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.23	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.23	0.23

		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian	2.00	1.35	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.23	0.93
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American	2.00	1.59	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.43	1.32
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White	2.00	1.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.17	1.27
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races	2.00	0.63	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.75	0.86
		Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.51	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.51	0.53
11	Percentage of students with writt evaluate who were evaluated wit COMAR 13A.05.01.06		100.00%	91.89%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	93.58%	93.26%
12	Percentage of eligible children e- have an IEP in effect by the chilc COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.13.01.09	l's 3rd birthday.	100.00%	97.22%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	96.30%	84.62%
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and secondary transition requirement COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) CO(a)(ii)	ts.	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Correction of Noncompliance	Correction of Noncompliance MSDE		100.00%	0.00%	No	Untimely correction of Indicator 11. An Improvement Plan is required.	100.00%	100.00%
Timely and Valid Data	Timely and Valid Data MSDE		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	0.00%
Number of Complaints Filed	Number of Complaints Filed MSDE/DEISES		0.00	19.00	No	No further action is required.	16.00	9.00
Number of Complaints Identified with Violations	Number of Complaints Identified MSDE/DEISES	with Violations	0.00	15.00	No	Please work with Dispute Resolution staff to ensure any identified noncompliance is corrected as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from notification of findings.	11.00	2.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Filed	Number of Due Process Hearing MSDE	s Filed	0.00	18.00	No	No further action is required.	10.00	8.00
Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations	Number of Due Process Hearing MSDE	s Identified with Violations	0.00	1.00	No	Please work with Dispute Resolution staff to ensure any identified noncompliance is corrected as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from notification of findings.	0.00	0.00
Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified	Fiscal Accountability: Number of MSDE	Findings Identified	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	0.00
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading	Percentage of Students Taking t Reading MSDE	he Alternate Assessment:	1.00%	1.03%	No	Target not met. An Improvement plan is required.	1.00%	-

Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math MSDE	1.00%	1.03%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.10%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science MSDE	1.00%	1.30%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	1.60%	-
Number of SWD Restraints	Number of SWD Restraints MSDE	0.00	5.00	No	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Number of SWD Seclusions	Number of SWD Seclusions MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Significant Disproportionality	Number of Categories Identified for Significant Disproportionality 34 C.F.R. § 300.646	0.00	5.00	No	A Comprehensive Coordinated Early Intervening Services (CCEIS) Plan is required.	4.00	-



June 18, 2024

Mr. Kirk Sykes Head of School SEED School 200 Font Hill Avenue Baltimore, Maryland 21223

Dear Mr. Skyes:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services (DEI/SES) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]. The MSDE, DEI/SES uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each Local Education Agency (LEA) in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2022 determinations, the SEED School has achieved the determination status of **Meets Requirements**.

Attached please find supporting documents:

- FFY 2022 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a local determination status on page two please note that fiscal and complaints/due process hearing data continues to be used;
- FFY 2022 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a graduated results-driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year);
- FFY 2022 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21); and
- DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision and Support (provides the framework used by the DEI/SES to guide the level of monitoring and corresponding level of performance support provided to LEAs).

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the *DEI/SES Strategic Plan: Moving Maryland Forward* provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), the **SEED School** has been assigned the **Universal** tier for the delivery of the DEI/SES technical assistance model for results. Please see the *DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision* for more information.

Mr. Kirk Sykes June 18, 2024 Page 2

> Each LEA is strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) and Family Support Center to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DEI/SES will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to excellence, equity, and efficiency. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of the SEED School to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Antoine Hickman, Ed.D.

Siton J. Hickory

Assistant State Superintendent

Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services

Attachments

c: Dr. Carey Wright, State Superintendent of Schools

Dr. Deann Collins, Deputy State Superintendent

Nicole Dufour, Special Education Coach

Dr. Tashawna Miller, Director of Academics

MSDE DEI/SES Branch/Section Chiefs



SEED

		Part B Annual SPP Performanc	e Report					
	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance I	ndicator	FFY 2	2022 (SFY 20	023)	Action Required	Previo Resu	
			State Target	Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2021	FF) 202
1	Students with IEPs graduating with a re Data (lag data indicator) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05		74.35%	88.89%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
2	Students with IEPs dropping out (ESSA lag data for 2019-2020) COMAR 13A.08.01.07	A Option 2 leaver rate based on	13.00%	11.11%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00%	-
3A	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the	Participation – Reading – 4th Grade	95.00%	-	NA		-	-
	LEA. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 8th Grade	95.00%	-	NA		80.00%	-
		Participation – Reading – High School	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
		Participation – Math – 4th Grade	95.00%	-	NA		-	-
		Participation – Math – 8th Grade	95.00%	-	NA		80.00%	-
		Participation – Math – High School	95.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	
3B	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	11.00%	-	NA		-	
	COMAN ISA.05.11.05	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	9.00%	-	NA		0.00%	
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – High School	17.50%	33.33%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	33.33%	
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 4th Grade	14.00%	-	NA		-	
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 8th Grade	2.50%	-	NA		0.00%	
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – High School	10.50%	0.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	0.00%	-
3C	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against alternate academic achievement standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	42.50%	-	NA		-	
	CONIAN TOA.UU.TT.UU	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	52.50%	-	NA		-	

Alternate Academic Achievement Standards — S. 5.50% — NA — — NA — — Achievement Standards — Math — 4th Grade — Achievement Standards — Math — 4th Grade — S. 5.50% — NA — — NA — — — — — — — NA — — — — —									
Achievement Standards - Math - 4th Grade			Achievement Standards –	55.50%	-	NA		-	-
Achievement Standards - Math - 8th Grade Achievement Standards - Math - 8th Grade Achievement Standards - Math - High School Standards - Mat			Achievement Standards – Reading – High School Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 4th Grade Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 8th Grade Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 8th Grade Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – High School Achievement Standards – Math – High School Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 4th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School 3.03.03 3.03 3.03 3.03 3.03 3.03 3.03 3.03 3.03 3.04 3.05 3.04 3.05 3.05 3.05 3.05 3.05 3.07 3.07 3.07 3.07 3.07 3.08 3.08 3.09 3.09 3.09 3.00 3		-	NA		-	-
Achievement Standards - Math - High School Sap in Proficiency Rates COMAR 13A.05.11.05 Gap in Proficiency Rates - Reading - 4th Grade 23.50% - NA 9.30% 9.30% Gap in Proficiency Rates - Reading - 8th Grade 47.50% 12.50% Yes Target met. No further action is required. Gap in Proficiency Rates - Reading - High School 47.50% 12.50% Yes Target met. No further action is required. Gap in Proficiency Rates - Math - 4th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates - Math - 8th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates - Math - Math Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates - Math - Rate Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates - Math - Rate Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates - Math - Rate Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates - Math - Rate Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates - Math - Rate Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates - Math - Rate Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates - Math - Rate Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates - Math - Rate Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates - Math - Rate Grade Gap in Profi			Achievement Standards –	35.50%	-	NA		-	-
Reading - 4th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates - Reading - 8th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates - Reading - 8th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates - Reading - 8th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates - Reading - 8th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates - Reading - 8th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates - Math - 9th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates - Ma			Achievement Standards –	55.50%	-	NA		-	-
Reading – 8th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School 47.50% 12.50% Yes Target met. No further action is required.	3D			16.50%	-	NA		-	-
Reading – High School further action is required.				23.50%	-	NA		9.30%	-
Math − 4th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates − Math − 8th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates − Math − 8th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates − Math − 8th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates − Math − High School 34.00% 3.85% Yes Target met. No further action is required. Gap in Proficiency Rates − Math − High School 2.00 − NA 0.00				47.50%	12.50%	Yes	further action is	13.18%	-
Math − 8th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates − Math − High School 34.00% 3.85% Yes Target met. No further action is required. 1.200			Reading – 4th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School Gap in Proficiency Rates – High School Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School Gap in Proficiency Rates – High School Gap in Proficiency Rates – High School Gap in Proficiency Rate		-	NA		-	-
4A Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disabled vs. nondisabled COMAR 13A.08.03.03 2.00 - NA 0.00 5A Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≥ 80% of the day (MD SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10 71.25% 100.00% Yes Target met. No further action is required. 5B Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≤ 40% of the day (MD SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10 11.50% 0.00% Yes Target met. No further action is required. 5C Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is separate public/private day and residential facilities and home and hospital facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16 6.00% 0.00% Yes Target met. No further action is required. 6A Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services in regular early childhood setting the majority of the day COMAR 13A.05.01.10 63.00% - NA - 6B Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class COMAR 13A.05.01.10 19.00% - NA - 6C Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home 0.32% - NA -				6.21%	-	NA		-	-
SA Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≥ 80% of the day (MD SSIS LRE A) 71.25% 100.00% Yes Target met. No further action is required. 5B Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≤ 40% of the day (MD SSIS LRE C) 11.50% 0.00% Yes Target met. No further action is required. 5C Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is separate public/private day and residential facilities and home and hospital facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16 6.00% 0.00% Yes Target met. No further action is required. 6A Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services in regular early childhood setting the majority of the day COMAR 13A.05.01.10 63.00% - NA - 6B Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class COMAR 13A.05.01.10 19.00% - NA - 6C Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home 0.32% - NA -				34.00%	3.85%	Yes	further action is	-	-
Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≤ 40% of the day (MD SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10 Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≤ 40% of the day (MD SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10 Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is separate public/private day and residential facilities and home and hospital facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16 Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services in regular early childhood setting the majority of the day COMAR 13A.05.01.10 Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class COMAR 13A.05.01.10 Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class COMAR 13A.05.01.10 Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home 0.32% NA - NA - COMAR 13A.05.01.10	4A		abled vs. nondisabled	2.00	-	NA		0.00	-
COMAR 13A.05.01.10 Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is separate public/private day and residential facilities and home and hospital facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16 6A Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services in regular early childhood setting the majority of the day COMAR 13A.05.01.10 6B Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class COMAR 13A.05.01.10 6C Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home O.32% NA GOMAR 13A.05.01.10	5A		% of the day (MD SSIS LRE A)	71.25%	100.00%	Yes	further action is	100.00%	-
residential facilities and home and hospital facilities COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.01.16 Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services in regular early childhood setting the majority of the day COMAR 13A.05.01.10 Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class COMAR 13A.05.01.10 Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class COMAR 13A.05.01.10 Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home 0.32% NA - NA -	5B		% of the day (MD SSIS LRE C)	11.50%	0.00%	Yes	further action is	0.00%	-
majority of the day COMAR 13A.05.01.10 19.00% - NA - 6B Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School or Class COMAR 13A.05.01.10 19.00% - NA - 6C Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home 0.32% - NA -	5C	residential facilities and home and hosp	ital facilities	6.00%	0.00%	Yes	further action is	0.00%	-
COMAR 13A.05.01.10 6C Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home 0.32% - NA -	6A	majority of the day	ular early childhood setting the	63.00%	-	NA		-	-
	6B		ool or Class	19.00%	-	NA		-	-
7A Students aged 3-5 demonstrate SS1 – Social Emotional - 71.00% - NA -	6C	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home		0.32%	-	NA		-	-
improved positive social-emotional Exits with substantial growth skills	7A	improved positive social-emotional		71.00%	-	NA		-	-
COMAR 13A.13.01.09 SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations 54.00% - NA -				54.00%	-	NA		-	-
7B Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth	7B	acquisition and use of knowledge and		73.50%	-	NA		-	-
COMAR 13A.13.01.09 SS2- Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations 52.00% - NA -				52.00%	-	NA		-	-
7C Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs. COMAR 13A.13.01.09 SS1 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits with substantial growth	7C	of appropriate behavior to meet their needs.	Needs - Exits with	72.50%	-	NA		-	-
SS2 – Behavior to Meet 60.50% - NA - Needs - Exits within age expectations			Needs - Exits within age	60.50%	-	NA		-	-
8A Parent Survey - Preschool Age 85.50% - NA -	8A	Parent Survey - Preschool Age		85.50%	-	NA		-	-
8A Response Rate Parent Survey- Preschool Response Rate 18.00% - NA -	8A Response Rate	Parent Survey- Preschool Response Ra	ate	18.00%	-	NA		-	-

8B	Parent Survey - School Age		73.00%	71.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	76.00%	-
8B Response Rate	Parent Survey - School Age Response	Rate	9.00%	80.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	100.00%	-
14	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect	Higher Education	25.50%	-	NA		-	-
	at the time they left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	Higher Education or Employed	58.00%	-	NA		-	-
		Higher Ed, Training, or Employed	58.00%	-	NA		-	-
4В	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Discrepancy - Black/African American	2.00	2.58	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - Two or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
		Discrepancy - White	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	-	-
9	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification.	Disproportionate Representation - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	-
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American	2.00	0.85	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	1.39	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	-
		Disproportionate Representation - White	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	-
		Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	-
10	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Black/African American	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - White	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00

Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Black/African American	2.00	0.93	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	1.95	2.16
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - White	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Black/African American	2.00	3.83	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	6.86	3.89
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - White	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00

Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - White	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	2.69	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	2.79	2.91
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - White	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00
Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00	0.00

11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days. COMAR 13A.05.01.06	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00%	-
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday. COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100.00%	-	NA		100.00%	-
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements. COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	100.00%	40.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	90.00%	-
Correction of Noncompliance	Correction of Noncompliance MSDE	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
Timely and Valid Data	Timely and Valid Data MSDE	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
Number of Complaints Filed	Number of Complaints Filed MSDE/DEISES	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Number of Complaints Identified with Violations	Number of Complaints Identified with Violations MSDE/DEISES	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Number of Due Process Hearings Filed	Number of Due Process Hearings Filed MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations	Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified	Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading MSDE	1.00%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math MSDE	1.00%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00%	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science MSDE	1.00%	0.00%	Yes	Target Met No further action is required.	0.00%	-
Number of SWD Restraints	Number of SWD Restraints MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Number of SWD Seclusions	Number of SWD Seclusions MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Significant Disproportionality	Number of Categories Identified for Significant Disproportionality 34 C.F.R. § 300.646	0.00	3.00	No	A Comprehensive Coordinated Early Intervening Services (CCEIS) Plan is required.	2.00	-



June 18, 2024

Mr. W. Robert Hair Superintendent MD School for the Blind 3501 Taylor Avenue Baltimore, MD 21236

Dear Mr. Hair:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services (DEI/SES) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]. The MSDE, DEI/SES uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each Local Education Agency (LEA) in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2022 determinations, MD School for the Blind has achieved the determination status of **Meets Requirements**.

Attached please find supporting documents:

- FFY 2022 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a local
 determination status on page two please note that fiscal and complaints/due process hearing data
 continues to be used;
- FFY 2022 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a graduated results-driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year);
- FFY 2022 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21); and
- DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision and Support (provides the framework used by the DEI/SES to guide the level of monitoring and corresponding level of performance support provided to LEAs).

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the *DEI/SES Strategic Plan: Moving Maryland Forward* provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), MD School for the Blind has been assigned the **Universal** tier for the delivery of the DEI/SES technical assistance model for results. Please see the *DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision* for more information.

Mr. W. Robert Hair June 18, 2024 Page 2

> Each LEA is strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) and Family Support Center to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DEI/SES will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

Thank you for your hard work and commitment to excellence, equity, and efficiency. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of MD School for the Blind to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Antoine Hickman, Ed.D.

Actor J. Hickory

Assistant State Superintendent

Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services

Attachments

c: Dr. Carey Wright, State Superintendent of Schools

Dr. Deann Collins, Deputy State Superintendent

Dr. Joshua Irzyk, Director of Education

MSDE DEI/SES Branch/Section Chiefs



Carey M. Wright, Ed.D. State Superintendent of Schools

MD School for the Blind

	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance I	ndicator	FFY:	2022 (SFY 2	023)	Action Required	Previ Resu	
			State Target	Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2021	FF 202
1	Students with IEPs graduating with a re- (lag data indicator) COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13A.05.		74.35%	-	NA		-	-
2	Students with IEPs dropping out (ESSA lag data for 2019-2020) COMAR 13A.08.01.07	Option 2 leaver rate based on	13.00%	-	NA		-	-
3A	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all grades in the LEA.	Participation – Reading – 4th Grade	95.00%	-	NA		-	-
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 8th Grade	95.00%	-	NA		-	
		Participation – Reading – High School	95.00%	-	NA		-	
		Participation – Math – 4th Grade	95.00%	-	NA		-	
		Participation – Math – 8th Grade	95.00%	-	NA		-	
		Participation – Math – High School	95.00%	-	NA		-	
3B	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	11.00%	-	NA		-	
	GOMPHA 1971.00.11.00	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	9.00%	-	NA		-	
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – High School	17.50%	-	NA		-	
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 4th Grade	14.00%	-	NA		-	
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 8th Grade	2.50%	-	NA		-	
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – High School	10.50%	-	NA		-	
3C	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against alternate academic achievement standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	42.50%	-	NA		-	
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	52.50%	-	NA		-	
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Reading – High School	55.50%	-	NA		-	

		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 4th Grade	27.50%	-	NA		-	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 8th Grade	35.50%	-	NA		-	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – High School	55.50%	-	NA		-	-
3D	Gap in Proficiency Rates COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 4th Grade	16.50%	-	NA		-	-
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade	23.50%	-	NA		-	-
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School	47.50%	-	NA		-	-
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade	13.50%	-	NA		-	-
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 8th Grade	6.21%	-	NA		-	-
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School	34.00%	-	NA		-	-
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days): Disa COMAR 13A.08.03.03	bled vs. nondisabled	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	-
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≥ 80% COMAR 13A.05.01.10	% of the day (MD SSIS LRE A)	71.25%	-	NA		-	-
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is ≤ 40% COMAR 13A.05.01.10	% of the day (MD SSIS LRE C)	11.50%	-	NA		-	-
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is separ residential facilities and home and hospi COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13A.05.	ital facilities	6.00%	-	NA		-	-
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services in regular majority of the day COMAR 13A.05.01.10	ular early childhood setting the	63.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	81.25%	-
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate School COMAR 13A.05.01.10	ol or Class	19.00%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action required.	0.00%	-
6C	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home		0.32%	0.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00%	-
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Social Emotional - Exits with substantial growth	71.00%	40.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	33.33%	-
		SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations	54.00%	20.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	14.29%	-
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth	73.50%	68.75%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	33.33%	-
		SS2– Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations	52.00%	55.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	28.57%	-
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs. COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits with substantial growth	72.50%	40.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	42.86%	-

	SS2 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits within age expectations	60.50%	20.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	14.29%	-
Parent Survey - Preschool Age		85.50%	91.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	80.00%	-
Parent Survey- Preschool Response Rat	te	18.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	92.00%	-
Parent Survey - School Age		73.00%	81.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	79.00%	-
Parent Survey - School Age Response F	Rate	9.00%	22.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	39.00%	-
Percent of youth who are no longer in	Higher Education	25.50%	-	NA		-	-
secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	Higher Education or Employed	58.00%	-	NA		-	-
	Higher Ed, Training, or Employed	58.00%	-	NA		-	-
Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by	Discrepancy - Black/African American	2.00	-	NA		-	-
race/ethnicity COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	-	NA		-	-
	Discrepancy - Two or more races	2.00	-	NA		-	-
	Discrepancy - White	2.00	-	NA		-	-
Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification	Disproportionate Representation - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	-	NA		-	-
COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Asian	2.00	-	NA		-	-
	Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American	2.00	-	NA		-	-
	Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	-	NA		-	-
	Disproportionate Representation - White	2.00	-	NA		-	-
	Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races	2.00	-	NA		-	-
	Disproportionate Representation - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	-	NA		-	-
Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	-	NA		-	-
	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Asian	2.00	-	NA		-	-
	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Black/African American	2.00	-	NA		-	-
	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	-	NA		-	-
	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - White	2.00	-	NA		-	-
	Parent Survey - Preschool Response Ra Parent Survey - School Age Response F Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii) Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity COMAR 13A.08.01.21 Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21 Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification.	Parent Survey - Preschool Age Parent Survey - School Age Parent Survey - School Age Response Rate Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.08.01.07 COMAR 13A.08.01.07 Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs by race/ethnicity COMAR 13A.08.01.21 Discrepancy - Two or more races Discrepancy - White Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21 Disproportionate Representation - Asian Disproportionate Representation - Asian Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Disproportionate Representation - White Disproportionate Representation - White Disproportionate Representation - Using proportionate Representation - White Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Black/African American - Intellectual Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disproportionate Repr	Parent Survey - Preschool Age 85.50%	Parent Survey - Preschool Age Parent Survey - Preschool Age Parent Survey - Preschool Response Rate 18.00% 100.00%	Parent Survey - Preschool Age Parent Survey - Preschool Response Rate 18.00% 100.00% Yes	Parent Survey - Preschool Age Response Rate Register Survey - Preschool Response Rate Register Survey - Preschool Response Rate Register Survey - School Age Regist	expectations expectations

Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Asian	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Black/African American	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - White	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Asian	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Black/African American	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - White	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - 2 or more races	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Asian	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	-	NA	-	-

Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Native Havaiiin/Pacific Islander							
Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - White	Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific		-	NA		-	-
Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - 2 or more races	Representation - Speech or Language Impairments -	2.00	-	NA		-	-
Représentation - Speech or Language Impairments - Hispanic/Latino Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native 2.00 - NA -	Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - 2 of		-	NA		-	-
Representation - Other Health Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	Representation - Speech or Language Impairments -		-	NA		-	-
Representation - Other Health Impairments - Asian Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Black/African American Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - White Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races Disproportionate Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska Native Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispainic/Latino Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispainic/Latino Possible Pacific Islander Tental consent to evaluate who S. 100.00%	Representation - Other Heal Impairments - American		-	NA		-	-
Representation - Other Health Impairments - Black/African American Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - White Representation - Other Health Impairments - White Representation - Other Health Impairments - Value Representation - Other Health Impairments - Value Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Hispanic/Latino Disproportionate Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska Native 2.00 - NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA NA -	Representation - Other Heal		-	NA		-	-
Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	Representation - Other Heal Impairments - Black/African	th	-	NA		-	-
Representation - Other Health Impairments - White	Representation - Other Heal Impairments - Native		-	NA		-	-
Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races Disproportionate Representation - Other Health Impairments - Hispanic/Latino Disproportionate Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska Native Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino Target met. No further action is	Representation - Other Heal		-	NA		-	-
Representation - Other Health Impairments - Hispanic/Latino Disproportionate Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska Native Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Unity Pacific Islander Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Islander Disproportionate Representatio	Representation - Other Heal Impairments - 2 or more		-	NA		-	-
Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska Native Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Asian Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 1 NA - Target met. No further action is	Representation - Other Heal	th	-	NA		-	-
Representation - Autism - Asian Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino 100.00% 100.00% 100.00% Yes Target met. No further action is	Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska	2.00	-	NA		-	-
Representation - Autism - Black/African American Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino 100.00% 100.00% Yes Target met. No further action is	Representation - Autism -	2.00	-	NA		-	-
Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 4 Lism - 2 or more races Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino Tental consent to evaluate who s. 100.00% 100.00% Yes Target met. No further action is	Representation - Autism -	2.00	-	NA		-	-
Representation - Autism - White Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino rental consent to evaluate who s.	Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific	2.00	-	NA		-	-
Representation - Autism - 2 or more races Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino 100.00% 100.00% Yes Target met. No further action is	Representation - Autism -	2.00	-	NA		-	-
Representation - Autism - Hispanic/Latino rental consent to evaluate who s. 100.00% 100.00% Yes Target met. No further action is	Representation - Autism - 2		-	NA		-	-
S. No further action is	Representation - Autism -	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		100.00%	100.00%	Yes	No further action is	75.00%	-

12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday. COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100.00%	-	NA		100.00%	-
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements. COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
Correction of Noncompliance	Correction of Noncompliance MSDE	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
Timely and Valid Data	Timely and Valid Data MSDE	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
Number of Complaints Filed	Number of Complaints Filed MSDE/DEISES	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Number of Complaints Identified with Violations	Number of Complaints Identified with Violations MSDE/DEISES	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Number of Due Process Hearings Filed	Number of Due Process Hearings Filed MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations	Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified	Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading MSDE	1.00%	-	NA		-	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math MSDE	1.00%	-	NA		-	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science MSDE	1.00%	-	NA		-	-
Number of SWD Restraints	Number of SWD Restraints MSDE	0.00	2.00	No	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Number of SWD Seclusions	Number of SWD Seclusions MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Significant Disproportionality	Number of Categories Identified for Significant Disproportionality 34 C.F.R. § 300.646	0.00	0.00	Yes	No action is required.	0.00	-



June 25, 2024

John A. Serrano Superintendent MD School for the Deaf 101 Clarke Place Frederick, MD 21705

Dear Mr. Serrano:

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE), Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services (DEI/SES) is required by the United States Department of Education (USDE), Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) to make determinations annually regarding the performance of students with disabilities for each local preschool and special education program using one of the following four determination categories: "Meets Requirements," "Needs Assistance," "Needs Intervention," or "Needs Substantial Intervention" [34 CFR §§300.600 and 300.604]. The MSDE, DEI/SES uses a results-based rubric for given indicators to evaluate the performance of each Local Education Agency (LEA) in meeting the State's identified targets. For Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) 2022 determinations, MD School for the Deaf has achieved the determination status of **Meets Requirements**.

Attached please find supporting documents:

- FFY 2022 Determination Overview (identifies the Part B Indicators used to assign a local determination status on page two – please note that fiscal and complaints/due process hearing data continues to be used;
- FFY 2022 Local Determination Scoring Criteria (provides a graduated results-driven accountability plan used to make a local determination by year);
- FFY 2022 Annual Report Card on State Performance Plan (SPP)/Annual Performance Reports (APR) Part B Indicators (provides a three-year local data analysis and required actions for students with disabilities ages three through 21); and
- DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision and Support (provides the framework used by the DEI/SES to guide the level of monitoring and corresponding level of performance support provided to LEAs).

To support Maryland's commitment to narrow the opportunity and achievement gaps for students with disabilities and their families, the implementation of the *DEI/SES Strategic Plan: Moving Maryland Forward* provides a statewide differentiated framework for general supervision and performance support. Based upon comprehensive data analysis (including the SPP/APR local determination status), MD School for the Deaf has been assigned the **Universal** tier for the delivery of the DEI/SES technical assistance model for results. Please see the *DEI/SES Differentiated Framework: Tiers of Supervision* for more information.

Each LEA is strongly encouraged to work closely with their local Special Education Citizens Advisory Committee (SECAC) and Family Support Center to analyze local data and infrastructure for the implementation of specially designed instruction. The analysis should guide the development of strategies for data-informed decision-making, increased parent partnerships, strategic collaboration, and the use of evidence-based practices with fidelity to improve the results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. As we continue this shift in focus to results-driven accountability, the MSDE, DEI/SES will provide State and local professional learning opportunities with coaching.

John A. Serrano June 25, 2024 Page 2

> Thank you for your hard work and commitment to excellence, equity, and efficiency. The MSDE is committed to supporting the efforts of MD School for the Deaf to improve results for children and youth with disabilities and their families. If you have any questions or wish to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call me at 410-767-0238.

Sincerely,

Dr. Antoine Hickman

Assistant State Superintendent

Stone J. Hickory

Division of Early Intervention and Special Education Services

Attachments

c: Dr. Carey Wright, State Superintendent of Schools Dr. Deann Collins, Deputy State Superintendent Charlene Ward-Marr, Director of Student Support Services Branch/Section Chiefs



MD School for the Deaf

		Part B Annual SPP I	Performanc	e Report				
	SPP/APR Indicators Results Indicator Compliance	e Indicator	FFY 2	2022 (SFY 2	023)	Action Required	Previ Resi	
			State Target	Local Results	Target Met		FFY 2021	FF 202
1	Students with IEPs graduating with Annual 618 Data (lag data indicate COMAR 13A.03.02.09 COMAR 13	or)	74.35%	-	NA		-	-
2	Students with IEPs dropping out (l based on lag data for 2019-2020) COMAR 13A.08.01.07	ESSA Option 2 leaver rate	13.00%	-	NA		-	
3A	State Assessment: Participation rates of IEP students in all	Participation – Reading – 4th Grade	95.00%	-	NA		-	
	grades in the LEA. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Participation – Reading – 8th Grade	95.00%	-	NA		-	
		Participation – Reading – High School	95.00%	-	NA		-	
		Participation – Math – 4th Grade	95.00%	-	NA		-	
		Participation – Math – 8th Grade	95.00%	-	NA		-	
		Participation – Math – High School	95.00%	-	NA		-	
3B	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level academic achievement standards.	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 4th Grade	11.00%	-	NA		-	
	COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – 8th Grade	9.00%	-	NA		-	
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Reading – High School	17.50%	-	NA		-	
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 4th Grade	14.00%	-	NA		-	
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – 8th Grade	2.50%	-	NA		-	
		Proficiency Grade Level Standards – Math – High School	10.50%	-	NA		-	
3C	Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against alternate academic achievement standards. COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - Reading - 4th Grade	42.50%	-	NA		-	
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - Reading - 8th Grade	52.50%	-	NA		-	
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards - Reading - High School	55.50%	-	NA		-	

		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 4th Grade	27.50%	-	NA		-	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – 8th Grade	35.50%	-	NA		-	-
		Alternate Academic Achievement Standards – Math – High School	55.50%	-	NA		-	-
3D	Gap in Proficiency Rates COMAR 13A.05.11.05	Gap in Proficiency Rates - Reading – 4th Grade	16.50%	-	NA		-	-
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – 8th Grade	23.50%	-	NA		-	-
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Reading – High School	47.50%	-	NA		-	-
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – 4th Grade	13.50%	-	NA		-	-
		Gap in Proficiency Rates - Math - 8th Grade	6.21%	-	NA		-	-
		Gap in Proficiency Rates – Math – High School	34.00%	-	NA		-	-
4A	Multiple suspensions (> 10 days) COMAR 13A.08.03.03	: Disabled vs. nondisabled	2.00	0.00	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	0.00	-
5A	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is SSIS LRE A) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	≥ 80% of the day (MD	71.25%	-	NA		-	-
5B	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is SSIS LRE C) COMAR 13A.05.01.10	≤ 40% of the day (MD	11.50%	-	NA		-	-
5C	Students aged 6-21 whose LRE is day and residential facilities and h-COMAR 13A.05.01.10 COMAR 13	ome and hospital facilities	6.00%	-	NA		-	-
6A	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Services setting the majority of the day COMAR 13A.05.01.10	in regular early childhood	63.00%	0.00%	NA	No further action is required.	0.00%	-
6B	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Separate COMAR 13A.05.01.10	School or Class	19.00%	100.00%	NA	No further action is required.	100.00%	-
6C	Students aged 3-5 LRE: Home		0.32%	0.00%	NA	No further action is required.	0.00%	-
7A	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate improved positive social-emotional skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Social Emotional - Exits with substantial growth	71.00%	76.47%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	70.00%	-
	GOMPHE 1971. 18.01.80	SS2 – Social Emotional - Exits within age expectations	54.00%	50.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	50.00%	-
7B	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate acquisition and use of knowledge and skills COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Knowledge & Skills - Exits with substantial growth	73.50%	68.75%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	41.67%	-
	330,000,000	SS2- Knowledge & Skills - Exits within age expectations	52.00%	55.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	37.50%	-
7C	Students aged 3-5 demonstrate use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs. COMAR 13A.13.01.09	SS1 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits with substantial growth	72.50%	64.29%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	70.00%	-
		SS2 – Behavior to Meet Needs - Exits within age expectations	60.50%	65.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	56.25%	-
8A	Parent Survey - Preschool Age		85.50%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	84.00%	-
8A Response Rate	Parent Survey- Preschool Respon	se Rate	18.00%	23.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	62.00%	-

8B	Parent Survey - School Age		73.00%	64.00%	No	Target not met. An Improvement Plan is required.	69.00%	-
8B Response Rate	Parent Survey - School Age Respo	onse Rate	9.00%	13.00%	Yes	Target met. No action is required.	23.00%	-
14	Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had	Higher Education	25.50%	-	NA		-	-
	IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were: COMAR 13A.08.01.07	Higher Education or Employed	58.00%	-	NA		-	-
	COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a)(ii)	Higher Ed, Training, or Employed	58.00%	-	NA		-	-
4B	Discrepancy (≥ 2.0) in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of students ages 6-21 with IEPs	Discrepancy - Black/African American	2.00	-	NA		-	-
	by race/ethnicity COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Discrepancy - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Discrepancy - Two or more races	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Discrepancy - White	2.00	-	NA		-	-
9	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in students ages 6-21 special education of racial groups as a result of inappropriate identification.	Disproportionate Representation - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	-	NA		-	-
	COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Asian	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Black/African American	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - White	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - 2 or more races	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	-	NA		-	-
10	Disproportionate representation (≥ 2.0) in disability categories by race as a result of inappropriate identification. COMAR 13A.08.01.21	Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Asian	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Black/African American	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - White	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	-	NA		-	-
		Disproportionate Representation - Intellectual Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	-	NA		-	-

Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Asian	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Black/African American	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - White	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - 2 or more races	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Specific Learning Disability - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Asian	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Black/African American	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - White	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - 2 or more races	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Emotional Disturbance - Hispanic/Latino	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - American Indian/Alaska Native	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Asian	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Black/African American	2.00	-	NA	-	-

Disproportionate Representation - Speech or Language Hewalish Practic Islander 2.00 - NA NA						
Representation - Speech or Language	Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - Native	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Representation - Speech Canadage Canad	Representation - Speech or Language	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Representation - Speech or Language September Se	Representation - Speech or Language Impairments - 2 or more	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Representation - Other Health Impairments - Assian	Representation - Speech or Language Impairments -	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Representation - Other Health Impairments - Aslan	Representation - Other Health Impairments - American Indian/Alaska	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Representation - Other Health Impairments - Black/African American	Representation - Other Health Impairments -	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander 2.00 - NA	Representation - Other Health Impairments -	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Representation - Other Health Impairments - White 2.00 - NA - - - Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races 2.00 - NA -	Representation - Other Health Impairments - Native Hawaiian/Pacific	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2 or more races	Representation - Other Health Impairments -	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Representation - Other	Representation - Other Health Impairments - 2	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Representation - Autism	Representation - Other Health Impairments -	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Representation - Autism - Asian Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Black/African American Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2.00 - NA	Representation - Autism - American Indian/Alaska	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Representation - Autism - Black/African American Disproportionate Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 NA Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races	Representation - Autism	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander Disproportionate Representation - Autism - White Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 or more races Disproportionate Representation - Autism - 2 number 2 2.00 - NA	Representation - Autism	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Representation - Autism - White 2.00 - NA Representation - Autism - 2 or more races 2.00 - NA Representation - Autism - Representation - Autism - NA	Representation - Autism - Native Hawaiian/Pacific	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Representation - Autism - 2 or more races Disproportionate 2.00 - NA Representation - Autism	Representation - Autism	2.00	-	NA	-	-
Representation - Autism	Representation - Autism	2.00	-	NA	-	-
	Representation - Autism	2.00	-	NA	-	-

11	Percentage of students with written parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated within 60 calendar days. COMAR 13A.05.01.06	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
12	Percentage of eligible children exiting Part C at age 3 who have an IEP in effect by the child's 3rd birthday. COMAR 13A.05.01.08A(2)(a)(iii) COMAR 13A.05.01.06B COMAR 13A.13.01.09	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
13	Percentage of youth aged 16 and above whose IEP meets secondary transition requirements. COMAR 13A.05.01.07D(5)(6) COMAR 13A.05.01.09A(3)(a) (ii)	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
Correction of Noncompliance	Correction of Noncompliance MSDE	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
Timely and Valid Data	Timely and Valid Data MSDE	100.00%	100.00%	Yes	Target met. No further action is required.	100.00%	-
Number of Complaints Filed	Number of Complaints Filed MSDE/DEISES	0.00	2.00	No	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Number of Complaints Identified with Violations	Number of Complaints Identified with Violations MSDE/DEISES	0.00	1.00	No	Please work with Dispute Resolution staff to ensure any identified noncompliance is corrected as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from notification of findings.	0.00	-
Number of Due Process Hearings Filed	Number of Due Process Hearings Filed MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	1.00	-
Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations	Number of Due Process Hearings Identified with Violations MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified	Fiscal Accountability: Number of Findings Identified MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Reading MSDE	1.00%	-	NA		-	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Math MSDE	1.00%	-	NA		-	-
Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science	Percentage of Students Taking the Alternate Assessment: Science MSDE	1.00%	-	NA		-	-
Number of SWD Restraints	Number of SWD Restraints MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Number of SWD Seclusions	Number of SWD Seclusions MSDE	0.00	0.00	Yes	No further action is required.	0.00	-
Significant Disproportionality	Number of Categories Identified for Significant Disproportionality 34 C.F.R. § 300.646	0.00	0.00	Yes	No action is required.	0.00	-